

REVISIONS TO ADDRESS PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER COMMENTS

November 8, 2019

Location	Proposed Revision	Reason for Revision
Beginning of the Plan	Addition of a table of contents at the beginning of the document at finalization.	To address comment received from LOTT.
Executive Summary, Page 7	Revise project names in Table 1.3 as follows: Rossmo <u>o</u> r Upgrade Design Rossmo <u>o</u> r Upgrade Construction	To correct spelling and to address public comment received from R.Wilson.
Chapter 2, Page 6	Commas will be added to the figures in Table 2.3 at finalization.	To address public comment received from R.Wilson.
Chapter 3, Page 9	Revise Section 3.5, paragraph three as follows: Coordination with neighboring jurisdictions will grow increasingly important as LOTT decentralizes wastewater treatment into satellite reclamation facilities.	To address request received from LOTT.
Chapter 3, Page 10	Revise paragraph three, fourth sentence as follows: This high quality water is reused for a variety of non-potable purposes <u>s</u>	To correct grammar and to address comment received from LOTT.
Chapter 3, Page 10	Revise paragraph 5 as follows: In many cases, the City of Olympia's neighborhood sewer systems connect directly into the LOTT interceptors. Because of these connections, problems in the City's wastewater system or in LOTT's LOTT pipes have the potential to cause problems for Olympia customers.	To address public comment received from R.Wilson (statement not necessary).
Chapter 3, Page 11	Revise Table 3.4 at finalization to: 1) remove final row shading, and 2) shade rows containing facility titles (Budd Inlet Treatment Plant, Martin Way Reclaimed Water Plant).	To simplify table for the reader and to address comment received from LOTT.
Chapter 4, Page 11	Revise paragraph seven, third sentence as follows: OSS owners have taken responsibility for the operation and maintenance of their systems – as evidenced by the 2100+ <u>homeowners that are certified to inspect their OSS homeowner inspectors</u> and an on-going compliance rate of about 87 percent.	Incorrect wording and to address public comment received from R.Wilson.