

Periodic Update Checklist for Fully-Planning Cities

Notice: This checklist has been updated with the new 2022-2023 GMA legislation. Rows that include new 2022-2023 legislative changes or updated Commerce guidance are marked with an orange dot ●. Statutory changes adopted since 2015 are emphasized in highlighted text to help identify new GMA requirements that may not have been addressed during the last periodic update or through other amendments outside of the required periodic update process. Additionally, amendments to the GMA, including those from the 2023 legislative session, are summarized in this document on Commerce's GMA Laws and Rules webpage.

City of Olympia

City

Joyce Phillips, AICP, Principal Planner Community Planning & Development jphillip@ci.olympia.wa.us 360.570.3722 Staff contact, phone + email

<u>Overview</u>: This checklist is intended to help cities that are fully planning under the Growth Management Act (GMA) conduct the "periodic review and update" of **comprehensive plans** and **development regulations** required under <u>RCW 36.70A.130 (5)</u>. This checklist identifies components of comprehensive plans and development regulations that may need updating to reflect the latest local conditions or to comply with GMA changes since the last periodic update cycle (2015-2018).

Local governments should review local comprehensive plan policies, countywide planning policies and multicounty planning policies (where applicable) to be consistent with the new requirements.

Checklist Instructions

With the most recent versions of your comprehensive plan and development regulations in hand, fill out each item in the checklist, answering the following questions:

Is this item addressed in your current plan or development regulations? If YES, fill in the form with citation(s) to where in the plan or regulation the item is addressed. Where possible, we recommend citing policy or goal numbers by element rather than page numbers, since these can change. If you have questions about the requirement, follow the hyperlinks to the relevant statutory provision or rules. If you still have questions, visit the Commerce Periodic Update webpage or contact the Commerce planner assigned to your region.

<u>Is amendment needed to meet current statute?</u> Check YES to indicate a change to your plan will be needed. Check NO to indicate that the GMA requirement has already been met. Local updates may not be needed if the statute hasn't changed since your previous update, if your jurisdiction has kept current with required inventories, or if there haven't been many changes in local circumstances.

<u>Use the "Notes" column</u> to add additional information to note where your city may elect to work on or amend sections of your plan or development regulations, to call out sections that are not strictly required by the GMA, or to indicate if the item is not applicable to your jurisdiction.

Submit your checklist! This will be the first deliverable under your periodic update grant.

<u>PlanView system and instructions</u>: Completed checklists can be submitted through Commerce's PlanView portal. The PlanView system allows cities and counties to submit and track amendments to comprehensive plans or development regulations online, with or without a user account. You can also submit via email: reviewteam@commerce.wa.gov Fill out and attach a cover sheet, a copy of your submittal and this checklist. Please be advised that Commerce is no longer accepting paper submittals.

For further information about the submittal process, please visit Commerce's <u>Growth Management Act Laws and Rules webpage</u>.

Need help?

Please visit Commerce's <u>periodic</u> <u>update webpage</u> for additional resources.

Or contact:

Suzanne Austin, AICP
Senior Planner
Growth Management Services
WA Department of Commerce
509.407.7955
Suzanne.Austin@commerce.wa.gov

Or, your assigned regional planner

Checklist Navigation						
Section I: Comprehensive Plan	Section II: Development Regulations	Appendices				
LAND USE	CRITICAL AREAS	APPENDIX A: HOUSING UNIT MINIMUMS PER POPULATION				
HOUSING	ZONING CODE	APPENDIX B: ELEMENT UPDATES UNDER HB 1181				
CAPITAL FACILITIES	SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM					
UTILITIES	RESOURCE LANDS					
TRANSPORTATION	ESSENTIAL PUBLIC FACILITIES					
SHORELINE	SUBDIVISION CODE					
ESSENTIAL PUBLIC FACILITIES	STORMWATER					
TRIBAL PLANNING	ORGANIC MATERIALS MANAGEMENT					
CLIMATE CHANGE & RESILIENCY	IMPACT FEES					
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	CONCURRENCY & TDM					
PARKS & RECREATION	TRIBAL PARTICIPATION					
OPTIONAL ELEMENTS	REGULATIONS FOR OPTIONAL ELEMENTS					
CONSISTENCY	PROJECT REVIEW PROCEDURES					
PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	PLAN & REGULATION AMENDMENTS					

Section I: Comprehensive Plan

Land Use Element

Consistent with countywide planning policies (CWPPs) and RCW 36.70A.070(1), amended in 2023

	In Current Plan? Yes/No	Changes needed to meet current statute?	Notes	
	If yes, cite section	Yes/No		
Notice: 2021-2022 legislation ESSB 5593: includes changes to RCW 36,70A.130 regarding UGA size, patterns of development, suitability and infrastructure. Coordinate these efforts with your county.	Yes. Covered in existing LU&UD Chapter text	No	County and City staff discussed and believe the existing language in the General Land Use and Design section of the Land Use and Urban Design chapter adequately covers this.	Completed: ⊠ Date:
a. The element integrates relevant county-wide planning policies into the local planning process, and ensures local goals and policies are consistent. For jurisdictions in the central Puget Sound region, the plan is consistent with applicable multicounty planning policies. RCW 36.70A.210 WAC 365-196-305 Coordinate these efforts with your county.	Yes, see Introduction section of the Land Use and Urban Design chapter.	No	Recommend updating the reference to the CWPPs since the CWPPs were updated about a year after our previous Periodic Update was adopted.	Completed: Date:
b. A future land use map showing city limits and UGA boundaries. RCW 36.70A.070(1) amended in 2023 and RCW 36.70A.110(6), WAC 365-196-400(2)(d), WAC 365-196-405(2)(i)(ii)	Yes, see Introduction section of the Land Use and Urban Design chapter.	No	Significant changes to Future Land Use Map (FLUM) are not anticipated.	Completed: ⊠ Date:
c. Consideration of urban planning approaches that increase physical activity and reduce per capita vehicle miles 25 traveled within the jurisdiction, but without increasing greenhouse gas emissions elsewhere in the state. RCW 36.70A.070(1) (amended in 2023) and WAC 365-196-405(2)(j). Additional resources: Commerce's Climate guidance, Transportation Efficient Communities' guidance, and the WA Department of Health Washington State Plan for Healthy Communities and Active Community Environment Toolkit	GL1, PL1.6, PL1.9, PL 1.12, PL 1.13; GL7, GL9, GL 11, PL11.1, PL 11.7, PL 12.8	No	See also Natural Environment; Public Health, Arts, Parks and Recreation; and the Transportation Chapters for additional supporting goals and policies.	Completed: ⊠ Date:

Section I: Comprehensive Plan					
	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes		
d.A consistent population projection throughout the plan which should be consistent with the jurisdiction's allocation of countywide population and housing needs. RCW 36.70A.115, RCW 43.62.035 and WAC 365-196-405(f)	Yes. See Introduction to Comprehensive Plan text.	Yes – Update numbers and timeframe.	Update population projection and planning horizon.	Completed: Date:	
e. Estimates of population densities and building intensities based on future land uses and housing needs. RCW 36.70A.070(1) (amended in 2023), WAC 365-196-405(2)(i) • For cities required to plan under the Buildable Lands Program, RCW 36.70A.215 amended in 2017, some jurisdictions may need to identify reasonable measures to reconcile inconsistencies. See Commerce's Buildable Lands Program page.	Yes, See Future Land Use Map and Appendix A of the Land Use and Urban Design chapter.	No	The 2021 Buildable Lands Report does not identify the need for reasonable measures.	Completed: ⊠ Date: June 2021	
f. Provisions for protection of the quality and quantity of groundwater used for public water supplies. RCW 36.70A.070(1) (amended in 2023), WAC 365-196-405(1)(c); WAC 365-196-485(1)(d)	Yes, see GN5, PNs 5.1 – 5.8.	No	Drinking Water (Wellhead) Protection Areas map in Natural Env. Chapter.	Completed: 🗵 Date:	
g. Identification of lands useful for public purposes such as utility corridors, transportation corridors, landfills, sewage treatment facilities, storm water management facilities, recreation, schools and other public uses. RCW 36.70A.150 and WAC 365-196-340	Yes – Recreation. Map of Parks in Parks Chapter. Public Facilities Inventory in CFP is updated annually.	Updating of some maps will be needed (e.g. show new park lands)	City Staff will need to work with school districts to verify whether updates are needed. We may need to update development regulations to allow for siting of organic materials management facilities (RCW 36.70A.142).	Completed: Date:	

	In Current Plan? Yes/No	Changes needed to meet current statute?	Notes	
	If yes, cite section	Yes/No		
h. Identification of open space corridors and green spaces within and between urban growth areas, including lands useful for recreation, wildlife habitat, trails and connection of critical areas, and urban and community forests within the UGA. RCW 36.70A.070(1) amended in 2023, RCW 36.70A.160 and WAC 365-196-335	Yes, see Open Space and Environmentally Sensitive Areas map in Natural Env. Chapter	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:
 i. If there is an airport within or adjacent to the city: policies, land use designations (and zoning) to discourage the siting of incompatible uses adjacent to general aviation airports. RCW 36.70A.510, RCW 36.70.547 Note: The plan (and associated regulations) must be filed with the <u>Aviation Division of WSDOT</u>. WAC 365-196-455 	No	Yes	Hoskins Field is a private airstrip in the City of Lacey and is adjacent to Olympia's Urban Growth Area. It is a general aviation airport available for public use, so is subject to the land use compatibility provisions. Confirmed with WSDOT Aviation on 09/30/2022. Airports - Hoskins Field WSDOT Land use & transportation planning WSDOT	Completed: □ Date:
j. Where applicable, a review of drainage, flooding and stormwater run-off in the area and nearby jurisdictions and provide guidance for corrective actions to mitigate or cleanse those discharges that pollute waters of the state. RCW 36.70A.070(1) (amended in 2023) and WAC 365-196-405(2)(e) Note: RCW 90.56.010(27) defines waters of the state. Additional resources: Commerce's climate quidance, Protect Puget Sound Watersheds, Building Cities in the Rain, Ecology Stormwater Manuals, Puget Sound Partnership Action Agenda	Yes GN5 and related policies in Natural Environment Chapter	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
k. Policies to designate and protect critical areas including wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat protection areas, frequently flooded areas, critical aquifer recharge areas and geologically hazardous areas. In developing these policies, the city must have included the best available science (BAS) to protect the functions and values of critical areas, and give "special consideration" to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries. RCW 36.70A.030(6), RCW 36.70A.172, WAC 365-190-080. Best Available Science: see WAC 365-195-900 through -925	GL7	No	See Natural Environment chapter for policies supporting broader protection of critical areas/environmentally sensitive areas. As part of the SMP Update in 2021 the CAO was updated based on most current Best Available Science .	Completed: ⊠ Date:
I. If forest or agricultural lands of long-term commercial significance are designated inside a city: a program authorizing Transfer (or Purchase) of Development Rights. RCW 36.70A.060(4), RCW 36.70A.170	n/a	No	There are no forestry or agricultural lands of long term commercial significance designated within the City of Olympia. However, Olympia does support the use of Transfer of Development Rights by offering a density bonus in its Residential 4-8 units per acre (R4-8) zoning district.	Completed: ⊠ Date:
m. If there is a Military Base within or adjacent to the jurisdiction employing 100 or more personnel: policies, land use designations, (and consistent zoning) to discourage the siting of incompatible uses adjacent to military bases. RCW 36.70A.530(3), WAC 365-196-475	n/a	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:
n. New section RCW 36.70A.142 (2022), HB 1799: Development regulations newly developed, updated, or amended after January 1, 2025 allow for the siting of organic materials (OM) management facilities as identified in local solid waste management plans (SWMP) to meet OM reduction and diversion goals. Siting must meet criteria described in RCW 70A.205.040(3). See also RCW 36.70.330. For applicability, see RCW 70A.205.540.	Not specifically addressed in Comprehensive Plan	Unsure if Plan Amendments are needed to allow for this is Development Regulations.	This pertains to Development Regulations. Work with Waste ReSources. Look for any existing policies that would be inconsistent with Development Regulations to implement this.	Completed: □ Date:

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
o. Give special consideration to achieving environmental justice in goals and policies, including efforts to avoid creating or worsening environmental health disparities. RCW 36.70A.070(1) amended in 2023.	Not specifically	Yes	The City's Social Justice and Equity Commission will be reviewing and commenting on each chapter and the update process overall.	Completed: \Box Date:
p. The land use element must reduce and mitigate the risk to lives and property posed by wildfires by using land use planning tools and through wildfire preparedness and fire adaptation measures. RCW 36.70A.070(1) amended in 2023. See also: International Wildland-Urban Interface Code	Not specifically	Yes		Completed: Date:

Housing Element

New 2021 and 2022 legislation substantially amended the housing-related provisions of the Growth Management Act (GMA), RCW 36.70A.070 (2). Local governments should review local comprehensive plan policies and countywide planning policies to be consistent with the updated requirements. Please refer to Commerce's housing webpage for further information. See also Appendix A of this checklist for the new 2023 minimum housing unit requirements per city population.

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
Notice: For more information about what these housing element requirements involve and what Commerce staff will be reviewing for, please see the Expanded Housing Checklist located on the Updating GMA Housing Elements webpage.	Noted	Noted		
 a. Goals, policies and objectives for: the preservation, improvement and development of housing RCW 36.70A.070(2)(b); moderate density housing options including, but not limited to, duplexes, triplexes, and townhomes, within an urban growth area boundary, RCW 36.70A.070(2)(b) and WAC 365-196-410(2)(a); and Consideration of housing locations in relation to employment locations and the role of ADUs. RCW 36.70A.070(2)(d) new in 2021 Notice: These items were separately listed in the previous version of the checklist. No content was changed. 	Yes, LU Goal GL 16 and policies; Goals 20, 21, 24; and Public Services Chapter Goals 3-9 and policies. See also OMC 18.04 and 18.06; OMC 18.04.060(A) and OMC 18.06	No	Duplexes are allowed in all residential zoning districts. Triplexes are allowed in almost all residential zoning districts. Townhouses are allowed in all residential zones except R-4CB. ADUs are allowed in all residential zoning districts. Most commercial zones allow a mix of commercial and residential uses. Most commercial zones are adjacent to residential zones.	Completed: ⊠ Date:
b. An inventory and analysis of existing and projected housing needs over the planning period, by income band, consistent with the jurisdiction's share of countywide housing need, as provided by Commerce. RCW 36.70A.070(2)(a) amended in 2021, WAC 365-196-410(2)(b) and (c)	No	Yes	New Requirement.	Completed: Date:

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
c. Identification of capacity of land for housing including, but not limited to, government-assisted housing, housing for moderate, low, very low, and extremely low-income households, manufactured housing, multifamily housing, group homes, foster care facilities, emergency housing, emergency shelters and permanent supportive housing. RCW 36.70A.070(2)(c) amended in 2021, WAC 365-196-410(e) and (f)	No	Yes	New Requirement.	Completed: □ Date:
d. Adequate provisions for existing and projected housing needs for all economic segments of the community, including documenting barriers and actions needed to achieve housing availability. RCW 36.70A.070(2)(d) amended in 2021, WAC 365-196-010(g)(ii), WAC 365-196-300(f), WAC 365-196-410 and see Commerce's Housing Action Plan (HAP) guidance: Guidance for Developing a Housing Action Plan.	Not to this level of detail.	Yes	New Requirement. See Housing Action Plan.	Completed: □ Date:
 e. Identify local policies and regulations that result in racially disparate impacts, displacement, and exclusion in housing, including: Zoning that may have a discriminatory effect; Disinvestment; and Infrastructure availability RCW 36.70A.070 (2)(e) new in 2021 	No	Yes	New Requirement. Coordinate with Public Works for infrastructure issues.	Completed: Date:
f. Establish policies and regulations to address and begin to undo racially disparate impacts, displacement, and exclusion in housing caused by local policies, plans, and actions. RCW 36.70A.070(2)(f) new in 2021	No	Yes	New Requirement.	Completed: Date:

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
g. Identification of areas that may be at higher risk of displacement from market forces that occur with changes to zoning development regulations and capital investments. RCW 36.70A.070(2)(g) new in 2021 Establish anti-displacement policies, with consideration given to the preservation of historical and cultural communities as well as investments in low, very low, extremely low, and moderate-income housing; equitable development initiatives; inclusionary zoning; community planning requirements; tenant protections; land disposition policies; and consideration of land that may be used for affordable housing. RCW 36.70A.070(2)(h) new in 2021 See also: Support Materials for Racially Disparate Impacts, Exclusion and Displacement Work	No	Yes	New Requirement.	Completed: □ Date:

Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) Element

To serve as a check on the practicality of achieving other elements of the plan, covering all capital facilities planned, provided and paid for by public entities including local government and special districts, etc. including water systems, sanitary sewer systems, storm water facilities, schools, parks and recreational facilities, police and fire protection facilities. Capital expenditures from park and recreation elements, if separate, should be included in the CFP Element. The CFP Element must be consistent with CWPPs, and RCW 36.70A.070(3) amended in 2023. Changes made to this element through HB 1181 (climate change and resiliency) are not required, although jurisdictions should make a good faith effort to incorporate these items to be consistent with the new legislation.

be consistent with the new registation.				
	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
a. Policies or procedures to ensure capital budget decisions are in conformity with the comprehensive plan. RCW 36.70A.120	Yes. Goal 1 and Policy 1.1 of the CFP	No	CFP is Volume 2 of the Comprehensive Plan.	Completed: ⊠ Date:
b. An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by public entities, including green infrastructure. RCW 36.70A.070(3)(a) amended in 2023 and WAC 365-196-415(1)(a)	City of Olympia Public Facilities Inventory is in CFP.	Confirm information for other public entities is still current/correct. Use new process requirements in GMA	Adopted Master Plans (transportation, parks, utilities) also include relevant information and are linked in the CFP (Volume 2 of the Comprehensive Plan).	Completed: Date:
c. A forecast of needed capital facilities. RCW 36.70A.070(3)(b) and WAC 365-196-415(1)(b) Note: The forecast of future need should be based on projected population and adopted levels of service (LOS) over the planning period.	Forecasted needs are in master plans.	Update if needed. Adopted LOS should be clear.	Update if needed for new population and to year 2045. Confirm that Master Plans, which are in the CFP, include the forecasts specified in RCW 36.70A.070(3)(b).	Completed: Date:
d. Proposed locations and capacities of expanded or new capital facilities. RCW 36.70A.070(3)(c) and WAC 365-196-415 (1)(c) and (3)(c) Infrastructure investments should consider equity and plan for any potential displacement impacts.	Proposed locations and capacities are in master plans.	Update if needed.	Update if needed for new population and to year 2045. Confirm that Master Plans, which are in the CFP, include the proposed locations and capacities specified in RCW 36.70A.070(3)(c).	Completed: Date:
e. A six-year plan (at minimum) that will finance such capital facilities within projected funding capacities and identify sources of public money to finance planned capital facilities. RCW 36.70A.070(3)(d), RCW 36.70A.120, WAC 365-196-415(1)(d)	Yes CFP, Volume II of Comprehensive Plan.	No	Content is updated annually.	Completed: ⊠ Date: Annually in December

		In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
-	f. A policy or procedure to reassess the Land Use Element if probable funding falls short of meeting existing needs. RCW 36.70A.070(3)(e) WAC 365-196-415(2)(d). Note: park and recreation facilities shall be included in the capital facilities plan element.	Yes, CFP Policy 3.10	No		Completed: 🗵 Date:
-	g. If impact fees are collected: identification of public facilities on which money is to be spent. RCW 82.02.050(5) and WAC 365-196-850(3)	Yes, CFP. Impact fees are shown in funding sources for projects.	No	If needed, clarify that impact fees are spent on projects identified in the CFP.	Completed: ⊠ Date:
	h. Identify and include information about all public entities, including special purpose districts that own capital facilities. RCW 36.70A.070 (3) amended in 2023	No	Yes	New Requirement. Outreach and data collection is underway.	Completed: Date:
	Utilities Element Consistent with relevant CWPPs and <u>RCW 36.70A.070 (</u> suppression, electrical lines, telecommunication lines, a required, although jurisdictions should make a good fait	nd natural gas lines.	Changes made to this	element through <u>HB 1181</u> (climate change and res	
	a. The general location, proposed location and capacity of all existing and proposed utilities, to include telecommunications. RCW 36.70A.070(4)(a) amended in 2023 and WAC 365-196-420	Yes, see maps in Utilities Chapter.	Information may need to be updated.	Need to work with private utility purveyors (electricity, cable, internet, telephone/wireless, etc.) to update location, proposed location, and capacities. Integrate strategies from the Thurston Climate Mitigation Plan around energy use and electrification.	Completed: Date:
	b. Identify and include information and contact information about all public entities, including special purpose districts that own utility systems. RCW 36.70A.070 (4)(b) new in 2023	No	Yes	New Requirement	Completed: Date:

Transportation Element
Consistent with relevant CWPPs and RCW 36.70A.070 (6) amended in 2023 by HB 1181. See also the new climate element below for jurisdictional requirements.

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
a. An inventory of air, water and ground transportation facilities and services, including transit alignments, active transportation facilities, state-owned transportation facilities and general aviation airports. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(A) amended in 2023 and WAC 365-196-430(2)(c)	Partially. Transportation Appendices C, D, E, F, G	Yes	PT 30.6 says to coordinate with the Port on truck routes, freight rail and, as needed, air & water transportation needs. Need to include an inventory of air & water transportation.	Completed: Date:
b. Adopted multimodal levels of service standards for all locally owned arterials, locally and regionally operated transit routes that serve UGAs, stateowned or operated transit routes that serve urban areas if the department of transportation has prepared such standards, and active transportation facilities to serve as a gauge to judge performance of the system and success in helping to achieve environmental justice. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(B) and (C) amended in 2023, WAC 365-196-430	Yes. GT8, PT8.1	May need to be updated to provide clarification.	Language about system completeness could be clearer.	Completed: Date:
c. Identification of specific actions to bring transportation facilities and services to established multimodal LOS. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(D) amended in 2023, WAC 365-196-430	Yes, Transportation Master Plan Ch 6, CFP page 5-3	No	Describes concurrency & impact fee programs.	Completed: ⊠ Date:
d. A forecast of multimodal transportation for at least 10 years including land use assumptions used in estimating travel. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(i), RCW 36.70A.070 (6)(a)(iii)(E) amended in 2023, WAC 365-196-430(2)(f)	Yes, Transportation Appendix I	Yes	Needs to be updated to extend the planning horizon and based on new population projections.	Completed: Date:

г					
		In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
•	e. A projection of state and local system needs to equitably meet current and future demand and equitably implement the multimodal network. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(F) amended in 2023, WAC 365-196-430(1)(c)(vi) and RCW 47.06	Yes, Transportation Appendix I	Yes	Needs to be updated.	Completed: Date:
	f. A transition plan for transportation as required in <u>Title II of ADA</u> . Perform self-evaluations of current facilities and develop a program access plan to address deficiencies and achieve the identification of physical obstacles, establish methods, perform modifications and identify leadership roles. <u>RCW</u> 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(G) new in 2023.		May need to include link to ADA transition plan.	New Requirement. See Olympia's <u>ADA Transition Plan</u> .	Completed: □ Date:
	g. An active transportation component to include collaborative efforts to identify and designate planned improvements for active transportation facilities and corridors that address and encourage enhanced community access and promote healthy lifestyles. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(vii) amended in 2023, WAC 365-196-430(2)(j)	There are multiple goals and policies that support active transportation.		See Olympia's <u>Transportation Master Plan</u> .	Completed: ⊠ Date:
	h. A description of any existing and planned transportation demand management (TDM) strategies, such as HOV lanes or subsidy programs, parking policies, etc. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(vi) and WAC 365-196-430(2)(i)(i)	Yes, GT26 and all policies under it, plus GT27 and all policies. See also the Parking Strategy.	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:
	i. An analysis of future funding capability to judge needs against probable funding resources. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iv)(A), WAC 365.196-430(2)(k)(iv)	Yes. Transportation Master Plan, Chapter 5. See also the CFP.	No		Completed: 🗵 Date:

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
. A multi-year financing plan based on needs identified in the comprehensive plan, the appropriate parts of which serve as the basis for the 6-year street, road or transit program. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iv)(B) and RCW 35.77.010, WAC 365-196-430(2)(k)(ii)	Yes, CFP.	No	See also the Transportation Master Plan.	Completed: ⊠ Date:
c. If probable funding falls short of meeting identified needs of the transportation system, including state transportation facilities, a discussion of how additional funds will be raised, or how land use assumptions will be reassessed to ensure that LOS standards will be met. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iv)(C) amended in 2023, WAC 365-196-430(2)(I)(iii)	Yes, CFP Policy 3.10	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:
. A description of intergovernmental coordination efforts, including an assessment of the impacts of the transportation plan and land use assumptions on the transportation systems of adjacent jurisdictions and how it is consistent with the regional transportation plan. RCW 36.70A.070(6) (a)(v); WAC 365-196-430(1)(e) and 430(2)(a)(iii)	Yes. Transportation Chapter GT30 and all associated policies.	No		Completed: 🗵 Date:

Shoreline

For shorelines of the state, the goals and policies of the shoreline management act as set forth in RCW 90.58.020 are added as one of the goals of the Growth Management Act (GMA) as set forth in RCW 36.70A.480. The goals and policies of a shoreline master program for a county or city approved under RCW 90.58 shall be considered an element of the county or city's comprehensive plan.

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
a. The policies, goals, and provisions of RCW 90.58 and applicable guidelines shall be the sole basis for determining compliance of a shoreline master program with this chapter except as the shoreline master program is required to comply with the internal consistency provisions of RCW 36.70A.070, 36.70A.040(4), 35.63.125, 35A.63.105, 36.70A.480	Yes, see the Shoreline Master Program in Natural Environment chapter.	Yes – Needs to be updated to reflect revisions to SMP adopted in 2021.	Completed as part of the recent periodic review of the Shoreline Master Program.	Completed: □ Date:
b. Shoreline master programs shall provide a level of protection to critical areas located within shorelines of the state that assures no net loss of shoreline ecological functions necessary to sustain shoreline natural resources as defined by department of ecology guidelines adopted pursuant to RCW 90.58.060.	Yes	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:
c. Shorelines of the state shall not be considered critical areas under this chapter except to the extent that specific areas located within shorelines of the state qualify for critical area designation based on the definition of critical areas provided by RCW 36.70A.030(5) and have been designated as such by a local government pursuant to RCW 36.70A.060(2)	Critical Areas Ordinance incorporated into the SMP by refence.	No	See footnote 1 in Section 1.2 "Other Policy and Regulatory Tools" of the SMP.	Completed: ⊠ Date:

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes				
d. If a local jurisdiction's master program does not include land necessary for buffers for critical areas that occur within shorelines of the state, as authorized by RCW 90.58.030(2)(f), then the local jurisdiction shall continue to regulate those critical areas and their required buffers pursuant to RCW 36.70A.060(2).	Critical Areas Ordinance incorporated into the SMP by refence.	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:			
Consistent with CWPPs and RCW 36.70A.200, am	Provisions for siting essential public facilities (EPFs) Consistent with CWPPs and RCW 36.70A.200, amended 2021. This section can be included in the Capital Facilities Element, Land Use Element or in its own element. Sometimes the identification and siting process for EPFs is part of the CWPPs.						
 a. A process or criteria for identifying and siting essential public facilities (EPFs). RCW 36.70A.200 and WAC 365-196-550(1) Notes: RCW 36.70A.200, amended 2021 regarding reentry and rehabilitation facilities. EPFs are defined in RCW 36.70A.200. Regional transit authority facilities are included in the list of essential public facilities. 	No – it is currently in the Development Regulations and CWPPs	Yes	At a minimum include reference to the OMC. OMC 18.02.180 defines EPFs and lists some (but not all) uses. May want to update definition to refer to RCW 36.70A.200 so list remains current. OMC 18.04.060(K) and (W) – Residential Districts; 18.06.060(G) – Commercial Districts; 18.08.060(E) and 18.08.080(E) – Industrial Districts See Section V of CWPPs.	Completed: □ Date:			
b. Policies or procedures that ensure the comprehensive plan does not preclude the siting of EPFs. RCW 36.70A.200(5) Note: If the EPF siting process is in the CWPPs, this policy may be contained in the comprehensive plan as well. WAC 365-196-550(3)	No – it is currently in the Development Regulations. Included in CWPPs	Yes	Include connection to process outlined in OMC and include policy to ensure siting of Essential Public Facilities is not precluded.	Completed: Date:			

Tribal Participation in Planning new in 2022 (see <u>HB 1717</u>)
A federally recognized Indian tribe may voluntarily choose (opt-in) to participate in the local and regional planning processes.

		In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
	a. Mutually agreeable memorandum of agreement between local governments and tribes in regard to collaboration and participation in the planning process unless otherwise agreed at the end of a mediation period. RCW 36.70A.040(8)(a) new in 2022, RCW 36.70A.190 new in 2022	No	Invitation to participate and collaborate sent from Mayor to Squaxin Island Tribal Chairman 12/8/22.	Accord between the Squaxin Island Tribe and City of Olympia – but not specific to this newer requirement. See also Acknowledgement Statement in Community Values and Vision chapter. Tribal Council/City Council meeting held in March 2024. Coordination and collaboration is on-going.	Completed: Date:
•	b. Port elements, if adopted, are developed collaboratively between the city, the applicable port and the applicable tribe(s), which shall comply with RCW 36.70A.040(8). RCW 36.70A.085 amended in 2022	No	Do not believe so, but should confirm with Port	City does not believe Port of Olympia qualifies under RCW 36.70A.085.	Completed: Date:
•	c. Urban Growth Areas: counties and cities coordinate planning efforts for any areas planned for urban growth with applicable tribe(s). RCW 36.70A.110(1) amended 2022, RCW 36.70A.040(8)	No	No	No change to UGA anticipated – but unsure of any documentation of any previous work with tribes.	Completed: ⊠ Date:

Climate Change and Resiliency

New in 2023, see HB 1181. RCW and WAC updates are forthcoming.

A new required element for comprehensive plans and new goal of the GMA. Designed to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, plan for resiliency and support environmental justice. Climate elements must maximize economic, environmental and social co-benefits and prioritize environmental justice in order to avoid worsening environmental health disparities. A climate element can take the form of a single comprehensive plan chapter or be integrated into several chapters/elements such as housing, transportation and land use. Visit Commerce's Climate Program webpage for further guidance, grants, tools and staff contacts.

These requirements for GHG emission reductions and resiliency apply to the following counties and their cities with a population greater than 6,000 as of April 1, 2021. Please also review <u>Appendix B</u> for requirements due in the upcoming <u>periodic update</u>.

- June 30, 2025 Deadline: Clark, Skagit, Thurston, Whatcom
- June 30, 2026 Deadline: Benton, Franklin, Spokane
- June 30, 2029 Deadline: These jurisdictions are only required to update two elements this cycle the transportation and climate elements

		In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
•	a. Greenhouse gas emissions reduction sub- element: must include goals and policies to reduce emissions and vehicle miles traveled. This sub-element is mandatory for the state's largest and fastest-growing counties and the cities within those counties. RCW 36.70A.070(9) new in 2023	No	Yes	New Requirement	Completed: □ Date:
•	 b. Resiliency sub-element: must include goals and polices to improve climate preparedness, response and recovery efforts. This is mandatory for all counties and cities fully planning under the GMA and encouraged for others. RCW 36.70A.070(9) new in 2023 	No	Yes	New Requirement	Completed: □ Date:

Future required elements: pending state funding

As of 2022, these elements have not received state funding to aid local jurisdictions in implementation. Therefore, these elements are not required to be added to comprehensive plans at this time. Commerce encourages jurisdictions to begin planning for these elements, pending the future mandate.

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Notes	
Economic Development Although included in RCW 36.70A.070 "mandatory elements" an economic development element is not currently required because funding was not provided to assist in developing local elements when this element was added to the GMA. However, provisions for economic growth, vitality, and a high quality of life are important, and supporting strategies should be integrated with the land use, housing, utilities, and transportation elements. RCW 36.70A.070(7) amended in 2017	Yes	Economy Chapter is being updated.	Completed: Date:
Parks and Recreation Implements and is consistent with the capital facilities plan. Include a ten-year demand estimate, evaluation of service and facilities needs and evaluation of tree canopy coverage within UGAs. RCW 36.70A.070(8) amended in 2023. Although included in RCW 36.70A.070 "mandatory elements" a parks and recreation element is not currently required because the state did not provide funding to assist in developing local elements when this provision was added to the GMA. However, parks, recreation and open space planning are GMA goals, and it is important to plan for and fund these facilities.	Yes	Parks, Arts, and Recreation Chapter is being updated.	Completed: Date:

Optional Elements
Pursuant to RCW 36.70A.080, a comprehensive plan may include additional elements, items, or studies dealing with other subjects relating to the physical development within its jurisdiction, including, but not limited to:

	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Notes	
Community Values & Vision	Yes	The Community Values and Vision Chapter will be updated.	Completed: Date:
Public Participation & Partners	Yes	The Public Participation and Partners Chapter will be updated.	Completed: Date:
Public Safety	Currently in Public Services Chapter	The Public Services Chapter will become a Public Safety Chapter, focusing on safety (police, fire, and code enforcement). Existing policies on school siting will move to the Land Use and Urban Design Chapter. Existing policies on supportive housing will move to the Housing Chapter.	Completed: Date:

Consistency is required by the GMA						
	In Current Plan? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes			
a. All plan elements must be consistent with relevant county-wide planning policies (CWPPs) and, where applicable, multi-county planning policies (MPPs), and the GMA. RCW 36.70A.100 and 210, WAC 365-196-305; 400(2)(c); 510 and 520			Requirement Noted. Consistency is expected.	Completed: Date:		
b. All plan elements must be consistent with each other. RCW 36.70A.070 (preamble) and WAC 365-197-040			Requirement Noted. Consistency is expected.	Completed: Date:		
c. The plan must be coordinated with the plans of adjacent jurisdictions. RCW 36.70A.100 and WAC 365-196-520			Requirement Noted. Consistency and coordination are expected.	Completed: Date:		
Public Participation						
a. Plan ensures public participation in the comprehensive planning process. RCW 36.70A.020(11), .035, and .140, WAC 365-196-600(3) provide possible public participation choices.	Yes. Public Participation and Partners Chapter.	No	Chapter will be updated as part of this Periodic Update.	Completed: Date:		
 b. If the process for making amendments is included in the comprehensive plan: The plan provides that amendments are to be considered no more often than once a year, not including the exceptions described in RCW 36.70A.130(2), WAC365-196-640 The plan sets out a procedure for adopting emergency amendments and defines emergency. RCW 36.70A.130(2)(b) and RCW 36.70A.390, WAC 365-196-650(4) 	n/a	n/a	See OMC 18.59	Completed: □ Date:		

Consistency is required by the GMA						
	In Current Plan? Yes/No	Changes needed to meet current statute?	Notes			
	If yes, cite section	Yes/No				
c. Plan or program for monitoring how well comprehensive plan policies, development regulations, and other implementation techniques are achieving the comp plan's goals and the goals of the GMA. WAC 365-196-660 discusses a potential review of growth management implementation on a systematic basis. New 2021-2022 legislation HB 1241 provides that those jurisdictions with a periodic update due in 2024 have until December 31, 2024 to submit. The legislation also changed the update cycle to every ten years after the 2024-2027 cycle. Jurisdictions that meet the new criteria described in RCW 36.70A.130(9) will be required to submit an implementation progress report five years after the review and revision of their comprehensive plan.	No	Unsure	Not likely that policy changes are needed in the Comprehensive Plan to support the progress report provisions. Will consider as part of the update process.	Completed: Date:		
d. Considerations for preserving property rights. Local governments must evaluate proposed regulatory or administrative actions to assure that such actions do not result in an unconstitutional taking of private property. <u>RCW 36.70A.370</u> . For further guidance see the <u>2018 Advisory Memo on the</u> <u>Unconstitutional Taking of Private Property</u>	Yes, Introduction Chapter	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:		
e. Encourage the involvement of citizens in the planning process, including the participation of vulnerable populations and overburdened communities, and ensure coordination between communities and jurisdictions to reconcile conflicts. HB 1181 (2023) revised RCW 36.70A.020 Planning Goals for inclusion of vulnerable populations and overburdened communities. RCW 36.70A.035 Public Participation was not amended under HB 1181.	Yes, Public Participation and Partners Chapter	Uncertain	Review existing language to see if it needs to be updated to reflect terminology used in GMA	Completed: Date:		

Section II: Development Regulations

Must be consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan. RCW 36.70A.040, WAC 365-196-800 and 810

Critical Areas

Regulations protecting critical areas are required by RCW 36.70A.060(2), RCW 36.70A.172(1), WAC 365-190-080 and WAC 365-195-900 through 925.

Please visit Commerce's <u>Critical Areas webpage</u> for resources and to complete the <u>Critical Areas Checklist</u>. Critical areas regulations must be reviewed and updated, as necessary, to incorporate legislative changes and best available science. Jurisdictions using periodic update grant funds to update critical areas regulations must submit the critical areas checklist as a first deliverable, in addition to this periodic update checklist.

Zoning Code

Note: Please review the new 2023 housing laws in the <u>Washington State Housing Laws of 2019 through 2023</u> guidance, on Commerce's <u>Planning for Housing webpage</u> and <u>Appendix A</u> of this checklist.

	In Current Regs? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
Notice: For more information about housing regulatory changes regarding supportive housing types, see Supportive Housing Types Review Checklist on the Updating GMA Housing Elements webpage. And for additional information on middle housing and ADU regulations, see the Middle Housing webpage.	Noted	Noted		
a. Zoning designations are consistent and implement land use designations that accommodate future housing needs by income bracket as allocated through the countywide planning process. (RCW 36.70A.070(2)(c) - amended in 2021 (HB 1220)	Yes. See OMC 18.59.055	Yes	New Requirement. Likely to need revisions to meet the greater specificity in the GMA around income brackets.	Completed: Date:
b. Permanent supportive housing or transitional housing must be allowed where residences and hotels are allowed. RCW 36.70A.390 new in 2021, (HB 1220 sections 3-5) "permanent supportive housing" is defined in RCW 36.70A.030; "transitional housing" is defined in RCW 84.36.043(2)(c)	Yes OMC 18.06.040	No	New Requirement	Completed: ⊠ Date:

	In Current Regs? Yes/No	Changes needed to meet current statute?	Notes	
	If yes, cite section	Yes/No		
c. Indoor emergency shelters and indoor emergency housing must be allowed in any zones in which hotels are allowed, except in cities that have adopted an ordinance authorizing indoor emergency shelters and indoor emergency housing in a majority of zones within one-mile of transit. RCW 35A.21.430 amended in 2021, RCW 35.21.683, amended in 2021, (HB 1220 sections 3-5) "emergency housing" is defined in RCW 84.36.043(2)(b)	Yes OMC 18.06.040	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:
d. The number of unrelated persons that occupy a household or dwelling unit except as provided in state law, for short-term rentals, or occupant load per square foot shall not be regulated or limited by cities. (HB 5235), RCW 35.21.682 new in 2021, RCW 35A.21.314 new in 2022, new in 2021	Yes OMC 18.02.180	No	Revised definition of family to remove the number of unrelated people allowed to live together.	Completed: ⊠ Date:
e. Limitations on the amount of parking local governments can require for low-income, senior, disabled and market-rate housing units located near high-quality transit service. RCW 36.70A.620 amended in 2020 and RCW 36.70A.600 amended in 2019	Yes OMC 18.38	No	Significant parking reductions for residential uses were approved in 2023.	Completed: ⊠ Date:
f. Family day care providers are allowed in all residential dwellings located in areas zoned for residential or commercial RCW 36.70A.450. Review RCW 43.216.010 for definition of family day care provider and WAC 365-196-865 for more information.	Yes. OMC 18.04 Table 4.01 and OMC 18.06 Table 6.01	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:

	In Current Regs? Yes/No	Changes needed to meet current statute?	Notes	
g. Manufactured housing is regulated the same as site built housing. RCW 35.21.684 amended in 2019, RCW 35.63.160, RCW 35A.21.312 amended in 2019 and RCW 36.01.225 amended in 2019. A local government may require that manufactured homes: (1) are new, (2) are set on a permanent foundation, and (3) comply with local design standards applicable to other homes in the neighborhood, but may not discriminate against consumer choice in housing. See: National Manufactured Housing Construction and Safety Standards Act of 1974	Yes. OMC 18.02.180.D as Dwelling, Conventional.	Yes/No No		Completed: ⊠ Date:
h. Accessory dwelling units: cities (and counties) must adopt or amend by ordinance, and incorporate into their development regulations, zoning regulations and other official controls. RCW 36.70A.680 amended in 2023, RCW 36.70A.681 amended in 2023, RCW 36.70A.696 amended in 2023, RCW 36.70A.697 amended in 2020, RCW 36.70A.698 amended in 2020, RCW 36.70A.699 amended in 2020 See new Commerce guidance on the Middle Housing webpage	Yes. OMC 18.04.060.A and OMC 18.38.100	Yes	Will need revisions in order to implement new state requirements	Completed: □ Date:
i. Residential structures occupied by persons with handicaps, and group care for children that meets the definition of "familial status" are regulated the same as a similar residential structure occupied by a family or other unrelated individuals. No city or county planning under the GMA may enact or maintain ordinances, development regulations, or administrative practices which treat a residential structure occupied by persons with handicaps differently than a similar residential structure occupied by a family or other unrelated individuals. RCW 36.70A.410, RCW 70.128.140 and 150, RCW 49.60.222-225 and WAC 365-196-860		Unsure	Recommend review of OMC 18.04.060(K) to ensure consistency with state language for Group Homes and OMC 18.02.180(F) – Family. Existing language is confusing. Group home Requirements: 18.04.060.k.	Completed: □ Date:

	In Current Regs? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
j. Affordable housing programs enacted or expanded under RCW 36.70A.540 amended in 2022 comply with the requirements of this section. Examples of such programs may include: density bonuses within urban growth areas, height and bulk bonuses, fee waivers or exemptions, parking reductions, expedited permitting conditioned on provision of low-income housing units, or mixed-use projects. WAC 365-196-300 See also RCW 36.70A.545 and WAC 365-196-410(2)(e)(i) "affordable housing" is defined in RCW 84.14.010 Review RCW 36.70A.620 amended in 2020, for minimum residential parking requirements.	Yes	No	 Housing Action Plan Multi-Family Tax Exemption Low-income density bonus in villages and centers Low-income density bonus in residential districts: 18.04.080.A.4.d Impact Fees - Title 15 Parking standards located in Table 38.01 in OMC 18.38.100 are consistent with RCW 36.70A.620 	Completed: Date:
k. Limitations on regulating: outdoor encampments, safe parking efforts, indoor overnight shelters and temporary small houses on property owned or controlled by a religious organization. RCW 36.01.290 amended in 2020	Yes OMC 18.50	No		Completed: 🗵 Date:
I. Regulations discourage incompatible uses around general aviation airports. RCW 36.70.547 and WAC 365-196-455. Incompatible uses include: high population intensity uses such as schools, community centers, tall structures, and hazardous wildlife attractants such as solid waste disposal sites, wastewater or stormwater treatment facilities, or stockyards. For more guidance, see WSDOT's Aviation Land Use Compatibility Program.	No	Yes	Also addressed in "i" under Section 1: Comprehensive Plan Elements. The closest airport is Hoskins Field in the City of Lacey and adjacent to Olympia's Urban Growth Area. It is a general aviation airport, so is subject to the land use compatibility provisions.	Completed: Date:

	In Current Regs? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
m. If a U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) military base employing 100 or more personnel is within or adjacent to the jurisdiction, zoning should discourage the siting of incompatible uses adjacent to military base. RCW 36.70A.530(3) and WAC 365-196-475. Visit Military One Source to locate any bases in your area and help make determination of applicability. If applicable, inform the commander of the base regarding amendments to the comprehensive plan and development regulations on lands adjacent to the base.	n/a	No		Completed: Date:
n. Electric vehicle infrastructure (jurisdiction specified: adjacent to Interstates 5, 90, 405 or state route 520 and other criteria) must be allowed as a use in all areas except those zoned for residential, resource use or critical areas. RCW 36.70A.695	Yes. OMC 18.04 Table 4.01 and OMC 18.04.060 (GG)	No	May need to be clarified to allow for DC fast charging. OMC 18.04 may suggest that fast charging would not be considered as an accessory use within a parking lot or parking structure.	Completed: Date:
Shoreline Master Program Consistent with RCW 90.58 Shoreline Managemen	it Act of 1971			
a. Zoning designations are consistent with Shoreline Master Program (SMP) environmental designations. RCW 36.70A.480	Yes. Compare SMP designations to Future Land Use Map and Zoning Districts.	No		Completed: 🗵 Date:
b. If updated to meet RCW 36.70A.480 (2010), SMP regulations provide protection to critical areas in shorelines that is at least equal to the protection provided to critical areas by the critical areas ordinance. RCW 36.70A.480(4) and RCW 90.58.090(4) See Ecology's shoreline planners' toolbox for the SMP Checklist and other resources and Ecology's Shoreline Master Programs Handbook webpage	Yes. OMC 18.20	No	Updated in 2021	Completed: ⊠ Date:

Resource Lands

Defined in <u>RCW 36.70A.030(3), (12) and (17)</u> and consistent with <u>RCW 36.70A.060</u> and <u>RCW 36.70A.170</u>

	consistent with <u>item</u>	- 30.70A.000 una <u>1107</u>	<u> </u>	
	In Current Regs? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
a. Zoning is consistent with natural resource lands designations in the comprehensive plan and conserves natural resource lands. RCW 36.70A.060(3), WAC 365-196-815 and WAC 365-190-020(6). Consider innovative zoning techniques to conserve agricultural lands of long-term significance RCW 36.70A.177(2). See also WAC 365-196-815(3) for examples of innovative zoning techniques.	n/a	n/a		Completed: □ Date:
b. Regulations to assure that use of lands adjacent to natural resource lands does not interfere with natural resource production. RCW 36.70A.060(1)(a) and WAC 365-190-040 Regulations require notice on all development permits and plats within 500 feet of designated natural resource lands that the property is within or near a designated natural resource land on which a variety of commercial activities may occur that are regulations to implement comprehensive plan	No	Yes	There are no agricultural or forestry resource lands designated within 500 feet of the City Limits or UGA. There is a Sand and/or Gravel mineral resource lands designation south of the southern-most part of the Olympia UGA. When annexed, the notification requirement will apply to some of that area. Needs to apply to Titles 16, 17 and 18. Use specific language in RCW 36.70A.060(1)(b).	Completed: □ Date:
c. For designated agricultural land, regulations encourage nonagricultural uses to be limited to lands with poor soils or otherwise not suitable for agricultural purposes. Accessory uses should be located, designed and operated to support the continuation of agricultural uses. RCW 36.70A.177(3)(b)	n/a	n/a	There are no designated agricultural lands within the City or UGA.	Completed: □ Date:
d. Designate mineral lands and associated regulations as required by RCW 36.70A.131and WAC 365-190-040(5). For more information review the WA State Dept. of Natural Resources (DNR)'s Geology Division site	n/a	n/a		Completed: □ Date:

Siting Essential Public Facilities

Regulations for siting essential public facilities should be consistent with RCW 36.70A.200 and consider WAC 365-196-550. Essential public facilities include those facilities that are typically difficult to site, such as airports, state education facilities, state or regional transportation facilities, state and local correctional facilities, solid waste handling facilities, and in-patient facilities including substance abuse facilities, mental health facilities, group homes, and secure community transition facilities. Regulations may be specific to a local jurisdiction, but may be part of county-wide planning policies (CWPPs).

Regulations for CWPPs include a process for siting EPFs and ensure EPFs are not precluded. RCW 36.70A.200 amended in 2023, WAC 365-196-550(6) lists process for siting EPFs. WAC 365-196-550(3) details preclusions. EPFs should be located outside of known hazardous areas. Visit Commerce's Behavioral Health Facilities Program page for information on establishing or expanding new capacity for behavioral health EPFs. Note: RCW 36.70A.200 amended by SB 5536 to include EPFs for opioid treatment programs	In Current Regs? Yes/No If yes, cite section Yes – CWPPs Section V and OMC 18.04.060(K) and (W); 18.06.060(G); 18.08.060(E) and 18.08.080(E)	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No Update reference to refer to revised RCW if needed	Notes OMC 18.04.060(K) and (W) – Residential Districts; 18.06.060(G) – Commercial Districts; and 18.08.060(E) and 18.08.080(E) – Industrial Districts. See Section V of CWPPs. May want to update EPF definition in OMC 18.02.180 to reference RCW 36.70A.200, so our definition remains current.	Completed: Date:
Subdivision Code				
a. Subdivision regulations are consistent with and implement comprehensive plan policies. RCW 36.70A.030(8), RCW 36.70A.040(4)	Yes	No	The subdivision code is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. It should be updated to reflect current application provisions of the electronic application process.	Completed: 🗵 Date:
 b. Written findings to approve subdivisions establish adequacy of public facilities. RCW 58.17.110 amended in 2018. Streets or roads, sidewalks, alleys, other public ways, transit stops, and other features that assure safe walking conditions for students. Potable water supplies, sanitary wastes, and drainage ways. RCW 36.70A.590 amended 2018 Open spaces, parks and recreation, and playgrounds Schools and school grounds Other items related to the public health, safety and general welfare, WAC 365-196-820(1). 	OMC 17.04.090	No	Requirement for written findings should be direct and clear. Should apply to all	Completed: ⊠ Date:

		In Current Regs? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
58.17 period years Note: years or bef prelim Janua locate shore	minary subdivision approvals under RCW 7.140 and RCW 58.17.170 are valid for a d of five or seven years (previously five s). preliminary plat approval is valid for: seven if the date of preliminary plat approval is on fore December 31, 2014; five years if the minary plat approval is issued on or after ary 1, 2015; and ten years if the project is ed within city limits, not subject to the eline management act, and the preliminary is approved on or before December 31, 2007.	OMC 17.20.010	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:
unit lo	ide in short plat regulations procedures for ot subdivisions allowing division of a parent to separately owned unit lots. RCW 7.060 (3) new in 2023 by SB 5258 - section	No	Yes	New definition and provisions are needed.	Completed: Date:

Stormwater						
	In Current Regs? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes			
a. Regulations protect water quality and implement actions to mitigate or cleanse drainage, flooding, and storm water run-off that pollute waters of the state, including Puget Sound or waters entering Puget Sound. RCW 36.70A.070(1) Regulations may include: adoption of a stormwater manual consistent with Ecology's latest manual for Eastern or Western Washington, adoption of a clearing and grading ordinance –See Commerce's 2005 Technical Guidance Document for Clearing and Grading in Western Washington. Adoption of a low impact development ordinance. See Puget Sound Partnership's 2012 Low Impact Development guidance and Ecology's 2013 Eastern Washington Low Impact Development guidance. Additional Resources: Federal Grants to Protect Puget Sound Watersheds, Building Cities in the Rain, Ecology Stormwater Manuals, Puget Sound Partnership Action Agenda	Yes EDDS, Chapter 5	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:		
b. Provisions for corrective action for failing septic systems that pollute waters of the state. RCW 36.70A.070(1). See also: DOH Wastewater Management, Ecology On-Site Sewage System Projects & Funding	Yes EDDS Chapters 5 & 7	No		Completed: 🗵 Date:		

_							
Γ)raonio	Materia		lonogo	mant l	E o o ilii	tioo
U	ланис	ivialena	IS IV	ianade	1110111	tacini.	\square
$\overline{}$,, 901110	IVIGCOIIG		iai iago		L GOIII	

New in 2022, <u>HB 1799</u> added a section to the GMA aimed at reducing the volumes of organic materials collected in conjunction with other solid waste and delivered to landfills, supporting productive uses of organic material waste and reduction of methane gas (a greenhouse gas).

New section <u>RCW 36.70A.142</u> ; new in 2022		s/No		
legislation HB 1799: Development regulations newly developed, updated, or amended after January 1, 2025 allow for the siting of organic materials (OM) management facilities as identified in local solid waste management plans (SWMP) to meet OM reduction and diversion goals. Siting to meet criteria described in RCW 70A.205.040(3) See also RCW 36.70.330. For applicability, see RCW 70A.205.540) Y	res New requ	Jirement	Completed: Date:

Impact Fees

May impose impact fees on development activity as part of the financing for public facilities, provided that the financing for system improvements to serve new development must provide for a balance between impact fees and other sources of public funds; cannot rely solely on impact fees.

a. If adopted, impact fees are applied consistent with RCW 82.02.050 amended in 2015, RCW 82.02.060 amended in 2023 by SB 5258, .070, .080, .090 amended in 2018 and .100. WAC 365-196-850 provides guidance on how impact fees should be implemented and spent.	Yes OMC 15.04.010	No	Completed: ⊠ Date:
b. Jurisdictions collecting impact fees must adopt and maintain a system for the deferred collection of impact fees for single-family detached and attached residential construction, consistent with RCW 82.02.050(3) amended in 2016	Yes OMC 15.04.040(H)	No	Completed: ⊠ Date:
c. If adopted, limitations on impact fees for early learning facilities RCW 82.02.060 amended in 2021	Yes OMC 15.04.060	No	Completed: ⊠ Date:

	In Current Regs? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes					
If adopted, exemption of impact fees for low- income and emergency housing development RCW 82.02.060 amended in 2023. See also definition change in RCW 82.02.090(1)(b) amended in 2018	Yes OMC 15.04.060(D)	Yes	Definition of "Development Activity" needs to be amended to include the two exceptions included in RCW 82.02.090(1)(a) and (b).	Completed: Date:				
e. Ensure impact fees are not assessed on the construction of accessory dwelling units that are greater than 50 percent (50%) of the impact fees hat would be imposed on the principal unit. RCW by HB 1337	Currently this is the case – see impact fee schedule	Consider adding language to ensure this remains the case	New Requirement	Completed: Date:				
The schedule of impact fees reflects the proportionate impact of new housing units, including multifamily and condominium units, passed on the square footage, number of bedrooms, or trips generated, in the housing unit in order to produce a proportionally lower impact fee for smaller housing units. RCW 82.02.060 amended in 2023 by SB 5258	No	Yes	New Requirement	Completed: Date:				
Concurrency and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Ensures consistency in land use approval and the development of adequate public facilities as plans are implemented, maximizes the efficiency of existing transportation systems, limits the impacts of traffic and reduces pollution.								
. The transportation concurrency requirement includes specific language that prohibits development when level of service standards for transportation facilities cannot be met. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(b) amended in 2023, WAC 365-196-840. Note: Concurrency is required for transportation,	Yes OMC 15.20	No	OMC 15.20 states that a building permit won't be issued if a project doesn't meet concurrency.	Completed: ⊠ Date:				
	income and emergency housing development RCW 82.02.060 amended in 2023. See also definition change in RCW 82.02.090(1)(b) amended in 2018 2. Ensure impact fees are not assessed on the construction of accessory dwelling units that are greater than 50 percent (50%) of the impact fees hat would be imposed on the principal unit. RCW 66.70A.681 new in 2023 by HB 1337 3. The schedule of impact fees reflects the proportionate impact of new housing units, including multifamily and condominium units, passed on the square footage, number of bedrooms, or trips generated, in the housing unit in order to produce a proportionally lower impact fee for smaller housing units. RCW 82.02.060 amended in 2023 by SB 5258 CUTTENCY and Transportation Defures consistency in land use approval and the esting transportation systems, limits the impacts of the transportation concurrency requirement includes specific language that prohibits development when level of service standards for transportation facilities cannot be met. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(b) amended in 2023, WAC 365-196-840.	If adopted, exemption of impact fees for low-income and emergency housing development RCW 82.02.060 amended in 2023. See also definition change in RCW 82.02.090(1)(b) amended in 2018 E. Ensure impact fees are not assessed on the construction of accessory dwelling units that are greater than 50 percent (50%) of the impact fees hat would be imposed on the principal unit. RCW 16.70A.681 new in 2023 by HB 1337 The schedule of impact fees reflects the proportionate impact of new housing units, ancluding multifamily and condominium units, ansed on the square footage, number of bedrooms, or trips generated, in the housing unit in order to produce a proportionally lower impact fee for smaller housing units. RCW 82.02.060 amended in 1023 by SB 5258 Incurrency and Transportation Demand Managures consistency in land use approval and the development of adeting transportation systems, limits the impacts of traffic and reducting transportation concurrency requirement includes specific language that prohibits development when level of service standards for transportation facilities cannot be met. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(b) amended in 2023, WAC 365-196-840. Note: Concurrency is required for transportation,	If adopted, exemption of impact fees for low-income and emergency housing development RCW 82.02.060 amended in 2023. See also definition change in RCW 82.02.090(1)(b) amended in 2018 E. Ensure impact fees are not assessed on the construction of accessory dwelling units that are preater than 50 percent (50%) of the impact fees hat would be imposed on the principal unit. RCW 16.70A.681 new in 2023 by HB 1337 The schedule of impact fees reflects the proportionate impact of new housing units, pased on the square footage, number of bedrooms, or trips generated, in the housing unit in order to produce a proportionally lower impact fee for smaller housing units. RCW 82.02.060 amended in 1023 by SB 5258 Incurrency and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) urres consistency in land use approval and the development of adequate public facilities sting transportation concurrency requirement includes specific language that prohibits development when level of service standards for transportation facilities cannot be met. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(b) amended in 2023, WAC 365-196-840. Note: Concurrency is required for transportation,	If adopted, exemption of impact fees for low-income and emergency housing development RCW 82.02.069 amended in 2023. See also definition change in RCW 82.02.090(1)(b) amended in 2018 I. Ensure impact fees are not assessed on the construction of accessory dwelling units that are retreater than 50 percent (50%) of the impact fees hat would be imposed on the principal unit. RCW 66.70A.681 new in 2023 by HB.1332 The schedule of impact fees reflects the roportionate impact of new housing units, raised on the square footage, number of bedrooms, or trips generated, in the housing unit in order to roduce a proportionally lower impact fee for maller housing units. RCW 82.02.069 amended in 2023 by S8.5258 The transportation of Toevelopment Activity" needs to be amended to include the two exceptions included in RCW 82.02.090(1)(a) and (b). Consider adding language to ensure this remains the case Fee schedule Currently this is the Currently this remains the case New Requirement New Requirement New Requirement No Yes New Requirement New Requirement New Requirement (TDM) The transportation concurrency requirement includes specific language that prohibits development of service standards for transportation facilities cannot be met. RCW 35.708.070(6)(b) amended in 2023, WAC 365-166.840. Note: Concurrency is required for transportation,				

	In Current Regs? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
b. Measures exist to bring into compliance locally owned, or locally or regionally operated, transportation facilities or services that are below the levels of service established in the comprehensive plan. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(B) and (D), RCW 36.70A.070(6)(b) amended in 2023. Levels of service can be established for automobiles, pedestrians and bicycles. See WAC 365-196-840(3) on establishing an appropriate level of service.	Yes OMC 15.20	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:
c. Highways of statewide significance (HSS) are exempt from the concurrency ordinance. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(iii)(C)	No	Yes		Completed: Date:
d. Traffic demand management (TDM) requirements are consistent with the comprehensive plan. RCW 36.70A.070(6)(a)(vi) Examples may include requiring new development to be oriented towards transit streets, pedestrian-oriented site and building design, and requiring bicycle and pedestrian connections to street and trail networks. WAC 365-196-840(4) recommends adopting methodologies that analyze the transportation system from a comprehensive, multimodal perspective.	Yes EDDS 4H.060 A8, EDDS 2.040 B 3a	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:
e. If required by RCW 82.70, a commute trip reduction (CTR) ordinance to achieve reductions in the proportion of single-occupant vehicle commute trips has been adopted. The ordinance should be consistent with comprehensive plan policies for CTR and Department of Transportation rules.	Yes, <u>Ordinance</u> <u>#5656</u>	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:

Tribal Participation in Planning new in 2022 (see HB 1717) A federally recognized Indian tribe may voluntarily choose to participate in the county or regional planning process. See Commerce's new Tribal Planning Coordination for GMA webpage for guidance and staff contacts. In Current Reas? Changes needed to Yes/No meet current statute? Notes If yes, cite section Yes/No a. Mutually agreeable memorandum of agreement between local governments and tribes in regard to collaboration and participation in the planning Is a memorandum of agreement actually Completed: process unless otherwise agreed at the end of a required to be in the OMC? Date: mediation period RCW 36.70A.040(8)(a) new in 2022. b. Policies consistent with countywide planning policies that address the protection of tribal cultural resources in collaboration with federally Completed: recognized Indian tribes that are invited, provided Date: that a tribe, or more than one tribe, chooses to participate in the process. RCW 36.70A.210(3)(i) new in 2022. Regulations to Implement Optional Elements a. New fully contained communities are consistent Completed: with comprehensive plan policies, RCW n/a n/a Date: 36.70A.350 and WAC 365-196-345 b. If applicable, master planned resorts are consistent with comprehensive plan policies, Completed: n/a n/a RCW 36.70A.360, RCW 36.70A.362 and WAC 365-Date: 196-460 c. If applicable, major industrial developments and master planned locations outside of UGAs are Completed: consistent with comprehensive plan policies. n/a n/a Date: RCW 36.70A.365, RCW 36.70A.367 and WAC 365-196-465 d. Regulations include procedures to identify,

No

Date:

preserve, and/or monitor historical or

WAC 365-196-450

archaeological resources. RCW 36.70A.020(13).

Yes

OMC 18.12 and

18.105

	In Current Regs? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
e. Other development regulations needed to implement comprehensive plan policies such as energy, sustainability or design are adopted. WAC 365-196-445				Completed: Date:
f. Design guidelines for new development are clear and easy to understand; administration procedures are clear and defensible	Yes OMC 18.100-180			Completed: Date:
g. Local design review includes one or more ascertainable guideline, standard or criterion by which an applicant can determine whether a given building design is permissible under that development regulation. May not result in a reduction in density, height, bulk or scale below the generally applicable development regulations for a development proposal in the applicable zone. RCW 36.70A.630 new in 2023 by HB 1293	Yes OMC 18.100-180	May need updating to reflect changes in state laws		Completed: □ Date:
Project Review Procedures In 2023, <u>SB 5290</u> substantially amended local pern	nit review processe	s. Codification and add	ditional resources from Commerce are forth	icoming.
Project review processes integrate permit and environmental review. RCW 36.70A.470, RCW 36.70B and RCW 43.21C. Also: WAC 365-196-845, WAC 197-11(SEPA Rules), WAC 365-197 (Project Consistency Rule, Commerce, 2001) and Ecology SEPA Handbook. Integrated permit and environmental review procedures for: Notice of application Notice of complete application One open-record public hearing Combining public hearings & decisions for multiple permits Notice of decision One closed-record appeal	Yes OMC 18.70	No	Updated in 2023.	Completed: ⊠ Date:

Plan & Regulation Amendments
If procedures governing comprehensive plan amendments are part of the code, then assure the following are true:

if procedures governing comprehensive plan amer	idifients are part of	the code, then assure	the following are true.	
	In Current Regs? Yes/No	Changes needed to meet current statute?	Notes	
	If yes, cite section	Yes/No		
a. Regulations limit amendments to the comprehensive plan to once a year (with statutory exceptions). RCW 36.70A.130(2) and WAC 365-196-640(3)	Yes, OMC 18.59	No		Completed: 🗵 Date:
b. Regulations define <i>emergency</i> for an emergency plan amendment. RCW 36.70A.130(2)(b) and WAC 365-196-640(4)	Yes, OMC 18.59	No		Completed: 🗵 Date:
c. Regulations include a docketing process for requesting and considering plan amendments. RCW 36.70A.130(2), RCW 36.70A.470, and WAC 365-196-640(6)	Yes, OMC 18.59	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:
d. A process has been established for early and continuous public notification and participation in the planning process. RCW 36.70A.020(11), RCW 36.70A.035 and RCW 36.70A.140. See WAC 365-196-600 regarding public participation and WAC 365-196-610(2) listing recommendations for meeting requirements.	Yes, OMC 18.59 and 18.70	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:
e. A process exists to assure that proposed regulatory or administrative actions do not result in an unconstitutional taking of private property RCW 36.70A.370. See the 2018 Advisory Memo on the Unconstitutional Taking of Private Property	Yes OMC 18.59 and ordinance findings	No		Completed: ⊠ Date:
f. Provisions ensure adequate enforcement of regulations, such as zoning and critical area ordinances (civil or criminal penalties). See implementation strategy in WAC 365-196-650(1).	Yes OMC 18.73	No		Completed: 🗵 Date:

Appendix A: Housing unit minimums per population

See Commerce's <u>Middle Housing webpage</u> for more information and the <u>Middle Housing Fact Sheet</u> for the list of cities that must comply with the following requirements.

Cities with a population less than 25,000 but within the Contiguous UGA with the largest city in a county with a population greater than 275,000

2, 6,666				
	In Current zoning? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes	
Zoning and development regulations allow at least two residential units per lot on all lots zoned predominantly for residential use, unless the lot is smaller than 1,000 square feet. RCW 36.70A.635 (1) and (6) HB 1110	No	Yes	New requirement.	Completed: Date:

Cities with a population between 25,000 and 75,000						
	In Current zoning? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes			
 a. Zoning and development regulations allow at least: two residential units on each lot, four residential units on each lot if at least one unit is affordable, unless the lot is smaller than 1,000 square feet. 	No	Yes	New requirement.	Completed: □ Date:		
b. Zoning and development regulations allow at least four residential units on each lot, within ¼ mile walking distance of a major transit stop.	No	Yes	New requirement.	Completed: Date:		

Cities with a population greater than 75,000					
	In Current zoning? Yes/No If yes, cite section	Changes needed to meet current statute? Yes/No	Notes		
 a. Zoning and development regulations allow at least: four residential units on each lot, six residential units on each lot if at least two units are affordable, unless the lot is smaller than 1,000 square feet. 	n/a	n/a		Completed: Date:	
b. Zoning and development regulations allow at least six residential units on each lot, within ¼ mile walking distance of a major transit stop.	n/a	n/a		Completed: Date:	

Appendix B: Element updates per HB 1181

	Required Updates to GMA Elements per HB 1181						
GMA Periodic Update Due Dates	Greenhouse Gas Reduction Sub-element	Climate Resilience Sub-element	Transportation Element	Land Use Element	Capital Facilities Element*	Utilities Element*	Parks & Recreation Element*
For jurisdictions with a 2024 deadline and subject to GHG Emissions Reduction [Sec. 15 (10)]	Due 2029	Due 2029	Due 2029	Due in 2034			
For jurisdictions with a 2025 & 2026 deadline and subject to GHG Emissions Reduction [Sec. 4 (1) and (2)]	Х	Х	X	Х	X*	X*	Х*
Jurisdictions <u>not</u> subject to GHG Emissions Reduction (all years) [Sec. 4 (3) and 9(e)(i)]	Optional	X See RCW 36.70A.0 70(9)(e)	**	Only counties over 20k pop.	X*	X*	X*

Table notes: "X" indicates a GMA update requirement. One asterisk (*) indicates jurisdictions should make a good faith effort to update their elements to be consistent; refer to HB 1181 (Chapter 228, Laws of 2023) for specifics. Two asterisks (**) indicates 22 cities that need to update their transportation element based on population, not whether or not their county is among those that must develop a GHG emissions element.