Appendix A: Development incentives

| Incentive name | What it is and how it works | Fund sources/ fund impacted | Potential benefits | Potential drawbacks |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Property or other ta | ax abatements and credits | | | |
| Property tax abatements | A time-limited reduction in property taxes, sometimes just on improvements or new equipment, to encourage private investment in a property. | Local taxing jurisdictions' general funds-cities, school districts, counties, etc. | Increases the financial feasibility of property improvements. Often more politically acceptable than other funding sources; it does not require a budget allocation. | Reduces general fund revenues for all overlapping taxing districts. Can require ongoing monitoring to ensure compliance and accountability. |
| New Market Tax Credits (NMTCs) | The NMTC program provides federal income tax credits for commercial, residential, mixed- use, and community facilities in low income communities with census tracts with a poverty rate of at least 20%. In Olympia, there are several Westside census tracts that qualify for NMTCs. The developer or operating business receives discounted financing typically in the form of equity, forgivable debt, or below-market rate interest. | Federal government | Can be used for mixed-use developments provided that annual residential revenues don't exceed 80% of total project revenues. Can position project to leverage additional resources, including opportunities to combine other tax credits, grants, or incentives with NMTC financing. | The NMTC process is highly competitive. Community Development Entities that serve as intermediaries have specific requirements they must meet under their allocation agreements with respect to both geography and community impacts expected to be achieved in high distress communities. |

| Appendix A: Development Incentives | | ECONorthwest | | September 2013 | Page 2 |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| Incentive name | What it is and how it works | Fund sources/ fund impacted | Potential benefits | Potential drawbacks | |
| Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTCs) | Provides tax credits for acquisition, rehabilitation, new construction of rental housing targeted to lower-income households. At least 20% of residential units must be restricted to low income residents with income less than 50% median gross income of the area—or at least 40% of the units must be restricted to low income residents with income of 60% or less of the median gross income of the area. | Federal government | Can increase the supply of affordable housing in an area. Because the debt is lower, a tax credit property can in turn offer lower, more affordable rents. | States allocate federal housin through a competitive proces Property must maintain comp program requirements to rem | s. pliance with |
| Federal historic rehabilitation tax credits | Encourages private sector rehabilitation of income- producing historic buildings. The program allows a 20% tax credit for certified rehabilitation of certified historic structures. It also allows a 10% tax credit for rehabilitation of non-historic, non- residential buildings built before 1936. The rehabilitation must be substantial and must involve a depreciable building. | Administered by the National Park Service and the Internal Revenue Service, in partnership with State Historic Preservation Offices. | • The 10% tax credit is available for the rehabilitation of non- historic buildings placed in service before 1936. | Long designation and certification process. Buildings must be rehabilitated residential use. An owner that is allocated the must remain in title for at lea after the project is placed in sector of the tax credits by the investignment of tax credits by tax credi | ed for non- e tax credits st five years service. e allocation |

| Appendix A: Development Incentives | | ECONorthwest | | September 2013 | Page 3 |
|---|---|--------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| Incentive name | What it is and how it works | Fund sources/ fund impacted | Potential benefits | Potential drawbacks | |
| HUD 202 supportive housing for the elderly | Provides interest-free capital advances to private, nonprofit sponsors to finance housing development for low-income seniors. The capital advance does not have to be repaid as long as the project serves low- income seniors. The nonprofit must provide a minimum capital investment equal to 0.5 percent of the HUD-approved capital advance, up to a maximum of \$25,000. Occupancy in Section 202 housing is open to any very low-income household comprised of at least one person who is at least 62 years old at the time of initial occupancy. | Federal HUD funds | Capital advance does not have to be repaid as long as the project serves very low-income elderly persons for 40 years. | Competitive process to secure loans/grants for individual projects Difficulty in retaining experienced contractors over lengthy applicatio fund disbursement timeframes. | |
| Low interest gran | | | | | |
| CDBG grants and loans | Community Development Block Grants provide communities with resources to address a wide range of community development needs, including affordable housing and service provision, targeted to benefit low- and moderate-income persons. HUD Section 108 is one mechanism that increases the capacity of block grants to assist with economic development projects. | Federal HUD funds | Funds are fairly flexible in application. Program has been run since 1974, and is seen as being fairly reliably. | Competitive process to secure loans/grants for individual projects Administration and projects must a federal guidelines. Amount of federal funding for CDB been diminishing over the past few CDBG program is run through Clac County and is not in the control of the second sec | meet G has v years. kamas |

| Appendix A: Development Incentives | | ECON orthwest | | September 2013 | Page 4 |
|---|---|--|--|--|-------------|
| Incentive name | What it is and how it works | Fund sources/ fund impacted | Potential benefits | Potential drawbacks | |
| EB-5 | Investment dollars for new commercial enterprises that will benefit the US economy and create at least 10 full-time jobs for every \$500,000 invested by foreigners seeking US citizenship. | Foreign investors | Relatively low-cost source of capital for appropriate projects. | Must fall in an EB-5 eligible "targeted employment area." Must meet job generation requiremer | |
| Reduces developm | ent costs | | | | |
| Land assembly | Assistance with the process of combining parcels together into one developable site. Sometimes takes the shape of technical assistance or expedited process. Other times, the public sector acquires the parcels, combines them, and sells to private party. | CDBG | • Can help overcome development feasibility challenges by creating more viable redevelopment sites. In some cases, assembling the land increases its value on the open market for UR Agencies looking to re-sell. | Public agencies sometimes pay hig appraised value for land because th often want to achieve multiple goal this can impact costs of future publ private acquisitions. | ney Is – |
| Property price buy down through community renewal | If the area is in a designated community renewal area, a public agency may chose to sell a property to qualifying developers at a price lower than fair market value to induce development. | City funds | Increases development feasibility by reducing development costs. Gives the public sector leverage to achieve its goals for the development via development agreement process with developer. | Requires careful underwriting and program administration to reduce p sector risk and ensure program compliance. | public |
| Reduced building permit/planning fees or SDC buy down / waiver | Reduce various development fees as an incentive to induce qualifying types of development or building features (e.g. stormwater improvements through the Commercial Stormwater Fee Reduction). | General Fund or SDC fund, respectively | Increases development feasibility by reducing soft costs for developers. Fee cost structures are within City control and can be easier to manipulate than other components of the development cost structure. | Reduces revenues to provide perminand compliance services. If SDCs are reduced for some developments, that revenue burder be shifted to others. | - |

| Appendix A: Development Incentives | | ECONorthwest | | September 2013 | Page 5 |
|--|--|---|---|---|----------|
| Incentive name | What it is and how it works | Fund sources/ fund impacted | Potential benefits | Potential drawbacks | |
| Pre-development assistance | Grants or low interest loans for pre-development (evaluation of site constraints and opportunities, development feasibility, conceptual planning, etc.) to reduce pre-development costs. | CDBG | Reduces what are often risky pre- development costs for developments that fulfill community goals. Enables developers and communities to explore wider range of project possibilities. | Can be perceived as favoring part developers or property owners. | icular |
| SDC financing or credits | SDC financing enables developers to stretch their SDC payment over time, thereby reducing upfront costs. Alternately, allows developers to make necessary improvements to the site in lieu of paying SDCs. | SDC fund / general fund. In some cases, there may be no financial impact. | Reduced up-front costs for developers can enable quicker development timeframe and availability of property to be taxed. | Reduces availability of SDC funds short term. | over the |
| Expedited / fast- tracked building permits | Expedite building permits for pre- approved development types or green buildings. | Limited costs. | Can be targeted to a specific development type that is incented. Can save projects time in development process, which produces financial savings. | May not have a large enough imp development bottom line to chan financial viability of project. | |
| Spurs investment i | n a specific area | | | | |
| Façade improvement grants/loans | Commonly used as part of the Main Street approach to economic development, these are low or no interest loans, or matching grant funds to improve the façade of a building. | CDBG loans or grants | • A relatively low-cost approach to assisting property owners with improvements that creates a stronger environment for retail. | Can be perceived as favoring som businesses or business areas ove | |

| Appendix A: Development Incentives | | ECONorthwest | | September 2013 | Page 6 |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--------|
| Incentive name | What it is and how it works | Fund sources/ fund impacted | Potential benefits | Potential drawbacks | |
| Sole Source SDCs | Retains SDCs paid by developers within a limited geographic area that directly benefits from new development, rather than being available for use city- wide. | SDC funds | • Enables SDC eligible Improvements within smaller areas which can enhance catalytic and redevelopment value of area. | Reduces resources for SDC-funded projects in a broader geography. Small geographic areas may not has sufficient SDC revenues to support b | |