ATTACHMENT 13



OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER

Community Planning & Development 601 4th Avenue E. – PO Box 1967 Olympia WA 98501-1967 Phone: 360.753.8314 Fax: 360.753.8087 <u>cpdinfo@ci.olympia.wa.us</u> <u>www.olympiawa.gov</u>

August 19, 2013

Greetings,

Subject: WOODARD LANE COHOUSING PRD AMENDMENT Case: 13-00024

The enclosed Recommendation of the Olympia Hearings Examiner issued on August 19, 2013 may be of interest to you. This is not the final decision for this project; the project will be presented to the Olympia City Council for final decision on September 10, 2013.

If you have any questions or comments , please contact me at (360) 570-3776 or <u>cmccoy@ci.olympia.wa.us</u>

Sincerely,

ine R MCCor **HERINE MCCOY**

Associate Planner Community Planning & Development

1	BEFORE THE CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARINGS EXAMINER			
2	IN RE:) HEARING NO. 12-0024		
3	WOODARD LANE CO-HOUSING TENANTS IN COMMON,) FINDINGS OF FACT,) CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
4	Applicant.) AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
5				
6 7	APPLICANT:Woodard Lane Co-Housing Tenants in Common 1620 Woodard Avenue N.W. Olympia, Washington 98502			
8	orympia, washington 90502			
9	REPRESENTATIVES:			
10	Liv Monroe Woodard Lane Co-Housing Tenants in Common			
11	1620 Woodard Avenue N.W. Olympia, Washington 98502			
12	SUMMARY OF REQUEST:			
13 14	Amendment to the previously approved Planned Residential Development to increase the number of approved residential units from sixteen to eighteen pursuant to the acquisition of two Transferable Development Rights (TDR's), OMC 18.04.080(A)(5).			
15				
16	LOCATION OF PROPOSAL:			
17	1620 Woodard Avenue N.W., Olympia, Washington 98502			
18	SUMMARY OF DECISION:			
19	The Planned Residential Development should be amended to allow two additional residential units for the two TDR's, subject to conditions.			
20				
21	BACKGROUND			
22	The Woodard Lane Co-Housing Planned Residential Development ("Woodard Lane")			
23	was approved by the Hearing Examiner and City Council in 2008. The approved Planned			
24	Residential Development allowed for the construction of up to sixteen residential units in this co-			
25	housing project. The Applicant has since arranged for the acquisition of two Transfer Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations - 1 CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532 Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-9533			

Development Rights, or TDR's, and seeks to increase the number of allowed units from sixteen
 to eighteen pursuant to the TDR's. As explained more fully below, I recommend to the City
 Council that the Planned Residential Development be amended to allow two additional
 residential units in consideration for the two TDR's, subject to certain conditions.

Initial Public Hearing

The initial public hearing on this request commenced at 6:30 p.m. on July 8, 2013, in the Planning Hearing Room in the City Hall. The City appeared through Catherine McCoy of Planning Staff. Woodard Lane appeared through its representative, Liv Monroe. Testimony was received from the City through Ms. McCoy. Testimony from the Applicant was received from Liv Monroe. A verbatim recording was made of the public hearing and all testimony was taken under oath. A total of twenty-three exhibits were introduced during the course of three hearings as more fully identified on Attachment "A" to this decision.

Catherine McCoy of Planning Staff provided a history of the project. Following approval of the Planned Residential Development in 2008 Woodard Lane undertook construction of a majority of the approved development including three multi-family residential buildings, designated as Units B, C and E on site maps, as well as all site improvements including parking, walkways, driveways, and landscaping. Each of the three constructed residential buildings provides for four residential units, but the lower floor of Unit E is currently used as the Common House where meals and other communal activities take place. Thus, while twelve residential units have been constructed only ten are in current use as residences. The approved Planned Residential Development recognizes two additional residential buildings, Unit A and Unit D, but neither of these units has been constructed. Thus, twelve of the sixteen approved units have been constructed to date.

advantage of the four remaining approved but un-built units. More importantly, the Applicant
 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations - 2 CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER
 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939
 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532

Ms. McCoy explained that the Applicant wants to now construct Unit D to take

Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-9533

also wants to construct Unit A, and to have it include two additional units. The first floor of Unit
 A would become the new home of the Common House and the second floor would, if approved,
 provide two additional residential units, increasing the total number of units to eighteen.

To construct these units the Applicant must first have the project amended to increase the number of allowed units from sixteen to eighteen. To summarize, the amended project, if approved, would provide for four four-unit buildings, Units B, C, D and E, and a fifth building, Unit A, housing the Common House on its first floor and two additional units on its second floor, for a total of eighteen residential units.

9 In order to be granted two additional residential units the Applicant has arranged for the 10 acquisition of two Transfer Development Rights, or TDR's, from a Thurston County property 11 owner. Ms. McCov testified that City Staff had calculated that these two TDR's would allow the 12 Applicant an additional three residential units based upon the amount of acreage involved. If this 13 calculation is correct the Applicant would be eligible for an increase from sixteen to nineteen 14 units. Although the Applicant had only sought two additional units, it asked for approval of this 15 third (nineteenth) additional unit to be utilized if/when it later constructed a final residential 16 building (Unit F).

The project as constructed includes a twenty-nine stall parking area, bike storage shed and a communal kitchen. Each of these improvements is noteworthy as their size is based upon the approved sixteen-unit development. Ms. McCoy testified that an increase in allowed units from sixteen to eighteen (or nineteen) would not require a modification of the parking lot, bike storage shed or communal kitchen as all of these improvements are of sufficient size for the requested increase.

Ms. McCoy also testified that the proposed new construction will not require additional
 stormwater analysis; will not involve any construction within shorelines jurisdiction; and will not

²⁵ impact any critical areas.

4

5

6

7

8

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations - 3

CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532 Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-9533

The one significant impact of an enlarged project is on the handling of trash and 2 recyclable materials. The project currently relies upon standard-sized wheeled containers for both trash and recyclables, and these containers are stored at the north end of the parking lot, 4 well away from neighboring properties. The increased size of the project will necessitate 5 conversion to a trash dumpster. Ms. McCoy explained that she has conferred with other City 6 Staff and waste management officials and concludes that the only appropriate location for this dumpster would be at the northeast corner of the project site in close proximity to an adjoining 8 residence owned by Debra Van Tuinen.

1

3

7

9 As noted in Ms. McCoy's Staff Report the City had received comments from several 10 neighboring homeowners in advance of the public hearing. Not surprisingly, the requested 11 amendment has served as something of a referendum on the development as a whole. Neighbors 12 express unhappiness with the scale and massing of the buildings, traffic and noise congestion, 13 on-street parking problems and the proposed location of the waste and recycling receptacles.

14 Ms. McCoy concluded her testimony by confirming that City Staff recommends approval 15 of the requested amendment subject to certain conditions set forth on Page 8 of the Staff Report. 16 The City's proposed conditions would allow for the addition of three residential units (two on the 17 second floor of Unit A and a third to be held for later construction of Unit F) on the conditions 18 that the Applicant submit proposals for a better buffering between its development and single-19 family residences to the east; that it provide greater detail for the location of solid waste 20 receptacles along Muirhead Avenue including screening; and that if a Unit F is later constructed on Lot 4 that the Applicant first be required to submit design plans for staff level detailed design 21 22 review.

23 At the conclusion of Ms. McCoy's presentation Liv Monroe spoke on behalf of Woodard 24 Lane. Ms. Monroe provided a brief history of the project since its approval in 2008. She then 25 explained that Woodard Lane wishes to proceed with construction of the previously approved Findings of Fact. Conclusions of Law **CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER** and Recommendations - 4 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 **CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532** Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-9533

1 Unit D, bringing the number of constructed units to the allowed sixteen. The proposed 2 amendment would allow Woodard Lane to construct two additional units on the top of Unit A. 3 Unit A would then be constructed to house the Common House on its first floor and these two 4 additional units on its second floor, bringing the total number of residential units to eighteen. To 5 accomplish this Woodard Lane has arranged for acquisition of two TDR's from a rural 6 landowner in Thurston County.

7 Ms. Monroe admitted that she was surprised by the City's calculations that the two TDR's 8 would allow Woodard Lane an additional three units. Ms. Monroe had anticipated that the two 9 TDR's could be converted to two additional residential units, not three. If Woodard Lane was 10 allowed this third additional unit it would be held in reserve for the later construction of the final 11 building (Unit F) identified on the project's site map.

12 Ms. Monroe acknowledged that the project has had some issues with its neighbors in the 13 single-family neighborhood immediately east of the site. In order to alleviate some of these 14 concerns Woodard Lane offers to construct a six-foot high solid fence to improve the buffering 15 between the project and the residences to the east. Due to the existence of several large trees along this boundary the fence would be constructed so as to not cause the removal of the trees. In other words, the fence would be constructed from tree to tree rather than as a single continuous fence.

Ms. Monroe also defended the proposed location of the trash dumpster at the northeast corner of the project. She explained that the current size of the project allows for trash and recyclables to be maintained in standard size carts but that Woodard Lane will be forced to go to a dumpster with its increase in population. The current location of trash and waste receptacles is 23 within the footprint of the intended Unit A and therefore must be relocated. She agreed that the 24 most appropriate site for relocation is at the northeast corner of the project along Muirhead.

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations - 5

CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 **CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532** Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-9533

Following Ms. Monroe's testimony the hearing was opened for public comment. Debra Van Tuinen, an adjoining neighbor, spoke in opposition. Ms. Van Tuinen's residence is located at the southwest corner of the North Thomas/Muirhead Avenue intersection. The proposed site of the trash dumpster is within a few feet of her boundary line and just a short distance from her house. She is strongly opposed to the relocation of trash receptacles to this site and asked that the City be required to re-examine this question and consider alternatives, including relocation to Woodard Avenue as was originally proposed in 2008. Ms. Van Tuinen also testified as to various problems resulting from the lack of buffering between the project and its single-family neighbors as well as with individuals using Muirhead Avenue as a parking area.

At the conclusion of public testimony I advised Ms. McCoy that I was unclear on how the City had calculated that two TDR's would convert to three additional residential units for Woodard Lane. I also explained that I shared Ms. Van Tuinen's concerns with the proposed location of the trash dumpster next to her property. In light of these two concerns I recessed the public hearing until July 17, 2013 to receive additional information on (1) the City's calculations on the number of additional units available to Woodard Lane as a result of two TDR's, and (2) further investigation of the best site for the trash dumpster, provided, however, that its relocation would not cause the reduction of available parking stalls.

Second Public Hearing

Prior to the hearing scheduled for July 17 I was notified by City Staff that the requested
information would not be available in time. The hearing was therefore further continued to July
24 at 5:30 p.m. In advance of the July 24 hearing I received a Memorandum from Todd Stamm,
Planning Manager for the City (Exhibit 17) confirming that, upon further consideration, the City
agrees that each TDR would equate to one additional residential unit for Woodard Lane. The
two TDR's to be acquired by Woodard Lane would therefore result in two additional available

²⁵ units, not three as earlier calculated.

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations - 6

CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532 Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-9533

1

2

3

4

5

6

Also in advance of the July 24 hearing I received a letter from Jason Whalen (Exhibit 18), an attorney representing Debra Van Tuinen. On behalf of Ms. Van Tuinen Mr. Whalen expressed continuing opposition to the proposed location of the dumpster next to her property; asked that no additional parking be allowed along Muirhead Avenue; requested that any amendment be conditioned upon improved landscaping and buffering; and agreed with the Hearing Examiner's position that each TDR would equate to one additional residential unit and hence the two available TDR's would equate to two additional units, not three.

The public hearing resumed on July 24 at 5:30 p.m. Ms. McCoy was present on behalf of the City and Ms. Monroe and Ms. Van Tuinen were also present. Ms. McCoy reviewed the Memorandum prepared by Todd Stamm and confirmed that the City's position had changed and that it now believed that the Applicant's two available TDR's would justify two additional residential units, not three as earlier proposed. Ms. Monroe responded and admitted that these revised calculations were consistent with her initial expectations and that she would accept that the two available TDR's would equate to two additional units, not three.

Ms. McCoy also testified that additional meetings had taken place regarding the location of trash and recycling receptacles but unfortunately a written report was not yet ready. The hearing was therefore again recessed until August 12 so that this report could be completed and made available to all interested parties.

Third Public Hearing

20 In advance of the rescheduled hearing on August 12 I received a Memorandum from Ms. 21 McCoy (Exhibit 20) explaining that City Staff had been successful in establishing better 22 locations for the waste and recycling receptacles. The new proposed locations are identified on a 23 revised site map (Exhibit 21) and are to be located not far from their current location and well 24 away from adjoining residences. More specifically, the trash dumpster would be located at the 25 northwest corner of the parking area; the recycling carts would be located just south of proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER and Recommendations - 7 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 **CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532**

Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-9533

17

18

19

Unit A and a solid waste cart would be located near the northeast corner of the parking lot
 adjacent to an existing gardening area.

The public hearing reconvened on August 12 at 5:30 p.m. and once again Ms. McCoy, Ms. Monroe and Ms. Van Tuinen were present. Ms. McCoy explained the proposed relocation of these facilities and Ms. Monroe confirmed Woodard Lane's willingness to have the facilities relocated to these sites. Ms. Van Tuinen expressed thanks to the City and Woodard Lane for the relocation of these facilities as proposed. She then made the additional request that Woodard Lane be required to also relocate some small composting receptacles, currently positioned near her property, to the same general area as the yard waste cart and garden.

Although it has taken three public hearings to complete this process the extra time and effort has proven worthwhile as it has resulted in agreements among the City, the Applicant and the adjoining landowner on all key issues.

Ms. Van Tuinen also asked that an additional condition be imposed requiring Woodard Lane to relocate the existing small composting receptacles to the same area as the yard waste cart and garden. This is a sensible recommendation, and one that Woodard Lane may want to follow, but I do not believe that it is significant enough to impose as a condition.

At the conclusion of the third hearing Woodard Lane asked about the possibility of being allowed to develop the six available units (four previously approved units and the two new ones) in a different configuration than previously proposed. Stated differently, Woodard Lane wondered whether it was required to construct Unit D as a two-story, four-unit building and Unit A as the new Common House with two upstairs units, or whether it could make Unit D a threestory, six-unit building or otherwise construct the new units in a different manner than originally proposed. I was not previously aware of any such desire and I do not believe that the neighborhood has been given adequate notice of this alternative. I therefore recommend that approval of the two additional units be on the condition that the new buildings be constructed as *Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations - 8* CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532

Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-9533

3

4

5

1	proposed on Exhibit 21. Any modification to this plan should be by formal application with			
2	notice to the public and an opportunity to respond.			
3	Accordingly, I make the following:			
4	FINDINGS OF FACT			
5	Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Hearing Examiner makes the following:			
6	1. Woodard Lane requests an amendment to the previously approved Planned			
7	Residential Development to increase the number of approved residential units from sixteen to			
8	eighteen through the use of two available Transferrable Development Rights (TDR's).			
9	 The proposed site is zoned Single-Family Residential (R4-8). 			
10	 Pursuant to the State Environmental Policy Act, a Determination of Non- 			
11	Significance (DNS) was issued on December 28, 2007, as a component of project review for the			
12				
13	Woodard Lane Co-Housing Planned Residential Development proposal, 05-0121. Further			
	environmental review is not required for this proposed amendment.			
14	4. Notification of public hearing was mailed to the parties of record, property			
15	owners within 300 feet, and recognized neighborhood associations, posted on the site and			
16	published in The Olympian in conformance with Olympia Municipal Code 18.78.020.			
17	5. Staff recommends that the City Council approve the proposed amendment subject			
18	to several conditions.			
19	6. The Staff Report, Page 2, contains Findings relating to the property. The Hearing			
20	Examiner has reviewed those Findings and adopts them by reference.			
21	7. The Staff Report, Pages 2 and 3, contains Findings relating to the history of the			
22	original Planned Residential Development Application and its approval; the proposed			
23	amendment and public comment regarding the amendment. The Hearing Examiner has reviewed			
24	those Findings and adopts them by reference with the exception of any Finding that the			
25	Applicant proposes to convert the two available TDR's into three additional dwelling units. The			
	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations - 9CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532 Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-9533			

Hearing Examiner instead finds that the Applicant proposes to convert the two TDR's into two
additional units; that City Staff initially concluded that the TDR's would allow for three
additional units; that subsequently City Staff reconsidered its calculations and now concludes
that the two TDR's would translate to two additional units; and that the Applicant concurs with
this result.

6 8. The Staff Report, Page 4, contains proposed Findings relating to the calculation of 7 the number of additional units available to the Applicant as a result of obtaining two TDR's. The 8 City has since reconsidered these calculations and no longer proposes adoption of the proposed 9 Findings found on Page 4 of the Staff Report. Instead, the City now concludes that the Applicant 10 must obtain one TDR for each additional residential unit requested, and that two available TDR's 11 would equate to two additional residential units, all as set forth more fully in Exhibit 17. The 12 Hearing Examiner has reviewed the proposed Findings found in Exhibit 17 and adopts them as 13 his own Findings of Fact.

9. The Staff Report, Pages 5-7, contains proposed Findings relating to compliance with the Planned Residential Development Ordinance, OMC Chapter 18.56. The Hearing Examiner has reviewed those Findings and adopts them as his own Findings of Fact with two exceptions: (a) two available TDR's would allow for two additional residential units, not three, as more fully explained in the previous Finding, and (b) the City no longer recommends relocation of solid waste and recycling facilities off of Muirhead Avenue N.W. and now recommends them to be relocated to an internal site as more fully identified on Exhibit 21.

10. The Staff Report, Pages 7 and 8, contains Findings relating to compliance with
 the transfer of development rights, OMC Chapter 18.90. The Hearing Examiner has reviewed
 those Findings and adopts them as his own Findings of Fact.

 The Applicant has stipulated to the requirement of constructing a six-foot high
 solid fence along its east boundary as a condition of amendment approval. The fence will be
 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations - 10 CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532

Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-9533

14

15

16

17

18

19

constructed in a manner to avoid the removal of existing trees and will therefore be constructed
 from tree to tree rather than on a single continuous line.

12. City Staff recommends approval of the proposed amendment but subject to different conditions than originally proposed at Page 8 of the Staff Report. City Staff now recommends approval of the proposed amendment on the following conditions:

a. The Applicant shall construct a fence along its east boundary as described more
fully in the previous Finding;

b. The Applicant shall relocate waste and recycling receptacles to interior locations
9 as identified on Exhibit 21;

c. When and if a building is proposed for construction on Lot 4 (Unit F) the
 Applicant will be required to submit design plans for a staff level detailed design review. The
 design will need to demonstrate a strong effort at mitigating, to the extent possible, negative
 building and site design impacts on adjacent properties;

d. The PRD shall be referenced on the official zoning map by adoption of an ordinance amending the map to include a reference to the binding site plan;

e. Prior to land use approval the Applicant shall provide signed and recorded
Transfer of Development Rights Certificate for each unit of density on the receiving parcel(s) in
the Residential 4-8 district;

19 f. A signed and recorded Document of Attachment of the development rights to the
20 subject parcel(s).

13. The Applicant does not object to the revised conditions of approval.

14. An adjoining landowner, Debra Van Tuinen, initially objected to the proposed
 amendment for reasons described more fully in the Background Section. Ms. Van Tuinen now
 concurs with approval of the proposed amendment subject to the conditions proposed by City

25 Staff.

3

4

5

14

15

21

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations - 11

CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532 Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-9533

1	15. Ms. Van Tuinen also recommends that small composting receptacles currently			
2	located near her property be relocated to the site's garden area. While these suggestions are			
3	sensible they are not significant enough to be imposed as conditions.			
4	Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Hearing Examiner makes the following:			
5	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
6	1. The Hearing Examiner has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter.			
7	2. The requirements of SEPA have been met.			
8	3. Any Conclusions of Law contained in the foregoing Background Section or			
9	foregoing Findings of Fact are hereby incorporated by reference and adopted by the Hearing			
10	Examiner as Conclusions of Law.			
11	4. Each available Transfer of Development Right (TDR) would equate to one			
12	additional residential unit. Upon acquisition of two TDR's the Applicant would be entitled to			
13	amendment of the Planned Residential Development to allow two additional units subject,			
14	however, to such reasonable conditions as are imposed by City Council. To the extent that the			
15	City's Memorandum, Exhibit 17, contains proposed Conclusions of Law relating to the			
16	conversion of TDR's into additional available residential units, the Hearing Examiner adopts			
17	these Conclusions as his own Conclusions of Law.			
18	5. The proposed amendment, as conditioned, satisfies the requirements of the			
19	Planned Residential Development Ordinance, OMC Chapter 18.56.			
20	6. The proposed amendment, as conditioned, satisfies the requirements of the			
21	Transfer of Development Rights Ordinance, OMC Chapter 18.90.			
22	7. The proposed amendment, allowing for the addition of two additional residential			
23	units (increasing the number of available units from sixteen to eighteen); the construction of			
24	Units "D" and "A" as identified more fully on Exhibit 21, should be approved subject to the			
25	following conditions:			
	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations - 12CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532 Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-9533			

a. The Applicant shall construct a fence along its east boundary as described more
 fully in the previous Finding;

b. The Applicant shall relocate waste and recycling receptacles to interior locations
as identified on Exhibit 21;

c. When and if a building is proposed for construction on Lot 4 (Unit F) the
Applicant will be required to submit design plans for a staff level detailed design review. The
design will need to demonstrate a strong effort at mitigating, to the extent possible, negative
building and site design impacts on adjacent properties;

9

10

24

c.

d. The PRD shall be referenced on the official zoning map by adoption of an ordinance amending the map to include a reference to the binding site plan;

e. Prior to land use approval the Applicant shall provide signed and recorded
 Transfer of Development Rights Certificate for each unit of density on the receiving parcel(s) in
 the Residential 4-8 district;

14 f. A signed and recorded Document of Attachment of the development rights to the
15 subject parcel(s).

Having entered his Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Hearing Examiner
 recommends to the City Council that the proposed amendment be APPROVED; that the number
 of available residential units be increased from sixteen to eighteen; and that construction of Units
 D and A as identified on Exhibit 21 be permitted subject to the following conditions:

a. The Applicant shall construct a fence along its east boundary as described more
fully in the previous Finding;

b. The Applicant shall relocate waste and recycling receptacles to interior locations
 as identified on Exhibit 21;

25 Applicant will be required to submit design plans for a staff level detailed design review. The *Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Recommendations - 13*CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532

When and if a building is proposed for construction on Lot 4 (Unit F) the

Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-9533

1	design will need to demonstrate a strong effort at mitigating, to the extent possible, negative				
2	building and site design impacts on adjacent properties;				
3	d. The PRD shall be referenced on the official zoning map by adoption of an				
4	ordinance amending the map to include a reference to the binding site plan;				
5	e.	e. Prior to land use approval the Applicant shall provide signed and recorded			
6	Transfer of Development Rights Certificate for each unit of density on the receiving parcel(s) in				
7	the Residential 4-8 district;				
8	f.	A signed and recorded Docume	nt of Attachment of the development rights to the		
9	subject parcel(s).				
10	DATED this 15 day of August, 2013.				
11					
12	Mark C. Scheibmeir				
13	City of Olympia Hearing Examiner				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25	Findings of Fac and Recommend	ct, Conclusions of Law edations - 14	CITY OF OLYMPIA HEARING EXAMINER 299 N.W. CENTER ST. / P.O. BOX 939 CHEHALIS, WASHINGTON 98532 Phone: 360-748-3386/Fax: 748-9533		

CASE 13-0024

LIST OF EXHIBITS

- 1. Staff Report and Attachments
- 2. Overview of Woodard Co-Housing dated July 1, 2013
- 3. Site Plan, Sheet 6 of 12 05-0121 mark up
- 4. Transfer Development Rights Document Dated July 8, 2013
- 5. Photos of Area- Presented by Liv Monroe
- 6. Photos of Area- Proposed Dumpster Site, Presented by Liv Monroe
- 7. Photos of Area- Proposed Fence, Presented by Liv Monroe
- 8. Site Plan- Showing Relocation of the Garbage Container Site
- 9. Site Plan indicating Screening
- 10. Plan Sheets 1-12 from Vector Engineering Dated February 20, 2013
- 11. Photos (collectively) A6, A3, A5, A7 and A8 Presented by Debra VanTuinen
- 12. Photo A1 Presented by Debra VanTuinen
- 13. Photo A2 Presented by Debra VanTuinen
- 14. Photo A 4 Posting Notice Presented by Debra VanTuinen
- 15. Photos (collectively) A9, A10, A11, A12, A13 and A14 Presented By Debra VanTuinen
- 16. Written Testimony by Debra VanTuinen
- 17. Memorandum from Todd Stamm, Planning Manager dated July 18, 2013
- 18. Correspondence to Mark Scheibmeir, Hearing Examiner from Mr. Whalen, legal rep. for Debra VanTuinen dated July 24, 2013
- 19. Message from Alan Murley to Debra VanTuinen
- 20. Email from Catherine McCoy, Associate Planner to Mark Scheibmeir, Hearing Examiner dated July 25, 2013.
- 21. Site Plan dated July 28, 2013 Showing Locations of Refuse Container Placement
- 22. Photo of worm composting location Presented by Debra VanTuinen
- 23. Photo of Food Waste Bucket Location Presented by Debra VanTuinen