

Considerations for isthmus parcels that have been purchased by city (with contributions from parks group and Conservation Futures dollars). It's the city's intent to develop these parcels into a public park. The parcels are nonetheless included in the CRA asset list with the understanding that any change from the city's plan to develop the parcels into a park would:

- ✓ Not result in any less park being developed on the isthmus than what the properties currently allow.
- ✓ That it's understood that a possible way of accommodating high quality development and an improved park situation might be achieved by swapping property and moving the footprint of a park to the west so that it includes shoreline access.
- ✓ It's understood that the point of any change must meet the principles and vision for the CRA.
- ✓ No condemnation authority would be used on the private property that's to the west of the park property (Image source).
- ✓ The city parcels that are intended for a park are not intended for commercial development – an area of park that matches at least the size of the two parcels will be incorporated into a development plan for the isthmus.
- ✓ Any swap of property should enhance waterfront access, make it possible to continue Percival Landing to the south, making a favorable connection between the current Landing and Heritage Park, and allow for high quality park amenities including viewing the fish ladder and access to the water.
- ✓ The hope is that any land swap would expand commercial and/or residential development on the isthmus without reducing the amount of property currently allocated for a public park.
- ✓ A proposal to move the footprint of the park should be acceptable to all parties of interest that contributed resources, or money would be refunded.