## 2015 Comprehensive Plan Amendment - Preliminary Proposal

Proposed by 48 members of the Bigelow Neighborhood Association 11/9/14

## A. Type of proposed amendment

1. Text amendment $\qquad$ Map amendment $\qquad$
$\qquad$
2. What issue is addressed or problem solved by the proposed amendment?

Zoning in and around the Olympia Avenue Historic District is contradictory and does not protect the historic and residential character of this area.

2013 zoning map at right
orange outline: Bigelow Neighborhood Association boundary
blue outline: Olympia Avenue Historic District boundary,

- The Olympia Avenue Historic District includes properties on both sides of Olympia Avenue, from Pear to Tullis streets. While most of this area is appropriately-zoned $\mathrm{R}-4-8$, the section of Olympia between Puget and Tullis is zoned R-6-12, and one lot is zoned PO/RM.

We request that all of the Olympia Avenue Historic District, except the existing clinic at 1005 Olympia be zoned R-4-8.

- State Avenue is zoned HDC-3 (red-orange, below), from Sawyer east, HDC-2 (fuchsia) from Sawyer to Fir, HDC-1 (aqua) from Fir to Eastside, but PO/RM (yellow) and GC (orange) from Eastside to Plum streets. HDC-1 and -2 both require that new buildings are compatible with surrounding heights, architectural features, and materials. PO/RM
 and GC do not.


Although State Avenue from Tullis to Pear isn't an historic district, 12 out of 21 buildings are well-maintained houses or businesses built before 1938. Only two of these have historic plaques. PO/RM zoning here allows buildings of any style, unless next door to a house with an historic plaque. See illustration below


Having PO/RM and GC zones which include historic homes and abut an historic district, does not offer protection to historic properties.

We ask that the zoning of State Avenue on both sides be changed to HDC- 1 from Fir to Plum streets. This will still allow most uses and heights that PO/RM allows, but will require new construction to be compatible with surrounding properties. It will also make the entire stretch of east State Avenue HDC.
B. Proposed map amendments (if any)

1. If any associated map amendments are proposed, please describe the purpose:

- To eliminate conflicting zoning and design district designations.
- To protect the unique historic character of the OAHD and surrounding historic properties

2. Please describe the specific proposed map designation change(s) and related information:

| Map(s) proposed to <br> be amended | Acres or <br> square feet | Current <br> Designation(s) | Proposed <br> Designation(s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Comprehensive Plan <br> Map(s): | 7.5 acres | R-6-12, PO/RM, GC | R 4-8, HDC-1 |
| Zoning or other <br> Development Code <br> Map(s): | 7.5 acres | R-6-12, PO/RM, GC | R 4-8, HDC-1 |

3. Please submit with specific site highlighted the following maps or excerpts and a list of tax parcel numbers for all of properties directly affected by the proposed map amendment(s):

Affected areas in pink outline


## R-6-12 to R-4-8

| Parcel | Zone Now | Proposed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 68200100100 | R-6-12 | R-4-8 |
| 68200100200 | R-6-12 | R-4-8 |
| 68200100500 | R-6-12 | R-4-8 |
| 68200400700 | R-6-12 | R-4-8 |
| 68200400800 | R-6-12 | R-4-8 |
| 68200400900 | R-6-12 | R-4-8 |
| 68200401000 | R-6-12 | R-4-8 |
| 68200401100 | R-6-12 | R-4-8 |
| 68200401200 | R-6-12 | R-4-8 |

## PO/RM and GC to HDC-1

| Parcel | Zone Now | Proposed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68100200600 | PO/RM | HDC-1 |
| 68100200800 | PO/RM | HDC-1 |
| 68100201000 | PO/RM | HDC-1 |
| 68100300100 | GC | HDC-1 |
| 68100300200 | GC | HDC-1 |
| 68100300300 | GC | HDC-1 |
| 68100300400 | GC | HDC-1 |
| 68100300500 | GC | HDC-1 |
| 68100300501 | GC | HDC-1 |
| 78201900100 | PO/RM | HDC-1 |
| 78201900400 | PO/RM | HDC-1 |
| 78201900600 | PO/RM | HDC-1 |
| 78201900900 | PO/RM | HDC-1 |
| 78202000100 | PO/RM | HDC-1 |
| 78202000200 | PO/RM | HDC-1 |
| 78202700600 | PO/RM | HDC-1 |
| 78202700700 | PO/RM | HDC-1 |
| 78202700800 | PO/RM | HDC-1 |
| 78202700900 | PO/RM | HDC-1 |
| 78202800100 | GC | HDC-1 |
| 78202800200 | GC | HDC-1 |
| 78202800300 | GC | HDC-1 |
| 78202800400 | GC | HDC-1 |

a. Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map (detail from from 2015 version)

- Change the current PO/RM and GC zoning to HDC-1 along State from Eastside to East Bay
- Change R-6-12 to R-4-8 on Olympia Avenue from Puget to Tullis

b. Zoning Map (proposed)

All of the OAHD (except 1005 Olympia Ave) becomes R-4-8. Both sides of State from Fir to East Bay become HDC-1. The small piece of Olympia Avenue on the south side between Pear and East Bay becomes HDC-1 (currently a church)


## c. Other relevant maps

C. Other information (please feel free to attach any additional information)

1. If a text amendment is proposed, please describe the proposed Comprehensive Plan amendment and provide any specific proposed wording. Please be as specific as possible regarding any text to be deleted, added, etc.

- Eliminate the PO/RM and GC zones on east State and make it HDC-1 between Eastside and Plum (East Bay).
- Include the new HDC-1 zoned area in the HDC Design District and make it so the entire Olympia Avenue Historic District is in the Residential Infill District.

2. Please describe or explain any development code amendment that you believe might be appropriate to implement the proposed Comprehensive Plan amendment.

## See above

3. Are you aware of any other City of Olympia plans (e.g., water, sewer, transportation) affected by, or needing amending, to implement the proposed amendment? If so, please explain.

## No new development needed

Note: City staff may contact you seeking additional information or clarification of your proposal.
EMail: jayelder@comcast.net
Cell: 360-888-7292

## GENERAL LAND USE APPLICATION

## Olympia

OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Case \#: $\qquad$
Received By: $\qquad$

Master File \#. $\qquad$ Project Planner:

Date:
Related Cases:

One or more of the following Supplements must be attached to this General Land Use Application:

Adjacent Property Owner List | Annexation Notice of Intent |
| :--- |
| Annexation Petition (with BRB Form) |
| Binding Site Plan |
| Boundary Line Adjustment (Lot Consolidation) |
| Design Review - Concept (Major) |
| Design Review - Detail |
| Environmental Review (Critical Area) |
| Final Long Plat |
| Final PRD |
| Land Use Review (Site Plan) Supplement |

Large Lot Subdivision
$\square$ Parking Variance
$\square$ Preliminary Long Plat
$\square$ Preliminary PRD
Reasonable Use Exception (Critical Areas)
SEPA Checklist
Shoreline Development Permit (JARPA Form)
Short Plat

- Tree Plan

Variance or Unusual Use (Zoning)
$\square$ Other $\qquad$
Land Use Review (Site Plan) Supplement
Project Name: Zone changes around Olympia Avenue Historic District (both) Project Address: See 6 mp Plan Amendment - Preliminam Proposal Applicant: Jay Elder and 48 petitioner
Mailing Address: 101801 ympia Ave N/2 Oyopis WA 98506 Phone Numbers): $\quad 360-888-7292$
E-mail Address: jayelder Acomcart. net
Owner (if other than applicant):
Mailing Address: $\qquad$
Phone Numbers):

E-mail Address:


Project Desoripionn Change zoning on parts of State and Olympia Arenue to protect an 4 be more compatible with the DA HD Size of Project Site: 7.5 acred
Assessor Tax Parcel Numbers): see a traced
Section: S14182w Township: Olympic Range:

Full Legal Description of Subject Property (attached $\square$ ):

Zoning: Cumently PO/RM and GC going to HPCH. R-6-1 to R-4-F
Shoreline Designation (if applicable):
Special Areas on or near Site (show areas on site plan):

- Creek or Stream (name):
- Lake or Pond (name):
- Swamp/Bog/Wetland

Steep Slopes/Draw/Gully/Ravine
$\square$ Historic Site or Structure

- Scenic Vistas
- Flood Hazard Area (show on site plan) None

Water Supply (name of utility if applicable):
Existing:
Proposed: $\qquad$
Sewage Disposal (name of utility if applicable):
Existing:
Proposed:


Access (name of street(s) from which access will be gained):
1 affirm that all answers, statements, and information submitted with this application are correct and accurate to the best of my knowledge.
I also affirm that I am the owner of the subject site or am duly authorized by the owner to act with respect to this application. Further, I grant permission from the owner to any and all employees and representatives of the City of Olympia and other governmental agencies to enter upon and inspect said property as reasonably necessary to process this application. I agree to pay all fees of the City that apply to this application.


I understand that for the type of application submitted, the applicant is required to pay actual Hearing Examiner costs, which may be higher or lower than any deposit amount. I hereby agree to pay any such costs.

## Applicants are required to post the project site with a sign provided by the City within seven days of this

 application being deemed complete. Please contact City staff for more information.
## Each complete General Land Use Application shall include each of the following:

T. Vicinity map depicting location of project with respect to nearby streets and other major features, and encompassing at least one (1) square mile, and not more than forty (40) square miles.
NA 2. Unless exempt, an environmental checklist with typed and title-company certified list of property owners of record within 300 feet of the project site. (See Olympia Municipal Code (OMC) 14.04.060 and WAC 197-11-800 regarding exemptions.)
3. All supplemental attachments for each and every land use approval required by the City of Olympia for the proposed project.
$N / 4$ 4. A map to scale depicting all known or suspected critical areas on the site or within 300 feet of the site. (See Chapter 18.32 of the OMC.)
NA5. An Environmental Review Report if within 300 feet of any critical area (wetland, stream, landslide hazard area or other critical area. (See Chapter 18.32 of the OMC.)

Development Standards

| DISTRICT | R 4-8 | R 6-12 |  | PO/RM | GC | HDC-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAXIMUM HOUSING DENSITY (in units per acre) | 8 | 12 | MINIMUM LOT SIZE | No minimum, except <br> 1,600 = cottage <br> 3,000 = zero lot <br> 1,600 sq. ft. <br> minimum 2,400 sq. <br> ft . average $=$ <br> townhouse $6,000 \mathrm{sq}$. <br> ft . $=$ duplex 7,200 <br> sq. ft. = multifamily <br> $4,000=$ other | No minimum, except 1,600 sq. ft. minimum 2,400 sq. ft . average $=$ townhouse | No minimum, except 1,600 = cottage <br> $3,000=$ zero lot 1,600 sq.ft. minimum <br> 2,400 sq.ft average = townhouse 6,000 sq.ft. $=$ duplex 7,200 sq.ft. $=$ multifamily $4,000=$ other |
| MAXIMUM AVERAGE HOUSING DENSITY (in units per acre) | 8 | 12 | FRONT YARD SETBACK | $10^{\prime}$ maximum, if located in a High Density Corridor; 10' minimum otherwise. | 5' minimum for residential otherwise none. | $\begin{aligned} & 0-10^{\prime} \text { See } 18.06 \mathrm{~A} . \\ & 180 \end{aligned}$ |
| MINIMUM AVERAGE HOUSING DENSITY (in units per acre) | 4 | 6 | REAR YARD SETBACK | 10' minimum; Except: <br> 1. Next to an R 4, R 4-8, or R 6-12 district $=15^{\prime}$ minimum $+5^{\prime}$ for each bldg. floor above 2 stories. <br> 2. Next to MR 7-13, MR 10-18, RM-18, RM-24 or RMH district $=10^{\prime}$ minimum +5 ' for each bldg. floor above 2 stories. | 10' minimum; Except: <br> 1. Next to singlefamily use or an $R 4$, R 4-8, or R 6-12 district $=15$ ' minimum $+5^{\prime}$ for each bldg. floor above 2 stories. 2. Next to MR 7-13, MR 10-18, RM-18, RM-24 or RMH district (refer to 1 above if adjacent use is single-family) $=10^{\prime}$ minimum $+5^{\prime}$ for each bldg. floor above 2 stories. | 10' minimum; Except: 1. Next to an R4, R4-8, or R6-12 district $=15$ minimum +5 ' for each bldg. floor above 2 stories; 10 ft . where an alley separates HDC-1 from the above residential district. 2. Next to MR7-13, MR 10-18, RM-18, RM-24 or RMH district $=10^{\prime}$ minimum +5 ' for each bldg. floor above 2 stories. |


| DISTRICT | R 4-8 | R 6-12 |  | PO/RM | GC | HDC-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINIMUM LOT SIZE | 2,500 <br> SF = <br> cottage <br> 4,000 <br> SF = <br> zero lot <br> 2,000 <br> SF <br> minimu <br> m, <br> 3,000 <br> SF <br> averag <br> e = <br> townho <br> use <br> 5,000 <br> SF = <br> other | $\begin{aligned} & 2,000 \mathrm{SF}= \\ & \text { cottage } \\ & 3,500 \mathrm{SF}= \\ & \text { zero lot } \\ & 1,600 \mathrm{SF} \\ & \text { minimum, } \\ & 2,400 \mathrm{SF} \\ & \text { average = } \\ & \text { townhouse } \\ & 7,200 \mathrm{SF}= \\ & \text { duplex, } \\ & \text { triplex 9,600 } \\ & \mathrm{SF}= \\ & \text { fourplex } \\ & 5,000 \mathrm{SF}= \\ & \text { other } \end{aligned}$ | SIDE YARD SETBACK | No minimum on interior, 10' minimum on flanking street; Except: <br> 1. Next to R 4, R 4-8, or R 6-12 district $=15$ ' minimum $+5^{\prime}$ for each building floor above 2 stories. <br> 2. Next to MR 7-13, MR 10-18, RM-18, RM-24 or RMH district $=10^{\prime}$ minimum $+5^{\prime}$ for each bldg. floor above 2 stories. 3. Residential excluding mixed use structures: 5 ' except 6 ' on one side of zero lot. | No Minimum; Except: <br> 1. Next to R 4, R 4-8, or R 6-12 district $=15^{\prime}$ minimum +5 ' for each building floor above 2 stories. 2. Next to MR 7-13, MR 10-18, RM-18, RM-24 or RMH district $=10^{\prime}$ minimum $+5^{\prime}$ for each bldg. floor above 2 stories. 3. Residential excluding mixed use structures: 5 ' except 6 ' on one side of zero lot. | No minimum on interior, 10' minimum on flanking street; Except: <br> 1. Next to R4, R4-8, or R6-12 district $=$ 15' minimum +5 ' for each building floor above 2 stories. <br> 2. Next to MR7-13, MR10-18, RM-18, RM-24 or RMH district $=10^{\prime}$ minimum $+5^{\prime}$ for each bldg. floor above 2 stories. <br> 3. Residential excluding mixed use structures: 5' except 6 ' on one side of zero lot. |
| MINIMUM LOT WIDTH | 50' <br> except: 35' = cottage 45' = zero lot $18{ }^{\prime}=$ townho use | $\begin{aligned} & 50 ' \text { except: } \\ & 30^{\prime}= \\ & \text { cottage } 40 \\ & =\text { zero lot } \\ & 16^{\prime}= \\ & \text { townouse } \\ & 80^{\prime}= \\ & \text { duplex, } \\ & \text { triplex, } \\ & \text { fourplex } \end{aligned}$ | MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT | Up to $35^{\prime}$, if any portion of the building is within 100 ' of R 4, R 4-8, or R 6-12 district; Up to 60' otherwise. | Up to $35^{\prime}$, if any portion of the building is within 100 ' of R 4, R 4-8, or R 6-12 district; Up to 60' otherwise; or up to $70^{\prime}$, if at least 50\% of the required parking is under the building; or up to 75 ', if at least one story is residential. | Up to $35^{\prime}$, if any portion of the building is within 100 of R4, R4-8, or R6-12 district; Up to 60' otherwise. Provided that one additional story may be built for residential development only. |


| DISTRICT | R 4-8 | R 6-12 |  | PO/RM | GC | HDC-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACKS | 20' <br> except: <br> 10' <br> with <br> side or <br> rear <br> parking <br> ; 10' <br> for flag <br> lots; 5' <br> for <br> agricult <br> ural <br> buildin <br> gs with <br> farm <br> animal <br> s | 20' except: <br> 10' with side or rear parking; 10' for flag lots; 5 ' for agricultural buildings with farm animals | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAXIMUM } \\ & \text { BUILDING } \\ & \text { COVERAGE } \end{aligned}$ | $70 \%$, except $55 \%$ for residential only structures | $70 \%$; or $85 \%$ if at least $50 \%$ of the required parking is under the building. | 70\% for all structures |
| MAXIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK |  |  | MAXIMUM DEVELOPMENT COVERAGE | 85\%, except 75\% for residential only structures | 85\% | 85\% for all structures |
| MINIMUM REAR YARD SETBACKS | 20' <br> except: <br> 5' for <br> agricult <br> ural <br> buildin <br> gs with <br> farm <br> animal <br> s; $10{ }^{\prime}$ <br> for <br> cottage <br> s, <br> wedge <br> shaped <br> lots, <br> and <br> zero <br> lots | 20' except: <br> 5' for agricultural buildings with farm animals 10' for cottages, wedge shaped lots, and zero lots | ADDITIONAL DISTRICT-WIDE DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS | Building floors above 3 stories which abut a street or residential district must be stepped back a minimum of 8 feet (see 18.06.100 (D) and Figure 6-3). | Building floors above 3 stories which abut a street or residential district must be stepped back a minimum of 8 feet (see 18.06.100 (D)). | Building floors above 3 stories which abut a street or residential district must be stepped back a minimum of 8 feet (see 18.06.100 (D)). |


| DISTRICT | R 4-8 | R 6-12 | PO/RM | GC | HDC-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACKS | 5' <br> except: <br> 10' <br> along <br> flankin <br> g <br> streets <br> except <br> garage <br> s shall <br> meet <br> Minimu <br> m <br> Front <br> Yard <br> Setbac <br> ks 6' <br> on one <br> side of <br> zero <br> lot; 3 ' <br> for <br> cottage <br> s; $5^{\prime}$ <br> for <br> agricult <br> ural <br> buildin <br> gs with <br> farm <br> animal <br> s | 5' except: <br> 10' for <br> triplex, <br> fourplex 10' <br> along <br> flanking <br> streets; <br> except <br> garages <br> shall meet <br> Minimum <br> Front Yard <br> Setbacks 6' <br> on one side <br> of zero lot; <br> 3' for <br> cottages; 5' <br> for <br> agricultural <br> buildings <br> with farm <br> animals |  |  |  |


| DISTRICT | R 4-8 | R 6-12 | PO/RM | GC | HDC-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT | 35', <br> except: <br> 16' for <br> access <br> ory <br> buildin <br> gs; 25' <br> for <br> cottage <br> 35 on <br> sites 1 <br> acre or <br> more, <br> if <br> setbac <br> ks <br> equal <br> or <br> exceed <br> buildin <br> g <br> height | 35', except: <br> 16 for accessory buildings; 25 for cottages |  |  |  |
| MAXIMUM BUILDING COVERAGE | 45\% <br> $=.25$ <br> acre or less <br> 40\% <br> $=.26$ <br> acres <br> or <br> more <br> $60 \%=$ <br> townho <br> uses | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \%=.25 \\ & \text { acre or less } \\ & 40 \%=.26 \\ & \text { acres or } \\ & \text { more } 70 \% \\ & = \\ & \text { townhouses } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| MAXIMUM ABOVEGRADE STORIES | 2 stories | 2 stories, 3 stories $=$ triplex, fourplex |  |  |  |


| DISTRICT | R 4-8 | R 6-12 | PO/RM | GC | HDC-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAXIMUM IMPERVIOUS SURFACE COVERAGE | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & =.25 \end{aligned}$ <br> acre or less 50\% $=.26$ acre or more $70 \%=$ Townh ouses | $65 \%=.25$ <br> acre or less $50 \%=.26$ <br> acres or <br> more <br> $70 \%=$ <br> Townhouses |  |  |  |
| MINIMUM OPEN SPACE | 450 <br> SF/unit for cottage develo pments | 450 SF/unit for cottage developmen ts |  |  |  |

Todd Stamm<br>Olympia Planning Department

## Dear Todd,

Thanks for meeting with me yesterday to explain the requirements and realities of the zoning change request I and 40 other residents submitted November 9, 2014. I would like to scale back that request to just two parts:

1. The 2014 Comprehensive Plan, approved on December 16, 2014, directs that along State and 4th Avenues, buildings can be no more than 35 feet high, whether or not they have residential development, when adjacent to historic districts.

PL13.7 Designate different categories of corridors generally as follows:

- $\quad$ Areas nearest downtown along Harrison Avenue east of Division Street and the upper portions of the State Street/Fourth Avenue corridor to the intersection of Fourth Avenue and Pacific Avenue should blend travel modes with priority for pedestrian, bicycle and transit systems. These areas should provide for a mix of low-intensity professional offices, commercial uses and multifamily buildings forming a continuous and pedestrian-oriented edge along the arterial streets. There will be a 35 feet height limit if any portion of the building is within 100 ' from a single-family residential zone, provided that the City may establish an additional height bonus for residential development except in areas adjacent to a designated historic district.

We ask that this provision be made into code as soon as possible on the north side of State Avenue, from East Bay Drive to Tullis Street (see yellow box on map below). If possible, we would also request that new construction in this area also be compatible with adjacent historic properties, as it would be in HDC-1.

2. City-wide, the PO/RM and GC zones are outdated and problematic land-use designations. We would suggest that the Planning Department review them and replace them, as soon as possible, with designations more in keeping with their surroundings and current needs.

In sending this letter, we are dropping our previous request to:

- rezone the PO/RM and GC areas along the north side of State and west of Pear on Olympia Avenue, to HDC-1
- down-zone Olympia Avenue from Puget to Tullis Streets from R-6-12 to R-4-8

Thanks for your help and patience,

