COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM 2012 - PROPOSAL PREVIEW MATRIX & STAFF RANKING MAY 22, 2012

PLEASE NOTE: PROPOSALS ARE PREVIEWED WITH A RATING OF 1 - 5 OR A TOTAL OF 35 POINTS - BASED ON BELOW LISTED CRITERIA

Organization name, Proposal Title & summary of activities	Olympia Consolidated Plan	County 10-Year Plan to Reduce Homelessness (Specific Objectives)	City Council Goal to Invest in Downtown	City Council Goal to Inspire Strong Relationships	Organizational capacity	Project Financial Readiness	Results	STAFF RANKING
"SafePlace New Community Service Center": 1. Community Services Center 2. Domestic Violence Crisis Line 3. Social services center	Rating: 5 *Public facility *Public services	Rating: 5 Consolidate Homeless resources and improve service delivery. (Objective #5)	Rating: 2 Shifts a significant service center four blocks away from the commercial downtown core. Does not shift a specific street-dependent population's service center out of downtown.	Rating: 5 Partnership based proposal	Rating: 5 History of strong local and regional partnerships.	Rating: 5 Project is 77% funded. Fully developed proposal.	Rating: 5 Project will make significant improvements in service delivery.	32 – Total Score Strengths: Proposal near the end of a successful funding plan; undertaken by a strong organization. Weaknesses: Proposal does not move street-dependent populations out of downtown core.
Catholic Community Services "The Thurston County Family Center": 1. Central access point 2. Day Resource Center 3. Future Housing Development	Rating: 5 *Public facility *Public services *Future affordable housing	Rating: 5 Consolidate homeless resources and improve service delivery. (Objective #5)	Rating: 4 Creates a significant service center four blocks away from the commercial downtown core. Shifts specific street dependent populations' service center(s) out of downtown.	Rating: 5 Partnership based proposal.	Rating: 5 History of strong local and regional partnerships.	Rating: 2 0% of funding secured. However, proven track record of securing funding. Future housing project presents significant fiscal challenges related to code issues (elevator and seismic reinforcement).	Rating: 5 Proposal will provide day center and expand existing coordinated point of entry.	31 – Total Score Strengths: Proposal provides homeless service coordination; a day center; moves street-dependent populations out of downtown core; and undertaken by a strong organization. Weaknesses: Proposal at the beginning of funding plan and appears to be in early stages of development.
Family Support Center "Family Shelter & Affordable Housing Project": 1. 32 bed emergency shelter (7 family suites) 2. 28 bed Transitional housing (6 units)	Rating: 5 *Public facility *Public services *Future affordable housing	Rating: 5 Expand supply of homeless and affordable housing units. (Objective #1 and #5)	Rating: 2 Creates a significant service center four blocks away from the commercial downtown core. Does not shift any specific street-dependent population's service center(s) out of downtown.	Rating: 5 Partnership based proposal.	Rating: 5 History of strong local and regional partnerships.	Rating: 3 Only 2% of funding secured but strong revenues plan. Clearly phased proposal. Significant fiscal challenges related to code issues (elevator and seismic reinforcement).	Rating: 5 Proposal will create critically needed shelter and housing facilities.	30 – Total Score Strengths: Proposal provides shelter and housing resources and organization has history of productive community partnerships. Weaknesses: Proposal in early stages of a funding plan; does not immediately address street-dependent populations (may potentially increase shelter capacity for street-dependent populations).

ATTACHMENT 4

Organization name, Proposal Title & summary of activities	Olympia Consolidated Plan	County 10-Year Plan to Reduce Homelessness (Specific Objectives)	City Council Goal to Invest in Downtown	City Council Goal to Inspire Strong Relationships	Organizational capacity	Project Financial Readiness	Results	STAFF RANKING
Media Island/Occupy	Rating: 5	Rating: 5	Rating: 4	Rating: 3	Rating: 1	Rating: 1	Rating: 3	22 – Total Score
Olympia	*Public facility	Consolidate Homeless	Creates a significant service	Other than Fiscal	No formal	No secured funding.	Potential results include	
	*Public services	resources and improve	center four blocks away	sponsor, no	experience in	Earnest but	critically needed shelter and	Strengths: Proposal provides homeless
"Olympia Resource		service delivery.	from the commercial	specific service	providing shelter;	limited project	hygiene facilities. Lack of a	day center, hygiene center, and shelter
Center Project":		(Objective #5)	downtown core	partners	significant informal	description.	specific funding plan or	beds; moves street-dependent
				identified.	experience in		clearly identified community	populations out of downtown core.
Community Center			Shifts a several street-		providing a tent-	Significant fiscal	partners seriously	
2. Hygiene Center			dependent populations		based homeless	challenges related to	undermine potential results.	Weaknesses: Organization has limited
Services			service centers out of		camp	code issues (elevator		experience in working with non-profit
3. Emergency shelter			downtown			and seismic		organizations; proposal at the beginning
						reinforcement).		of funding plan; and proposal has not
								identified any specific service delivery
								partnerships.