



## High Density Corridors Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)

Supplement to [10/15/12 Memo on Urban Corridors](#). (Revised September 18, 2013)

### 1. What are Urban Corridors?

Urban Corridors are the major arterials in our street system, and they correspond with areas planned for the highest density land uses. More than just the arterial itself, an Urban Corridor includes the quarter mile area on either side of the street. Urban Corridors are envisioned to gradually redevelop into areas with:

- Excellent, frequent transit service;
- Housing and employment densities sufficient to support frequent transit service;
- Buildings fronting on wide sidewalks which are furnished with street trees, attractive landscaping, benches, and frequent transit stops;
- On-street bicycle facilities and safe pedestrian crossing at regular intervals;
- Multi-story buildings oriented toward the street rather than to parking lots;
- Parking spaces located behind the buildings or in structures;
- A compatible mix of residential building types, such as apartments, townhouses, and perhaps small cottages integrated with or in close proximity to commercial uses; and
- People – lots of people, all day long, engaged in different kinds of activities.

Urban Corridors are identified on the proposed [Future Land Use Map](#) and [Transportation Corridors Map](#) in the draft Comprehensive Plan Update. Along these corridors, the regional plan is for land uses to be supported by a multimodal transportation system. Increased density along the corridors is needed to support the type of urban transportation system envisioned for our region. At the same time, transportation investments for walking, biking and transit will allow the densities in the corridors to increase with minimal new car trips.

### 2. What is the basis for the Urban Corridors concept?

The Urban Corridors concept first appeared in the 1993 Thurston Regional Transportation Plan, where it was then incorporated into Olympia's 1994 Comprehensive Plan. The concept originated as a regional strategy to redevelop the old highways dominated by low-density, strip commercial development, and move toward less auto-oriented land use patterns.

Today, major arterial streets in our region are lined with low-density residential and office uses with typical strip commercial development. Individual, randomly spaced driveways into each business interrupt the flow of vehicular and pedestrian traffic, and the typical pattern of buildings set back behind parking lots makes pedestrian access difficult and uninviting. The disjointed signage, landscaping, and building designs are also often unattractive. As a result, these areas have limited appeal as places to live, work, and shop.

The Urban Corridors concept is a strategy to make more efficient use of this existing infrastructure, to reduce environmental impacts associated with auto use and sprawl, and transition unattractive and underused land uses to maintain and create a more livable community. The concept is not unique to Olympia; it is a key part of the [Thurston Regional Transportation Plan](#) (RTP).

*Note:* Both the 1993 RTP and 1994 Comp Plan used the term “High Density Corridors.” For the Comp Plan Update, staff changed the term to “Urban Corridors” to be consistent with the term now used for regional planning purposes. For Olympia, this change also helps to distinguish the Urban Corridor planning concept from the zoning designations High Density Corridor 1, 2, 3, and 4. Although related to Urban Corridors - just as any designation on the Future Land Use map relates to zoning - HDC zones have a different geography than Urban Corridors.

The basics of the regional strategy are captured in the 1994 Comprehensive Plan, as well as Olympia’s draft Comprehensive Plan Update. The general policy direction to support the Urban Corridors concept includes:

- Reducing dependence on motor vehicle use. Reduced vehicle use has social, environmental and economic benefits.
- Well-planned density leads to efficient provision of public services – water, sewer, emergency services, waste collection and transportation.
- Targeting density allows the preservation of rural and natural areas.
- Transit can absorb a great share of future trips that would otherwise be made by car. The best quality transit in this community already exists on our urban corridors. There is potential for these corridors to absorb more residents and employees if they are well designed and people can take the bus, walk, bike, as well as drive.
- Urban Corridors integrate transportation and land use planning goals: an efficient way to locate new growth and create land use patterns that support walking, biking and transit. Well-designed, dense, mixed land uses provide an opportunity to create social interaction, community identity and a healthy economy.
- Good urban form and multi modal streets are needed to make dense areas pleasant and function efficiently.

A difference between the original notion of HDC’s and the evolved concept of urban corridors is the intent of regional policymakers to identify select districts along the urban corridor where jurisdictions will work extra hard to attract growth (the Planning Commission identifies such nodes in their Urban Neighborhoods proposal.) The old HDC concept seemed to imply a rather homogenous distribution of growth throughout each corridor.

### 3. Are all areas identified as Urban Corridors expected to develop in the same way?

No, the proposal in the Comprehensive Plan Update is for the Urban Corridor areas to look and feel different as they extend from the arterials into the neighborhoods, as well as along the corridors themselves. [PL13.5](#) in the draft plan describes a transition from high intensity land uses along the arterials to less intense land uses as you move one quarter mile from either side of the arterial. Generally, the most intensive uses will be within 400 feet of the arterials, although this is not expected in all areas.

The draft plan also outlines 5 different categories for the corridors, as described in [PL13.7](#):

1. Areas nearest downtown should blend travel modes with priority for pedestrian, bicycle and transit systems; these areas should provide for a mix of low-intensity professional offices, small commercial uses and multi-family buildings (not exceeding three stories) forming a continuous and pedestrian-oriented edge along the arterial streets.
2. The Harrison Avenue corridor nearer Division Street and upper portions of the State Street/Fourth Avenue corridor will provide for a greater range and intensity of commercial uses but with the same three-story height limit; in other respects it will not differ substantially from the corridor sections nearer downtown.
3. The area along Harrison and Fourth Avenues west from the vicinity of Division Street to Kenyon Street—and the western portions of Martin Way and Pacific Avenues—form the third corridor category where the primary transportation mode is by car, but pedestrian and bicycle, as well as transit use, is encouraged; redevelopment of this area is expected with more density and new buildings gradually creating a continuous street edge and more pedestrian-friendly streetscape.
4. The outer portions of the urban corridors in the vicinity of the Capital Mall and easterly of Phoenix Street will primarily be accessed by motor vehicles with provisions for pedestrian and bicycle travel; gradual transition from existing suburban character is to form continuous pedestrian-friendly streetscapes, but more regulatory flexibility will be provided to acknowledge the existing suburban nature of these areas (see Capital Mall special area below.)
5. The area south of Interstate-5 in the vicinity of Capitol Boulevard is an existing lower density residential area with a neighborhood center. The goal in this area is to enhance that center and reach an average density of at least seven housing units per acre, including accessory dwelling units.

[PL13.1](#) establishes that over 15 housing units per acre should be achieved along much of the Corridor, however “where existing single-family housing abuts the main road, [the City will] seek to increase the density to at least 7 units per acre.”

#### **4. Is Olympia required to have Urban Corridors?**

Urban Corridors are not specifically required under the Growth Management Act as a means to accommodate our population growth. However, the Act does require Olympia's Comprehensive Plan to be consistent with [County-Wide Planning Policies](#) (CWPP.) The CWPP state the transportation element of each jurisdiction's plan will be consistent with the Thurston Regional Transportation Plan. Thus, if Olympia desires to change course away from the Urban Corridors concept, this conversation would need to occur at the regional level.

#### **5. What is the Urban Corridors Task Force?**

For several years, regional policy makers have been pursuing strategies to achieve the Urban Corridors vision. Little redevelopment has occurred as envisioned in the plans from the early 1990's and they sought to understand why. Thus, the Urban Corridors Task Force (UCTF) was formed, and composed of policy makers from Thurston Regional Planning Council (TRPC) and the Transportation Policy Board, citizens and business representatives.

From 2009 through 2011, the UCTF worked to establish an understanding of conditions along the region's key urban corridors, to identify and understand barriers to achieving adopted land use visions, and identify potential opportunities for addressing those barriers. Members looked at the relationship between transportation and land use in these corridors, and the market factors that influence the viability of infill and redevelopment projects in this region. As a result, the UCTF produced a [list of measures](#) for cities to pursue to achieve the urban corridor vision.

#### **6. What are "nodes"?**

Referred to as "Corridor Districts" in TRPC's [Revitalizing Urban Transit Corridors report](#), nodes are specific, strategic locations guided by detailed plans and a focus on innovative development strategies. Vibrant and full of activity, nodes would offer a full range of services and activities to support nearby neighborhoods. The idea is that over time, nodes develop their own strong sense of place and local identity; residents within a ½ mile radius would travel to these nodes without ever having to get in a car.

While the entire corridor may take decades to redevelop, quicker results may be realized by focusing on one or more nodes which would then serve as examples of what is possible. Nodes are not necessarily large; although, according to the report, in order to support neighborhood-scale retail and services, a minimum of 3,500 households with a half mile radius would be needed.

The Planning Commission's Urban Neighborhoods proposal identifies three high-density areas, which could be considered "nodes" or areas to contain a "node."

## 7. What are focus areas? How do they relate to the concept of nodes?

The Comprehensive Plan draft outlines “focus areas,” which are select areas of Olympia identified for further study, both in and out of the Urban Corridors. Three “focus areas” fall within the Urban Corridors, while the *West Bay Drive* and *Auto Mall* focus areas do not. Focus areas are places where multiple planning issues and opportunities exist, and further study will help to guide land use development and public services.

Staff did not propose these areas as “nodes” with the idea although a node could be located within a larger focus area, a node would be a more specific location where development is guided by detailed plans and partnerships; and efforts related to a specified node would include developing incentives and strategies to spur a specific type of development.

## 8. What are the Urban Corridor Focus Areas identified in the Comprehensive Plan draft, and why?

Three focus areas within Urban Corridors are identified in the Comprehensive Plan draft. These focus areas, which are identified on the [Transportation Corridors Map](#) and described in the [Land Use & Urban Design Chapter](#), are:

- **Lilly-Martin Area:** This area contains much of the last remaining “greenfield” in Olympia – undeveloped land - where infill can occur somewhat easier than redevelopment. The area holds potential because of its proximity to one of our region’s major employment sectors, health and medical services, and the related opportunity to increase housing and services in the area. This area is the subject of a grant described in # below.
- **Pacific-Lilly Area:** This area between Martin Way and Pacific Avenue is the only focus area identified in the 1994 plan, where it is referred to as the “Stoll Road Area.” This area has frequent transit service, and a large amount of commercial uses, with low amounts of housing. The potential to shape the commercial areas as redevelopment occurs can lead to a greater mix of uses. The criteria described in policy [PL15.4](#) arose out of the public process associated with previous comprehensive planning efforts.
- **Capital Mall Area:** This area has been identified as having one of the best resident-job matches in Thurston County: in this area, a large number of people live close to where they work. It has ideal conditions for achieving a vision for bustling, mixed-use urban centers. Actions are needed to improve the density and mix, and enhance the street system for more modes.

These areas correspond to high density neighborhoods identified by the Planning Commission on their Urban Neighborhoods map.

## 9. What is the density needed to support transit along the corridors?

This is not a simple answer; efficient transit service depends not only on population and employment per acre, but also other factors such as design, mix of uses and street connectivity. Industry experience suggests that residential densities in the range of 4.5 to 7 units per acre represent a minimum threshold for high performing transit. This also represents a point at which an overall mode shift away from driving begins to increase exponentially.

Transit demand tends to increase most dramatically between 10 and 40 households per residential acre. Today, the densities in most Olympia neighborhoods outside of downtown fall well below this range (see [Figure 9.1](#)). However, areas designated for transit-supportive growth could reach this threshold quickly with new infill development. Efforts to promote infill development, even at modest densities, could have exponential impact increasing transit and non-motorized travel.

The current approach in the existing comprehensive plan is to set transit-compatible urban densities so that new development fills in already-developed areas. The plan recommends setting a minimum density of approximately 7 units per acre (equivalent to roughly 14 to 20 persons per acre,) and a minimum of 15 units per acre in other areas along the Corridor.

Beyond population and employment density, other factors include:

- **Design** is especially important as it relates to pedestrian access and safety. Street design, security, lighting, building design and orientation to the street affect whether transit stops are inviting to use and safe to get to. Even at high densities, people will not use transit if it is difficult or dangerous to access a bus stop.
- **The mixture of uses** in an area can influence the attractiveness of transit. If transit brings people to locations where more than one function is possible, transit is all the more attractive for that trip.
- **Street connectivity** is important to transit access and operations. Street connectivity provides customers direct routes to bus stops, and transit operators have efficient routing options for high-frequency service.

The City does not operate the bus service in Olympia, but influences the success of transit. City land use policies and ability to attract infill development ultimately drive the demand for transit service and shape a transit-supportive environment. Better transit will require dense, mixed-use corridors with pedestrian-friendly access to transit stations. For more information, refer to the [Olympia Transportation Mobility Strategy](#) Appendix on Transit.

## 10. Can we have nodes without density in between?

It is not essential that the entire corridor be fully developed in order for the nodes concept to work. However, the function and efficiency of the corridors will increasingly improve as the mix

and density of land uses increases between these nodes. Overall, the corridor will benefit from the increased services and amenities that come with the intended land use.

## **12. What would be an alternative land use planning approach to corridors?**

Some people consider abandoning the concept of corridors, and instead focusing only on nodes. The risk of doing so would be that the low density strip commercial land uses would persist. This continued land use pattern would result in under-utilized public infrastructure, and likely would not result in the reductions in auto use that we envision.

Another alternative that has been discussed would be to funnel all or most of the anticipated corridor growth into downtown, with similar implications as above. If the focus shifted away from corridors with nodes to just nodes or just downtown, this would represent a shift in policy, and would best be explored at the regional policy level.

## **13. Why were neighborhoods south of I-5 identified by regional transportation planners as part of the urban corridor?**

Capitol Way is the primary transportation link between Olympia and Tumwater. It is also a major transit corridor with 15 minute frequency, the most frequent type of service found in this community.

## **14. Does the Urban Corridors concept assume the neighborhoods south of I-5 on Capitol Way be up-zoned, and, if so, when?**

It is not possible to predict if and when these neighborhoods would be up-zoned. An up-zone would depend on whether or not a future City Council feels it is in the best interest of the community.

As expressed in PL13.7, the goal for this area is an average density of 7 units per acre, including accessory dwelling units. The reason for this is to support transit and provide a good customer base for businesses in the neighborhood center. In turn, these businesses could provide goods and services to meet day-to-day needs within walking distance, ultimately minimizing auto use for local trips.

Given the quality of these neighborhoods and their close proximity to more intense commercial uses immediately to the south, there is no indication these neighborhoods would become a priority for redevelopment. Given the current land values in this area relative to the financial benefit of intense redevelopment, it is not likely the market could not support an expansion of intensive uses within the entire 400' area inward from Capitol Way, as may be possible in other Corridor areas.

Recent TRPC forecasting (Appendix 2) shows the number of new dwelling units expected to be added to this area between 2010 and 2035 is 23 units. This estimate is based on current

conditions and development patterns, which could change unpredictably over the next 20 years. However, when compared to expected residential growth in other areas of the City, this area is clearly not expected to be a priority for significant redevelopment.

The 7 unit per acre density target for the neighborhoods south of I-5 might become achievable without adding significant residential density when combined with the residential growth anticipated in Tumwater Square. One alternative to removing this area from the Urban Corridor would be to add text to the draft plan stating the density target for this area should account for densification of Tumwater Square.

### **15. How do Urban Corridors relate to Strategy Corridors?**

All of Olympia's Urban Corridors are "Strategy Corridors." The Strategy Corridor concept is identified in the [Thurston Regional Transportation Plan](#) .

Strategy Corridors are places where road widening is not a preferred option to address congestion problems. This may be because the street is already at the maximum five-lane width, or that adjacent land uses are either fully built out or are environmentally sensitive.

In Strategy Corridors, a different approach is needed to maintain mobility into the future. Actions to reduce auto trips, such as building sidewalks, streetscape improvements and bicycle facilities, and improving the bus services, will relieve traffic congestion and increase capacity on these corridors.

Efforts to increase the density and mix of land uses will also be important to the success of Strategy Corridors. It is easier to get people out of their cars when housing is closer to jobs and services. Trips are shorter and more easily made by walking and biking. Transit is frequent and inviting for longer trips outside the immediate neighborhood.

### **16. How do Urban Corridors relate to Bus Corridors?**

All Urban Corridors are Bus Corridors. The Bus Corridor concept was introduced in the [Olympia Transportation Mobility Strategy](#) and builds on the region's Urban Corridor and Strategy Corridor policy approach.

Bus Corridors are major streets with high-quality, frequent transit service. The system of bus corridors would allow people more spontaneous use of transit. The first priority for Bus Corridor development will be along Strategy Corridors, where transit is expected to help resolve traffic and capacity issues.

Building Bus Corridors is a major new commitment to direct more trips to transit. The City and Intercity Transit will jointly invest in these corridors. Intercity Transit will provide fast, frequent and reliable bus service along these corridors.



Along these corridors, the City will provide operational improvements, such as longer green time at traffic signals so that buses are not stuck in congestion. The Smart Corridors project underway in Lacey, Olympia and Tumwater is beginning to make these signal improvements.

Attractive streetscapes, pedestrian crossings and sidewalks will enhance people's access to transit. The mix of land uses and increased densities along Urban Corridors will be crucial to the success of these bus corridors.

#### **17. Of the Urban Corridor Task Force recommendations, what has been done so far?**

- In November 2012, the Cities of Olympia, Tumwater and Lacey passed a joint Resolution accepting the recommendations of the Urban Corridors task force and committing to take a leadership role in implementing the recommendations and integrating the recommendations into local comprehensive plans.
- The Cities of Olympia, Tumwater and Lacey are participating in a [HUD Sustainable Communities Challenge grant](#) being administered by TRPC. The grant explores tools to encourage infill and redevelopment in three districts along urban corridors. The district Olympia is addressing - referred to as the "Headwaters District" - is Martin Way, west of Lilly Road. Tumwater is addressing the Brewery area, while Lacey will look at its Woodland District. The project began in 2012, and Olympia's portion is currently underway.
- [Smart Corridors](#) is a regional project to install transit priority equipment at traffic signals along 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, State Avenue, Martin Way, Pacific Avenue, Capitol Way and Downtown. Equipment will be installed in 2013. In 2014 or 2015, Intercity Transit will begin to benefit from these operational changes; buses approaching a signal will trigger the signal to extend the green time. Olympia's share of the cost of this project is nearly \$1 million, the majority of which is paid for with Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Funds.

#### **Attachments:**

- A: 10/15 Memo to Planning Commission
- B: Population Projections

#### **Additional Information:**

- Enger, Sue. December 4, 2012. *The Density Transportation Connection*, MRSC Insight. Online: <http://insight.mrsc.org/2012/12/04/the-densitytransportation-connection/>.

- Owen, John & Easton, Greg. June 2009. *Creating Walkable Business Districts*. Online: [http://www.trpc.org/regionalplanning/landuse/Documents/UCTF/Creating\\_Walkable\\_Neighborhood\\_Districts.pdf](http://www.trpc.org/regionalplanning/landuse/Documents/UCTF/Creating_Walkable_Neighborhood_Districts.pdf).
- Thurston Regional Planning Council. August 31, 2011. Notes and materials from the August 31, 2011 Urban Corridors Task Force Work Session. Online: <http://www.trpc.org/regionalplanning/landuse/Pages/UCTF-Aug30,2011PresentationMaterials.aspx>.
- Urban Corridors Task Force. Additional Resources. Online: <http://www.trpc.org/regionalplanning/landuse/Pages/UCTFAdditionalResources.aspx>.

## **Urban Corridors, October 15, 2012**

Urban corridors are select arterial streets and the compact mixture of land uses a quarter mile on either side of the street. The concept of these corridors was introduced in the 1993 *Regional Transportation Plan* (RTP) and incorporated into the 1994 *Olympia Comprehensive Plan* (Comp Plan) as “high density corridors.” The vision for urban corridors is for dense, mixed land uses supported by an efficient transportation system.

Vital urban corridors can absorb a considerable share of the future population through well-planned land uses, and a multimodal transportation system. Along urban corridors, attractive housing will be close to jobs, services, schools and stores. Trips are short and easy to make on foot or by bike. High-quality transit service will provide an inviting alternative to driving.

The urban corridors approach to land-use and transportation planning is regional. These corridors stretch between Lacey, Olympia and Tumwater. The region is working together to achieve the urban corridor vision in order to:

- Reduce dependence on driving
- Reduce the need to widen street for cars
- Create more efficient uses of land
- Efficiently provide public services and reduce investment in public infrastructure
- Reduce development pressure on rural lands

Consistent with the 1994 comp plan, the July draft includes goals and policies in both the land use and transportation chapters about urban corridors. Goals and policies relate to achieving corridor infill and redevelopment, and building a transportation system to support these dense, mixed land uses.

In Olympia, these urban corridors are East 4th and State Avenues, Martin Way, Pacific Avenue, Harrison Avenue, the triangle on the Westside shaped by Harrison Avenue, Cooper Point Road and Black Lake Boulevard, and portions of Capitol Boulevard.

### **I. Summary of July Draft goal and policy language; policy direction.**

#### **A. Origins of the goal and/or policy direction and language**

For two decades, the comp plan has worked to implement the corridors concept. Land-use regulations, zoning designations and design standards are specifically defined for urban corridors. Zoning was created that acknowledged the different districts within the corridors.

The term “high density corridor” is proposed to be changed to “urban corridor” with the July draft. Planning at the regional level has transitioned from the use of the term high density corridor to urban corridor. For Olympia, this change also helps to distinguish the urban corridor planning concept from the zoning designations High Density Corridor, 1, 2, 3, and 4.

While the term is proposed to be changed, there are no other substantive policy changes proposed to the urban corridor concept as it has been presented since 1994. The July draft proposes three focus areas for planning along Olympia's urban corridors: Lilly Road and Pacific Avenue, Lilly Road and Martin Way, and the Capital Mall area.

Urban corridors correspond with Olympia's "strategy corridors," a regional transportation planning concept that was introduced in the late 1990s. Strategy corridors are defined in the RTP, the current comp plan, and in the July draft.

Strategy corridors are areas where streets cannot continue to be widened in response to congestion, and other types of transportation improvements are needed. Transit has the greatest potential to provide people mobility along these corridors.

Bus corridors, a concept introduced in the City's 2009 Transportation Mobility Strategy, are included in the July draft. Bus corridors are streets with the highest quality transit service in the City. Most bus corridors are located on urban corridors and have overlap with strategy corridors. Along these streets, transit is expected to accommodate a large share of trips. Operational improvements such as signal timing, and special lanes for buses, along with transit-supportive building and street design, will make the bus increasingly attractive on urban corridors.

These corridor concepts work together to efficiently absorb growth in the region, create vital, attractive urban places, and provide people mobility through walking, biking, and transit.

**B. Applicable GMA and/or other legal requirements**

Concentrating growth in centers and along corridors is the regionally-accepted approach to addressing growth. This approach allows cities to efficiently provide transportation and other public services, while minimizing the negative social, environmental and economic impacts of growth – pollution, loss of rural land, costly infrastructure expansion, and disconnected neighborhoods. In this way, the corridors approach is consistent with Growth Management Act principals: to preserve a community's quality of life as it grows.

**C. Staff reasoning for goal and/or policy direction in the July Draft**

The Imagine Olympia scoping phase drew out community priorities for the future of Olympia. Phase II was a series of focus meetings that examined policy topics in more detail, one of which was high density corridors.

In these forums, the public expressed a great deal of support for the current comp plan's goals and policies related to high density corridors. The public supported increasing the mix and density of land uses on corridors, adding more housing, and developing a multimodal transportation system. While the public acknowledged little

progress has been made towards the corridor vision, there was recognition that the vision is still relevant and important to pursue.

The transit service we have been able to provide along many of these corridors is a major accomplishment towards achieving the corridor vision. However, we have not seen the land use changes and density increases that are needed along our corridors, and, as a result, auto use continues to dominate and congestion increases.

Regional policy makers have underscored the need to make progress towards the urban corridors vision. The 2011 Urban Corridors Task Force, composed of policy makers and community representatives, developed recommended regional actions to achieve the land uses needed along our corridors. Attachment 1 is the Urban Corridors Task Force report.

**D. Potential other options or alternatives to the staff recommendation**

The Planning Commission has heard concerns from the neighborhood south of I-5 along Capitol Boulevard related the anticipated higher densities that could come with the designation of Capitol Boulevard as an urban corridor. Residents of this Carlyon area were concerned about preserving the character of their neighborhood, the value of the historic homes, and the ability to walk.

Compared to the current comprehensive plan, no changes to this neighborhood are proposed in the July draft relative to the urban corridor designation. While up-zoning has the potential to occur in the future, no density or specific zoning changes are proposed with the July draft.

In response to these concerns, the Planning Commission could recommend:

- Remove the urban corridor designation for the area along Capitol Boulevard south of I-5. This may reduce the potential for future up-zoning of the residential neighborhood. If the designation is removed, criteria for guiding this and other exemptions from the urban corridor designation should be developed.

The value of maintaining the urban corridor designation on Capitol Boulevard is that it provides focus and clarity to the concept of urban corridors, and shows alignment with the other jurisdictions as they pursue the same vision. If, and when, any zoning changes are considered as a result of implementation of the urban corridors designation, neighborhoods have the ability to participate in defining how that change occurs.

- Add text to the July draft that the density targets for this Carlyon area of the urban corridor should account for densification of Tumwater Square area,

immediately south of the Carlyon neighborhood. The Carlyon area density target is seven residential units per acre. When combined with Tumwater Square area's anticipated residential growth, this target might be achievable without adding significant residential density to the Carlyon neighborhood.

Comment was also received from the public to include more specificity in the comp plan about zoning and densities within the urban corridor areas. This regulatory detail is typically defined by zoning and included in the code.

## **II. Implementation**

### **A. A brief description of current and potential implementation actions.**

Accomplishing this urban corridor vision will be incremental and long term. No one prescriptive approach will be used to accomplish the vision. The corridors differ in their characteristics today and will continue to differ as the vision is accomplished.

Zoning types help distinguish land uses and preserve the character of neighborhoods and districts along these corridors. While the urban corridor land uses extend ¼ mile from the arterial street, how that density is achieved will vary greatly. Planning processes will involve stakeholders in identifying the valuable features that should be preserved, and in guiding changes.

The Urban Corridors Task Force (UCTF) report includes recommendations for overcoming barriers to achieving more compact land-use patterns. Planners and policy makers are asked to rethink the role of the public sector in re-development activities and to augment traditional regulatory tools with new approaches.

Consistent with the UCTF recommendations, the July draft identifies three focus areas for planning along Olympia's urban corridors. The Martin Way and Lilly Road district is the focus of a grant project currently underway. Overtime, other districts will be identified and planning processes will help us to achieve the urban corridor vision.

## **III. Additional Information and Resources**

- Urban Corridors Task Force Report



## What Growth is Forecast for Subareas within the Urban Growth Area?

### Background

In association with review of both the proposed Future Land Use Map, neighborhood Planning Areas map, and urban corridors proposal, the Planning Commission requested more information regarding growth forecast for these areas. On November 2, 2012, the Thurston Regional Planning Council adopted a new population forecast allocation for Olympia and its urban growth area. The forecast model used to create that allocation can also be used to create forecasts for smaller areas.

Accordingly, in November the City staff asked that TRPC staff generate such forecasts for the twelve proposed planning subareas, plus five selected portions of the proposed urban corridors. For comparison, a forecast for the South Capitol neighborhood was also prepared. A summary of the results of that request is provided below. Also available as 'raw' data are five-year increments of these forecasts and breakdowns of dwelling units between single-family, multi-family, and manufactured housing forms.

(Note: A county-wide employment forecast was adopted on July 13, 2012. However, allocations to smaller areas are still in progress and have not yet been approved.)

### Growth Forecasts

These forecasts are subject to all of the assumptions and limitations of the original county-wide and urban growth areas forecasts. These are not repeated here, but are available on TRPC's website (<http://www.trpc.org/data/Pages/popfore.aspx>) and can be provided by City staff on request. In addition, due to the approach used to forecast population growth, these forecasts are even less reliable at smaller scales.

In addition to the summary table below, a corridors and a revised subareas map are attached. The planning subareas proposed in the July draft of the Comprehensive Plan have indefinite boundaries so that no potentially interested party would feel excluded from the subarea planning process. The version of the map attached reflects specific boundaries used to generate this forecast information as is not intended to replace the proposed version with indefinite boundaries.

These forecasts are based on existing zoning, anchored to 2010 because that is the last U. S. Census year, and extend to 2035 to provide at least a 20-year forecast. Dwelling Unit (DU) densities are "gross" densities based on the entire area and are reported here as 'dwelling units per acre.' 'Total DU Capacity' reflects the forecast model's estimate of capacity should all buildable areas be developed roughly as is current practice; in other words no assumptions are made that patterns of development will change substantially in the next twenty years. In reality it is likely that residential development patterns will change unpredictably over this extended period.

### OLYMPIA URBAN GROWTH AREA - SUBAREA RESIDENTIAL GROWTH FORECAST


Neighborhood Subarea	Acreage	2010 Population	2035 Pop Est.	Percent Increase	2010 Dwellings	2035 Dwellings	Percent Increase	2010 DU Density	2035 DU Density	Total DU Capacity	"Buildout" Density
A	1,311	6,621	7,840	18%	3,068	3,714	21%	2.3	2.8	3,857	2.9
B	448	2,551	2,750	8%	1,252	1,379	10%	2.8	3.1	1,410	3.1
C	1,872	5,838	8,883	52%	2,866	4,385	53%	1.5	2.3	4,729	2.5
D	1,721	5,842	12,851	120%	2,442	5,654	132%	1.4	3.3	6,460	3.8
E	1,923	6,948	9,935	43%	2,907	4,306	48%	1.5	2.2	4,655	2.4
F	1,191	4,786	6,854	43%	1,925	2,986	55%	1.6	2.5	3,215	2.7
G	396	2,471	2,563	4%	1,182	1,259	7%	3.0	3.2	1,277	3.2
H	1,181	4,311	5,324	24%	2,027	2,552	26%	1.7	2.2	2,594	2.2
I	1,618	5,849	9,505	63%	2,873	4,960	73%	1.8	3.1	5,408	3.3
J	983	6,595	8,063	22%	3,113	4,025	29%	3.2	4.1	4,144	4.2
K	1,254	4,264	6,568	54%	1,735	2,806	62%	1.4	2.2	3,020	2.4
Downtown	650	2,226	3,254	46%	1,557	2,386	53%	2.4	3.7	2,460	3.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>14,549</b>	<b>58,303</b>	<b>84,390</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>26,947</b>	<b>40,411</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>43,228</b>	<b>3.0</b>

Urban Corridor Areas (plus Downtown and South Capitol)											
	Acreage	2010 Population	2035 Pop Est.	Percent Increase	2010 Dwellings	2035 Dwellings	Percent Increase	2010 DU Density	2035 DU Density	Total DU Capacity	"Buildout" Density
Downtown	650	2,226	3,254	46%	1,557	2,386	53%	2.4	3.7	2,460	3.8
South Capitol	187	1,073	1,116	4%	579	619	7%	3.1	3.3	629	3.4
Carlyon	93	652	687	5%	278	301	8%	3.0	3.2	306	3.3
Harrison East	229	2,004	2,038	2%	904	948	5%	3.9	4.1	954	4.2
Harrison West	643	3,503	4,167	19%	2,055	2,570	25%	3.2	4.0	2,637	4.1
4th/State	425	3,199	3,338	4%	1,586	1,695	7%	3.7	4.0	1,718	4.0
Martin/Pacific	746	2,018	2,996	48%	1,049	1,602	53%	1.4	2.1	1,695	2.3
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>2,974</b>	<b>14,675</b>	<b>17,595</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>8,008</b>	<b>10,121</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>10,399</b>	<b>3.5</b>

1/14/2013

Primary Source: Thurston Regional Planning Council





### Population Forecast Corridors




**Legend**

-  City Limits
-  Urban Growth Area



**DISCLAIMER:**  
 This map is for general planning purposes only. The Harrison Regional Planning Council makes no warranty or representation as to the accuracy or completeness of the information for a particular purpose.







### Population Forecast Areas



**Legend**

-  City Limits
-  Urban Growth Area



**DISCLAIMER:**  
 The data is for general planning purposes only. Thurston Regional Planning Council makes no representations as to accuracy or fitness of the information for a particular purpose.

