Summary Chart Shoreline Issues and Other Relevant Regulatory Tools and Policy Prepared for Olympia City Council Meeting, September 11, 2012

Issue	Comp Plan	Zoning Code	EDDS	Storm Water Manual	CAO	Flood Plain	SEPA	CFP	Bldg. Codes
Shoreline Uses	Х	Х			X ¹				
Setbacks		Х							
Heights	Х	Х							
View Protection	Х	Х					Х		
Sea Level Rise	Х	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	
No Net Loss	Х			Х	Х		Х		
Vegetation Preservation	Х	X ²			Х				
Liquefaction									Х
Development Review Process		Х					Х		
Nonconformities		Х							
Vision	Х								
Public Access	Х	Х					Х		

EDDS – Engineering Development & Design Standards

CAO = Critical Areas Ordinance

SEPA – State Environmental Policy Act

CFP = City's Capital Facilities Plan

See table below for additional information on Shoreline Issues and other regulatory approaches to addressing those issues.

¹ CAO presently applies to the shoreline, however, following adoption the CAO be incorporated into the SMP by reference.

² Technically the Tree Code

Shoreline Issue	Shoreline Issue Matrix				
Issue	Shoreline Regulation	Other Regulation			
Shoreline Uses	Regulates uses based on potential impacts to	All property along the shoreline and the			
	environment, access and water dependency. The	rest of the city is regulated by the City's			
	Act gives preference to water dependent uses.	Zoning Regulations that dictate uses.			
	Only affects property within 200 feet of the	Zoning establishes permitted uses on a			
	shoreline or as provided by the State, "those lands	zone by zone basis. All property within the			
	which extend landward two hundred feet as	City is zoned including property owned by			
	measured on a horizontal plane from the ordinary	the Port and the State although the State			
	high water mark."	Capitol Campus is exempt from the City's			
	In the implementation of this policy the public's	development regulations.			
	opportunity to enjoy the physical and aesthetic				
	qualities of natural shorelines of the state shall be				
	preserved to the greatest extent feasible				
	consistent with the overall best interest of the				
	state and the people generally. To this end uses				
	shall be preferred which are consistent with				
	control of pollution and prevention of damage to				
	the natural environment, or are unique to or				
	dependent upon use of the state's shoreline.				
	90.58 1 b)				
	The Planning Commission's proposed SMP limits				
	uses for those properties designated as Urban				

	Conservancy to one unit per acre.	
Setbacks	Setbacks in the SMP are measured from the	Zoning regulations also establish minimum
	ordinary high water mark. No specific setback or	setbacks for buildings. Zoning setbacks
	buffer is mandated by the State. According to the	are measured from the property line.
	State, "vegetation conservation standards,	Setbacks vary based on the type of zoning
	including buffers and setbacks, should be based on	in place for example properties within the
	local shoreline conditions. Ecology will want to see	Urban Waterfront Zoning District that
	the rationale that supports your decisions."	extends along much of Budd Bay have a 0
		foot rear yard setback, while those in the
	The Planning Commission draft proposes a variety	R 4 - 8 residential zone have a 20 foot
	of setbacks based on individual reaches and	setback.
	shoreline use designations. These are generally	
	between 30 and 100 feet depending on the use and	
	the shoreline reach.	
	Shoreline buffers typically are naturally vegetated	
	areas adjacent to water bodies that protect the	
	ecological functions of the shoreline and help to	
	reduce the impacts of land uses on the water	
	body, as described in the scientific literature.	
	Buffers provide a transition between the aquatic	
	and upland areas. SMP Handbook	
Heights	Buildings within the shoreline are generally limited	Zoning regulations also regulate building
	20 to 35 feet per the Planning Commission's	heights on a zone by zone basis and may

	proposal. The State only addresses heights for	limit structures to less than 35 feet in
	buildings that exceed 35 and block substantial	height or allow buildings over 100 feet. In
	numbers of residential views and even there no	the Urban Water Front Zoning District
	specific standards are provided. (See views	building up to 65 feet are allowed with the
	below.)	possibility of two additional stories for
		residential uses.
		Along West Bay Drive building heights will
		range from 42 to 65 feet depending view
		impacts and type of development
		proposed. Any development over 42 feet
		shall be required to include a minimum of
		20% of the usable building area for
		residential purposes.
		Along portions of West Bay and East Bay
		Drives heights are limited to protect
		views. 18.100.040
View Protection	The State protects views of the water and	The Comp Plan and land development
	prohibits "issuance of permits for any new or	regulations regulate views more broadly
	expanded building or structure of more than	and from places and areas outside of the
	thirty-five feet above average grade level on	200 foot shoreline. These regulations can
	shorelines of the state that will obstruct the view	limit building heights below 35 feet.
	of a substantial number of residences on areas	18.120.030 Waterfront view

adjoining such shorelines, *except* where a master program does not prohibit the same and then only when overriding considerations of the public interest will be served_" by requiring projects within 200 feet of a shoreline to go through a review and permitting process known as a substantial development permit. WAC 173-27-140 Where there is an irreconcilable conflict between water-dependent shoreline uses or physical public access and maintenance of views from adjacent properties, the water-dependent uses and physical public access shall have priority, unless there is a compelling reason to the contrary. WAC 173-26-221

corridors

A. REQUIREMENT:

On waterfront sites, provide for public view corridors of Capitol Lake and Budd Inlet. All development shall incorporate into the site and building design both territorial and immediate views for significant numbers of people from public rights-of-way. (EXCEPT: Intermittent or partial views of the water may not be deemed necessary to incorporate into the site and building design.) See Scenic Vista overlay zoning maps, which are available Community the Planning and Development Department.

(See also Pedestrian Streets Overlay, OMC 18.16)

B. GUIDELINES:

- 1. Locate buildings on the site and design roofs so that they do not interfere with views and vistas.
- 2. Design and site waterfront buildings to avoid blocking view corridors on adjacent streets that

Sea Level Rise

The Shoreline Management Act (SMA) and the Shoreline Master Program (SMP) Guidelines currently contain no explicit references to climate change or sea level rise. However, they require local jurisdictions to take into account scientific and technical information pertinent to shoreline management issues. The Guidelines require local governments use "the most current, accurate and complete scientific and technical information available" (WAC 173-26-201(2)(a). Concerns were raised through the review process about the potential impacts of sea level rise.

The City has been evaluating potential impacts from sea level rise since 2007 and has developed detailed and advanced data and information about the potential impacts. The 30 foot setback that was proposed in the initial staff draft of the SMP was developed based on these considerations.

point toward the water.

The impact of Sea Level rise is presently regulated through the City's development review process on a project by project basis and not through the SMP. The City its Flood Plain Management uses Regulations and SEPA authority to require finish floor elevations to be raised one additional foot above flood plain elevations (two feet total). Following Plan the adoption of the Comp development regulations will likely be amended and it is probable that this issue will be included as an amendment to the Land Development Regulations.

The City's sea level rise data indicates that sea level rise will affect large swaths of the downtown including areas outside the 200 foot shoreline area and will likely require an area-wide and coordinated response in order to be effective. The CFP may need to be amended at some point in the future to include such a project.

No Net Loss	"The existing condition of shoreline ecological	Not addressed in the City's current
	functions should not deteriorate due to permitted	regulations. The proposed SMP is intended
	development. The existing condition or baseline is	to provide for no net loss of environmental
	documented in the shoreline inventory and	function from the baseline condition
	characterization. Shoreline functions may improve	documented in the Inventory and
	through shoreline restoration."	Characterization.
Vegetation	The SMP Guidelines require master programs to	The interaction between the Shoreline
Preservation	protect the functions provided by shoreline	Management Act (SMA) and the Growth
	vegetation.	Management Act (GMA, RCW 36.70A) is
		complex and can be confusing. This is
		especially true regarding the incorporation
		of Critical Areas Ordinances (CAO)
		provisions into Shoreline Master Program
		(SMP) comprehensive updates.
		After Ecology approves the updated or
		new SMP or segment amendment, the SMP
		alone will provide protection for critical
		areas within shoreline jurisdiction.
Liquefaction	The SMP does not address liquefaction.	Liquefaction is addressed through the
		city's building permitting process.
		Applicants for building permit are required
		to demonstrate that their project complies
		with the International Building Code
		requirements for seismic and structural

		integrity. This is accomplished through
		the submittal of technical reports and
		engineering plans prepared by licensed
		professional engineers and geologists.
Development	The Planning Commission's proposed SMP requires	Projects within 200 feet of the shoreline
Review Process	a conditional use permit to be granted by The	presently require a shoreline substantial
	Dept. of Ecology for certain uses within the	development permit and land use
	shoreline in addition to the City's Substantial	approval. The hearing examiner holds the
	Development Permit.	public hearing for these actions.
Nonconformities	90.58.620	The Zoning Regulations Address
	New or amended master programs —	nonconforming buildings, structures and
	Authorized provisions.	uses. Any building or structure that does
	(1) New or amended master programs approved by	not meet the criteria in section 18.37.040
	the department on or after September 1, 2011,	(B) and is nonconforming as to
	may include provisions authorizing:	development/building coverage, yard,
	(a) Residential structures and appurtenant	building setback, height, open space or
	structures that were legally established and are	density provisions of the use district in
	used for a conforming use, but that do not meet	which it is located, may be enlarged or
	standards for the following to be considered a	remodeled if such alterations do not
	conforming structure: Setbacks, buffers, or yards;	contribute to further nonconformity. To
	area; bulk; height; or density;	the extent practical and feasible, any such
	The various regulations contained within the SMP	alteration shall bring the building or
	can create non-conformities such as buildings that	structure into closer conformance with the
	do not meet required setbacks or exceed height	provisions of this title.

limitations. The draft SMP states that:

Nonconforming Building or Structure: A building or structure or portion thereof, whether lawfully or unlawfully erected, altered or maintained, which does not conform to the requirements of this Program.

Nonconforming Use: An activity in a structure or on a tract of land which because of the application of this Program no longer conforms with the provisions of this Program. Nonconforming uses can only be expanded or resumed if a conditional use is granted.

The PC draft addresses nonconformities, "In the event that a structure or building that does not conform to the shoreline setback is 50 percent or more destroyed by fire, explosion, act of God or act of public enemy, and the structure cannot be restored on the same footprint due to the application of shoreline and zoning setbacks, the property owner may seek an administrative variance to restore the portion of the legally established structure or building that encroached on the setback in the same location. "

In the event that a nonconforming structure or building is less than fifty (50) percent destroyed by fire, explosion, act of God or act of public enemy, nothing in this title shall prevent the securing of building permit within six (6) months from the date of destruction for the restoration of said structure.

Vision

The vision for the SMP is provided by the State through the Shoreline Management Act and implementing guidelines found in the Washington Administrative Code. It is the policy of the state to provide for the management of the shorelines of the state by planning for and fostering all reasonable and appropriate uses. This policy is designed to insure the development of these shorelines in a manner which, while allowing for limited reduction of rights of the public in the navigable waters, will promote and enhance the policy contemplates interest. This protecting against adverse effects to the public health, the land and its vegetation and wildlife, and the waters of the state and their aquatic life, while protecting generally public rights of navigation and corollary rights incidental thereto.

"Master program" shall mean the comprehensive use plan for a described area, and the use regulations together with maps, diagrams, charts, or other descriptive material and text, a statement of desired goals, and standards developed in accordance with the policies

The Comprehensive Plan is intended to be an aspirational document and to form and support the implementation of the community's long term vision through the implementation of development regulations and capital facilities plans. The Goals and policies of the updated SMP will become part of the Comp Plan. "The legislature finds that uncoordinated and unplanned growth, together with a lack of common goals expressing the public's interest in the conservation and the wise use of our lands, pose a threat to the environment. sustainable economic development, and the health, safety, and high quality of life enjoyed by residents of this state. It is in the public interest that citizens, communities, local governments, and the private sector cooperate and coordinate with another one in comprehensive land use planning. Further, the legislature finds that it is in the public interest that economic development programs be shared with communities

enunciated in RCW 90.58.020. "Comprehensive experiencing insufficient economic master program update" means a master program growth. that fully achieves the procedural and substantive (4) "Comprehensive land use plan," requirements of the department guidelines "comprehensive plan," or "plan" means a effective January 17, 2004, as now or hereafter generalized coordinated land use policy statement of the governing body of a amended: county or city that is adopted pursuant to this chapter. The following goals are adopted to guide the development and adoption of comprehensive plans and development regulations of those counties and cities that are required or choose to plan under RCW 36.70A.040. " Public Access The Shoreline Management Act identifies public State Law requires that a city or town shall assess as one of the key objectives of the Act. not vacate a street or alley if any portion Increase public access to publicly owned areas of of the street or alley abuts a body of fresh the shoreline. The proposed SMP requires public or salt water unless...35.79.035. access for residential developments of more than 9 lots or dwellings, commercial and industrial The existing development regulations developments development shoreline and Street ends abutting the water shall be proposed by a port, state or public utility district preserved to provide views of and public among other regulations. access to the water, pursuant to Section 12.16.050(D) OMC.

See also Chapter 18.100 for Downtown design guidelines for Pedestrian Access and View Corridors and Waterfront Public Access; Chapter 18.100 for Port Peninsula design guidelines for Pedestrian Connections and View Corridors; Section 18.06.100(A)(2)(c) for West Bay Drive building height and view blockage limits; and Chapter 18.100 for West Bay Drive view corridors.

18.150 makes no specific mention of the shoreline. Incorporate pedestrian access into the site plan where pedestrian access exists and where site security is not in questions.

18.120.120 Waterfront public access

A. REQUIREMENTS: On waterfront sites used for commercial, residential, or recreational uses, incorporate shoreline public access pursuant to the goals of the Shoreline Management Act in a manner roughly proportionate to the impact created by the development.

B. GUIDELINES:
1. Provide a water-edge trail and
view corridors.
2. Provide public access to the
water-edge trail and/or view
corridors.
3. Provide necessary
improvements appropriate to
these facilities, such as signage,
seating, and lighting.