

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM 2012 - PROPOSAL PREVIEW MATRIX & STAFF RANKING

MAY 22, 2012

PLEASE NOTE: PROPOSALS ARE PREVIEWED WITH A RATING OF 1 – 5 OR A TOTAL OF 35 POINTS - BASED ON BELOW LISTED CRITERIA

Organization name, Proposal Title & summary of activities	Olympia Consolidated Plan	County 10-Year Plan to Reduce Homelessness (Specific Objectives)	City Council Goal to Invest in Downtown	City Council Goal to Inspire Strong Relationships	Organizational capacity	Project Financial Readiness	Results	STAFF RANKING
<p>SafePlace</p> <p>“SafePlace New Community Service Center” :</p> <p>1. Community Services Center 2. Domestic Violence Crisis Line 3. Social services center</p>	<p>Rating: 5 *Public facility *Public services</p>	<p>Rating: 5 Consolidate Homeless resources and improve service delivery. (Objective #5)</p>	<p>Rating: 2 Shifts a significant service center four blocks away from the commercial downtown core.</p> <p>Does not shift a specific street-dependent population’s service center out of downtown.</p>	<p>Rating: 5 Partnership based proposal</p>	<p>Rating: 5 History of strong local and regional partnerships.</p>	<p>Rating: 5 Project is 77% funded. Fully developed proposal.</p>	<p>Rating: 5 Project will make significant improvements in service delivery.</p>	<p>32 – Total Score</p> <p>Strengths: Proposal near the end of a successful funding plan; undertaken by a strong organization.</p> <p>Weaknesses: Proposal does not move street-dependent populations out of downtown core.</p>
<p>Catholic Community Services</p> <p>“The Thurston County Family Center”:</p> <p>1. Central access point 2. Day Resource Center 3. Future Housing Development</p>	<p>Rating: 5 *Public facility *Public services *Future affordable housing</p>	<p>Rating: 5 Consolidate homeless resources and improve service delivery. (Objective #5)</p>	<p>Rating: 4 Creates a significant service center four blocks away from the commercial downtown core.</p> <p>Shifts specific street dependent populations’ service center(s) out of downtown.</p>	<p>Rating: 5 Partnership based proposal.</p>	<p>Rating: 5 History of strong local and regional partnerships.</p>	<p>Rating: 2 0% of funding secured. However, proven track record of securing funding.</p> <p>Future housing project presents significant fiscal challenges related to code issues (elevator and seismic reinforcement).</p>	<p>Rating: 5 Proposal will provide day center and expand existing coordinated point of entry.</p>	<p>31 – Total Score</p> <p>Strengths: Proposal provides homeless service coordination; a day center; moves street-dependent populations out of downtown core; and undertaken by a strong organization.</p> <p>Weaknesses: Proposal at the beginning of funding plan and appears to be in early stages of development.</p>
<p>Family Support Center</p> <p>“Family Shelter & Affordable Housing Project”:</p> <p>1. 32 bed emergency shelter (7 family suites) 2. 28 bed Transitional housing (6 units)</p>	<p>Rating: 5 *Public facility *Public services *Future affordable housing</p>	<p>Rating: 5 Expand supply of homeless and affordable housing units. (Objective #1 and #5)</p>	<p>Rating: 2 Creates a significant service center four blocks away from the commercial downtown core.</p> <p>Does not shift any specific street-dependent population’s service center(s) out of downtown.</p>	<p>Rating: 5 Partnership based proposal.</p>	<p>Rating: 5 History of strong local and regional partnerships.</p>	<p>Rating: 3 Only 2% of funding secured but strong revenues plan. Clearly phased proposal.</p> <p>Significant fiscal challenges related to code issues (elevator and seismic reinforcement).</p>	<p>Rating: 5 Proposal will create critically needed shelter and housing facilities.</p>	<p>30 – Total Score</p> <p>Strengths: Proposal provides shelter and housing resources and organization has history of productive community partnerships.</p> <p>Weaknesses: Proposal in early stages of a funding plan; does not immediately address street-dependent populations (may potentially increase shelter capacity for street-dependent populations).</p>

Organization name, Proposal Title & summary of activities	Olympia Consolidated Plan	County 10-Year Plan to Reduce Homelessness (Specific Objectives)	City Council Goal to Invest in Downtown	City Council Goal to Inspire Strong Relationships	Organizational capacity	Project Financial Readiness	Results	STAFF RANKING
<p>Media Island/Occupy Olympia</p> <p>“Olympia Resource Center Project”:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Community Center 2. Hygiene Center Services 3. Emergency shelter 	<p>Rating: 5 *Public facility *Public services</p>	<p>Rating: 5 Consolidate Homeless resources and improve service delivery. (Objective #5)</p>	<p>Rating: 4 Creates a significant service center four blocks away from the commercial downtown core</p> <p>Shifts a several street-dependent populations service centers out of downtown</p>	<p>Rating: 3 Other than Fiscal sponsor, no specific service partners identified.</p>	<p>Rating: 1 No formal experience in providing shelter; significant informal experience in providing a tent-based homeless camp</p>	<p>Rating: 1 No secured funding. Earnest but limited project description.</p> <p>Significant fiscal challenges related to code issues (elevator and seismic reinforcement).</p>	<p>Rating: 3 Potential results include critically needed shelter and hygiene facilities. Lack of a specific funding plan or clearly identified community partners seriously undermine potential results.</p>	<p>22 – Total Score</p> <p>Strengths: Proposal provides homeless day center, hygiene center, and shelter beds; moves street-dependent populations out of downtown core.</p> <p>Weaknesses: Organization has limited experience in working with non-profit organizations; proposal at the beginning of funding plan; and proposal has not identified any specific service delivery partnerships.</p>