

OPC INITIAL DELIBERATIONS – Commissioner Requests*

Outstanding requests are highlighted in yellow

A majority of Commissioners may request that comments and requests are added to the lists below:

1. REQUESTS FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:

September 17 (Foundations):

- ~~How does the July Draft address greenhouse gas emissions? Provided 10/29~~
- ~~How does the July Draft address an aging population? Provided 11/19~~
- ~~Current housing and commercial property vacancies and supply? Provided 11/19~~
- ~~Buildable Lands Report. Point to analysis regarding where infill could occur. Provided 10/29~~

September 24 (Environment):

- **What is the resource impact for staff to provide a comment response document for April comments? Update: Staff is working on a response document that addresses key issues identified by public comments on the April Draft. Staff is targeting January for completion of this document.**
- ~~Which population forecast is being used? What is the confidence level (statistics, if possible)? Provided 10/29~~
- ~~Which sections of the Plan address liquefaction? Provided 10/29~~
- ~~Provide University of Washington study on liquefaction risk. Provided 10/29~~
- ~~Provide a copy of the proposed urban agriculture policies received by staff early in 2012. Provided 10/29~~
- ~~Who currently receives recycled water? Provided 10/29~~
- **Provide information regarding valuation (\$) of natural environment and open space. Staff is targeting December for completion of this**

October 1 (Neighborhoods):

- **Data re: Northpoint area. Staff is targeting December for completion of this**
- **Conservable lands. Staff is targeting December for completion of this**
- **Staff speak to nodes, feasibility information on alternatives to urban corridors to attract density (per Thera Black's comments). Staff is targeting January for completion of this**
- ~~How does July Draft address emergency preparedness/management? Removed from the list by the Commission~~

October 8 (Downtown):

- ~~Explain what a "view corridor" is and how it may be different from "vistas" Provided 11/19~~
- ~~Send commissioners a copy of the Scenic Views Map Mailed within in 10/22 packet~~
- **What is the staff response to the 7-11 decision and subsequent dropped appeal? Does the 7-11 decision apply only to that specific case or does it mean that the Comp Plan is a regulatory document? Update: The City is awaiting another court decision on a similar matter. Staff is targeting December for completion of this**
- ~~Decatur connections analysis (Larry's comment) – Provided December 3, 2012.~~

Last Updated: November 28, 2012

- **Population distribution of proposed subareas (not needed by Oct 29)** *Update: Staff is discussing this request with TRPC, and it will be provided once available.*
- ~~Memo from Keith Stahley on status of Mithun software (timeline etc...)~~ *Provided 10/29*

October 15 (Mobility and Land Use):

- **How many new residents are expected and proposed to live within urban corridors (including minimum and maximum estimates)?** *Update: Staff is discussing this request with TRPC, and it will be provided once available.*
- **What is the current population and acreage of the urban corridors? Along those same lines, how does anticipated density differ or is calculated considering net vs. gross area?** *Update: Staff is discussing this request with TRPC, and it will be provided once available.*
- **What is the anticipated population for each neighborhood?** *Update: Staff is discussing this request with TRPC, and it will be provided once available.*
- ~~Memo on the current status of the proposed project 'Kaiser Heights.'~~ *Staff will provide a briefing and memo during announcements on November 19th.*
- ~~A map of all Intercity Transit bus stops~~ *Provided 11/19*
- **How do other communities define 'density' in their Comprehensive Plans and/or long-range planning efforts?** *Staff is targeting December for completion of this*

October 20 (Request via email, staff agreed to add to the list)

- ~~How does the Plan make provision for tiny houses or cottage housing?~~ *Provided December 3, 2012.*

October 29 (Public Hearing):

- ~~Question and Answer period with representatives from Department of Commerce.~~ *Provided December 3, 2012.*

2. REQUESTS FOR NEW OPTIONS OR DRAFT LANGUAGE FOR FINAL DELIBERATIONS:

Specifically requested language changes or alternative options will be provided during Final Deliberations, in accordance with the schedule and work plan to be created by the CPU Subcommittee.

September 10 (Vision/Values)

- OPC requested specific changes to the community Vision and Value statements.

September 17 (Foundations):

- None

September 24 (Environment):

- Qualifiers regarding population forecast (statement of caution)
- Add explanation regarding structure/organization of Plan

October 1 (Neighborhoods):

- Clear, stronger Vision statement
- Add to existing policy: Empowering neighborhoods more to care for/maintain neighborhoods-NA activities (tools, technical assistance- “remove barriers”
- PL 20.2 add “green space”
- PP4.5 Add “implementation”
- Draft language on sub-area boundary criteria

October 8 (Downtown):

- None

October 15 (Mobility and Land Use):

- Use language such as “require” for policies to strengthen neighborhood involvement in connectivity issues (see specific comments from Karen Messmer regarding connectivity.)

“PARKING LOT” ITEMS:

These are comments Commissioners wanted noted for possible future discussion. There is no expectation that staff will respond to comments noted on this list.

September 17 (Foundations):

- How does the City address the needs of struggling businesses, particularly regarding aging infrastructure?
- Livability, happiness & health. Does Seattle have a definition?

September 24 (Environment):

- Staff response to public comments on the April and July Drafts
- Add Sea Level Rise monitoring policy

October 1 (Neighborhoods):

- Subarea plans: how to address GMA/requirement to accommodate growth
- What is motivation to be involved in subarea group?

- What level of commitment does the City have to implement subarea plans? How are plans implemented?
- Relationship of subarea plans to roles/overlap of Advisory Committees?
- Relationship between neighborhoods and neighboring other uses (medical facilities, industrial etc...)
- N.A. sustainability/emergency preparedness
- Relationship of Comp Plan to MOU- goals/policies and goals of the MOU
- Planning resources available to neighborhoods/skills to develop plans
- Boundaries determined at implementation stage with CNA partnership
- Concerns about neighborhood engagement/fair representation
- Decision-making: role of city planning/city-wide interests/subarea planning- relationships, balance
- Permitting process-involvement of neighborhoods-early, continuous collaboration
- (Bardin) policies in land use chapter re: subareas, Environment
- Neighborhood ombudsman
- Fiscal impact/resources needed for implementation

October 8 (Downtown):

- Proposed language RE: scenic views
- Potential Nov 1st hearing on views
- Hiring an urban designer
- Seismic review of downtown
- Other downtown issues beyond views as part of CP update
- Commissioner Kiszca's email

October 15 (Mobility and Land Use):

- Add the commercial area surrounding Plum/Union to the urban corridor designation (noted by staff has being considered part of Downtown)
- Clarify the urban corridor concept and the limits of urban corridors
- Risk of liquefaction in urban corridors
- Influence of neighborhood associations regarding the implementation of existing or future street connections.
- Discussion on alternatives to a "linear" urban corridor (examples noted include only identifying nodes or focusing on circles in lieu of linear transit corridors.)