

CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER APPEARANCE OF FAIRNESS REVIEW

Examiner Name: *mcS*
 Date filled out: *10/22/21*
 Case Name: *SMITH LAKE RESOLVE*
 Case Number: *21-1729*

QUESTION	Yes/No	Must self-disqualify	Automatic granting of motion to disqualify	Parties, after receiving full disclosure of conflict, can make finding of de minimis interest
Could your impartiality be reasonably questioned?	<i>NO</i>			
Have you served in a professional or business relationship with respect to the matter in issue, or are you currently associated with a person who is or was so engaged?	<i>NO</i>			
Do you directly, or through a family member or fiduciary relationship, have a financial or personal interest in the outcome of the matter or issue?	<i>NO</i>			
Do you have personal bias or prejudice concerning a party or a party's lawyer?	<i>NO</i>			
Do you have personal knowledge of facts that are in dispute in the proceeding?	<i>NO</i>			
Are you, your spouse/domestic partner (or any person within the third degree of relationship to either of them, or the spouse or domestic partner of such a person or your business partners):	<i>NO</i>			
(a) A party to the proceeding, or an officer, director, general partner, managing member, or trustee of a party?	<i>NO</i>			
(b) Acting as a lawyer in the proceeding?	<i>NO</i>			

QUESTION	Yes/No	Must self-disqualify	Automatic granting of motion to disqualify	Parties, after receiving full disclosure of conflict, can make finding of de minimis interest
(c) A person who has more than a de minimis interest that could be substantially affected by the proceeding?	NO			
(d) Likely to be a material witness in the proceeding?	NO			
Do you individually or as a fiduciary, or your spouse/domestic partner, parent, or child, or any other member of your family residing in your household, have an economic interest in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding?	NO			
Have you made a public statement, other than in a court proceeding, judicial decision, or opinion, that commits you to reaching a particular result or rule in a particular way in this proceeding or controversy?	NO			
Have you:				
(a) Served as a lawyer in the matter or controversy, or been associated with a lawyer who participated substantially as a lawyer or a material witness in the matter during such association?	NO			
(b) Served in governmental employment, and in such capacity participated personally and substantially as a public official concerning the proceeding, or publicly expressed in such capacity an opinion concerning the merits of the particular matter in controversy?	NO			
(c) Been a material witness concerning the matter?	NO			
(d) Previously presided as a judge over the matter in another court?	NO			
Additional Comments				
If your answer to any question is "Yes", please provide a fuller explanation.				
If you believe any "No" answer benefits from a fuller explanation, please do so.				