

CPD RECEIVED 2/7/18 JL

## **SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

### ***Purpose of checklist:***

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

### ***Instructions for applicants:***

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

### ***Instructions for Lead Agencies:***

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

### ***Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:*** [\[help\]](#)

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

## **A. Background** [\[help\]](#)

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable: [Dockside Flats](#)
2. Name of applicant: [Thomas Architecture Studio](#)
3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person: [109 Capitol Way North, Olympia, WA 98501, 360-915-8775 \(attn.: Josh Gobel\)](#)

4. Date checklist prepared: February 7, 2018
5. Agency requesting checklist: City of Olympia
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable): Construction to begin May 2018 and last approximately 13 months.
7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain. No
8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal. See attached reports Agency Comment: Following reports on file with the city: drainage report, stormwater pollution prevention plan, and historic, cultural resources review, and soil/veg. plan.
9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain. No
10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known. Land Use, Design Review, Engineering Plan Review, Building Permit, MEP and Fire System Permits
11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.) A new market rate apartment building (studio, 1 & 2 bedroom units) with two floors of residential over one floor of parking, lobby and retail spaces to be constructed over existing impervious parking lot and at location of existing two single story commercial buildings in downtown Olympia. Also adjacent new restaurant at location of existing commercial building.
12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist. Project to be located at Northwest corner of State Avenue and Columbia Street in downtown Olympia. Parcel is currently addressed as 210 State Street NW, Olympia, WA 98501.

## **B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS** [\[help\]](#)

### **1. Earth** [\[help\]](#)

a. General description of the site: Flat

(circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other \_\_\_\_\_

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? Approximately 5%.

- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils. Geotech reports were done including borings to determine soil types. Soils varied from fine to coarse sand, gravels, clay, and silt containing shells. See included bore logs and Geotech report. Agency Comment: Report on file with the City.
- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe. No surface indications, however this area is known to be included in the Carylton Fill Agency Comment: Report on file with the City. Carlyon fill consists of the historic fill in the downtown area.
- e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill. Approximately 12,000 square feet of existing impervious surface will be removed for installation of foundation, pilings, pile caps, and grade beams under the proposed building. Existing HMA (alleys) will be repaired and paved as necessary. No fill material anticipated. Source for any fill shall be from a local pit.
- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe. The existing site is an impervious parking lot and two buildings, any erosion will occur after areas of the parking lots and buildings have been removed and the existing earth is exposed.
- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)? Approximately 90% (Existing is 100%)
- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any: Placement of straw, rip rap, or other materials to reduce exposure of disturbed soils to the elements. Additional measures may include use of catch basin socks to contain sediment from entering the stormwater system.

## 2. Air [help]

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known. General construction equipment for a typical construction project such as fork lifts, scissor lifts, saws, pile driver, earth moving equipment, etc will be used as construction progresses over a period of about 1 year. Typical emissions would be exhaust gasses from equipment and dust particulates from construction activities. Once construction is complete, anticipated emissions to the air will be exhaust gasses generated by resident vehicles, waste management vehicles, and other typical emissions for a multi-family residential project.
- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe. Yes, Sanitary Sewer Pump Station immediately West of the project (City operated) vents sewer gasses occasionally out of a vertical stack.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any: The project will minimize as many trips as possible for delivery of material and utilize wet saws when

possible for cutting. Equipment idling will be minimized whenever possible.

The general contractor would implement BMPs for particulate control. BMPs that would be incorporated during construction to minimize impacts to air quality as needed include:

- Watering construction surfaces to control dust, installing temporary ground covers, sprinkling the site with approved dust palliatives, or using temporary stabilization practices upon completion of grading.
- Storm drain inlets and/or culverts would be protected that could potentially receive construction stormwater and/or potentially contaminated street stormwater and/or wash water.
- Vehicles leaving the site would be inspected and dry decontamination would be conducted by scrub/brush and/or the use of rumble strips prior to the stabilized construction entrance.
- Wheel-cleaning stations would be provided if necessary to ensure construction-vehicle wheels and undercarriages do not carry excess dirt from the Site onto nearby roads.
- Streets would be cleaned regularly to conform to City of Olympia requirements to ensure excess dust and debris are not transported from the Site onto nearby roads.
- All wash water would be contained and prevented from entering storm drain inlets. Contractors would be required to use ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel in off-road equipment and instructed to turn off construction equipment when not in use.

Agency Comment: Hours of construction will be limited to 7 a.m. to 6 p.m.

### 3. Water [\[help\]](#)

#### a. Surface Water:

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. Budd Inlet lies to the West of the Site.
- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans. Yes, the project would require work adjacent to Budd Inlet. Agency Comment: Proposed work will be on the east side of the Percival Landing walkway, approximately 34' from the ordinary high water mark.
- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material. None
- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. N/A
- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan. No
- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. No

b. Ground Water:

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. No
- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. None

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe. Water collected from the existing alleys will be collected and discharged to the City's storm water system via existing catch basins. Water from the roof will be collected and discharged to the City's storm water system via a new storm line connecting to existing Storm Drain Manhole in alley North of the Site.
- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. No. Waste water is collected and piped to the sewer system.
- 3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe. No. The majority of the site will now be covered by a roof, the roof runoff will be collected and discharged to the City stormwater system in much the same way that it does now. Pollution generating runoff will be treated before release into the City system.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any: The new building will collect water from the roof of the new building as well as surface runoff from the existing alleys and disperse it to the City's storm system.

4. **Plants** [\[help\]](#)

- a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site: No significant vegetation or habitat is present. Current site is 100% impermeable surfaces

\_\_\_\_deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other  
\_\_\_\_evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other  
\_\_\_\_shrubs  
\_\_\_\_grass  
\_\_\_\_pasture  
\_\_\_\_crop or grain  
\_\_\_\_ Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.

- \_\_\_\_\_ wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
- \_\_\_\_\_ water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- \_\_\_\_\_ other types of vegetation

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered? None. The existing site is a paved parking lot and existing buildings.
- c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site. No threatened or endangered plant species were observed on or near the Site and Theresa Nation, Habitat biologist for WDFW required no HMP for this project.  
**Agency Comment: HMP refers to Habitat Management Plan.**
- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any: Landscaping would include native trees and shrubs as well as some ornamental plantings.
- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site. No invasive plant species were observed on or near the Site. There is no impermeable areas on the existing site. Adjacent areas are City of Olympia properties.

## 5. Animals [\[help\]](#)

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site. Within approximately 0.5 mile of the project site, WDFW PHS identifies the following species:  
Fish - Chinook, Fall Chum (O. keta), Coho (O. kisutch), Steelhead, Cutthroat (O. clarki);  
Bird - Purple martin (Progne subis);  
Mammal - Little Brown Bat (Myotis lucifugus), Yuma myotis (M. yumanensis), and big brown bat (Eptesicus fuscus)  
No effects to PHS-listed species are anticipated to occur from the project for the following reasons. No in-water work or impacts to water bodies are anticipated from the project and therefore no effects to fish species are anticipated to occur. No significant habitat for either bird or mammal (bat) species is present on the site and therefore no effects to bird or mammal (bat) species are anticipated to occur.

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.  
According to Streamnet, WDFW PHS and the US Fish and Wildlife Service Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC), three listed fish species may occur in Budd Inlet adjacent to the project site: Puget Sound Chinook (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha) (threatened), Puget Sound Steelhead (O. mykiss) and Bull trout (Salvelinus confluentus). No in-water work or impacts to waterbodies will occur because of this project and therefore no effects to ESA-listed fish species will occur.  
IPaC also identifies three listed mammal (gopher) species could occur in the area: Olympia Pocket Gopher (Thomomys mazama pugetensis), Tenino Pocket Gopher (T. m. tumuli) and Yelm Pocket Gopher (T. m. yelmensis). The project site is currently developed and no suitable habitat for pocket gopher species is present on the project site. Therefore, no effects to ESA-listed mammal species will occur.



IPaC identifies three listed bird species that could occur in the area: marbled murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*), Streaked horned lark (*Eremophila alpestris strigata*) and yellow-billed cuckoo (*Coccyzus americanus*). The project site is currently developed and provides no suitable habitat for these bird species. Therefore, no effects to ESA-listed bird species will occur.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain. The Site is located within the Pacific Flyway, which is a flight corridor for migrating waterfowl and other avian fauna. The Pacific Flyway extends from Alaska to Mexico and South America.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any: Impacts to wildlife are not anticipated as a result of this project; therefore, measures to preserve or enhance wildlife are not proposed.

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site. No invasive animal species were observed on or near the Site. Due to WDFW view of the site as already fully developed no Management plan will be required.

## 6. Energy and Natural Resources [\[help\]](#)

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc. Electric power will be used for water heaters, heating units, and power for the residential units, restaurant and associated common and retail spaces.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe. No There are no existing building to the North of the Property. Additionally the 35' height will not prevent future buildings to the North or East from taking advantage of Solar potential.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: Energy efficient lighting, insulation, window units and water heaters.

## 7. Environmental Health [\[help\]](#)

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe. No

1. Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses. See attached reports Agency Comment: On-site contamination is documented in reports on file with the City. Testing was done in Jan. 2015; contaminants include petroleum based substances and MTCA 5 metals.
2. Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity. None
3. Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project. None anticipated over and above the common course of standard construction. Labor and Industry practices will be used during

## construction

4. Describe special emergency services that might be required. None
5. Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any: Labor and Industry best practices will be used for construction. Handle and dispose of construction debris in a dumpster or by hauling to a waste transfer station so that it does not contaminate stormwater. Provide cover and containment of all chemicals and sources of pollution that may enter stormwater runoff from the site. Agency Comment: If contamination is encountered during site work and construction, the applicant will notify the Department of Ecology.

### b. Noise [\[help\]](#)

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)? No existing noise will affect this project.
- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site. Typical construction noise will be present under construction. The times of construction will meet the City of Olympia noise ordinance practices and procedures.
- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any: Construction practices to control noise will make use of the City of Olympia noise ordinance practices and procedures. Agency Comment: Hours of construction will be limited to 7 a.m. to 6 p.m.

### 8. Land and Shoreline Use [\[help\]](#)

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe. Current site has vacant retail buildings and large parking surface. Adjacent properties are comprised of parking lots, senior center, restaurant and retail.
- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use? No
- 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how: No
- c. Describe any structures on the site. Two single story structures (formerly a Les Schwab Tire Center).
- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what? Yes, the two existing buildings.
- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site? UW (Urban Waterfront)



- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? Urban Waterfront with High Density Neighborhoods Overlay and bordered by Pedestrian A streets.
- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site? A portion of the site is designated as Urban Intensity
- h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify. No
- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project? The new project will have an approximate occupant load of 200-260 people.
- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace? None, the existing buildings are currently vacant.
- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any: N/A
- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any: The project will be market rate housing and commercial space which is compatible with the long term planning vision of the downtown area.
- m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any: N/A

9. **Housing** [help]

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.  
10 studios, 28 one bedrooms, and 6 two bedrooms located on the second and third floors of the three story building.
- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. None
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: None

10. **Aesthetics** [help]

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?  
The tallest part of the building is approximately 35 feet. The principal exterior materials are brick, concrete, metal, glazing, wood and fiber cement.
- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?  
No existing views shall be adversely obstructed. Views of the waterfront are increased due to the increased setback of the proposed buildings. The only identified view corridor within the proximity of the site is the view from Percival Landing to the Capitol Dome. This view is not affected as the building is moved further back from this corridor.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:  
The introduction of this building shall greatly improve and enhance the existing aesthetics of the current vacant commercial buildings. Providing increased public

and private use along the waterfront contributing to the revitalization of the downtown region. The building materials are consistent with the existing downtown design guidelines and pedestrian street overlay of the district.

**11. Light and Glare** [help]

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur? There will be new lighting on the building to enhance the pedestrian experience and safety of downtown at night
- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views? No
- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? None. The project is within the typical downtown environment meeting the lighting standards.
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any: Directional LED and CFL pedestrian and signage lighting will be directed downward, away from neighboring properties.

**12. Recreation** [help]

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? Site seeing, parks, plazas, farmers market, theaters, jogging and bicycling.
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe. No, the existing use is for parking and formerly a commercial tire store.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any: Bicycle storage provided for tenants, helps reduce the need for vehicles in the downtown area. Enlarged open space for enjoyment of the waterfront amenities.

**13. Historic and cultural preservation** [help]

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe. One of the buildings proposed for demolition (the bow-trussed building closest to the water) has a construction date of 1941. The former Percival Dock is listed on the Olympia Heritage Register. This includes land at the very west end of the proposed site.
- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources. No studies were done on the site. However, we know from historical records and sandborn maps that this area was once tidally influenced shoreline and most likely utilized by native peoples. However successive expansion of the old Percival Dock and the Crylon fill of 1914 has adversely buried any artifacts which may have been present. The DAHP predictive model indicates the highest possible probability of encountering archaeology along the historical shoreline.

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc. Have prepared the [EZ forms for review by DAHP for feedback from the Washington State Department of Archeological and Historic Preservation. Consultation comments from the Squaxin Island Tribe, the Nisqually Indian Tribe, DAHP's Local Government Archaeologist, and the City of Olympia's Historic Preservation Officer as its sources here. HPO has consulted Assessor records and DAHP's electronic database on archaeology.](#)
- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required. [The Nisqually Indian Tribe, Squaxin Island Tribe and DAHP have called for an Inadvertent Discovery Plan \(IDP\). In addition, the Squaxin Island Tribe and DAHP have requested on-site training on identifying archaeology and responding to the IDP for crews working on site. The City of Olympia is currently working with both tribes and DAHP to develop an IDP template for use by project proponents. It is recommended that, at a minimum, the applicant note for this question that they intend to follow City of Olympia code on protection of cultural resources \(attached\).](#)
- [Note that due to the tribal and agency comments and the confirmed high probability of encountering historical and tribal archaeology in this particular location, the HOP will recommend a condition requiring the applicant to sign the IDP template currently being prepared by the City with support of the consulting tribes and DAHP. The HPO will discuss the request for on-site training by DAHP and the Squaxin Island Tribe with the SEPA Official after the revised SEPA checklist has been submitted. compliance with the City design guidelines for the UW zoned area.](#)

#### 14. **Transportation** [\[help\]](#)

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any. [The proposed building site can be accessed from State Ave and Columbia St.](#)
- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop? [There is an existing transit stop on Columbia, East side of the Site.](#)
- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate? [The completed project will eliminate the 15 existing off street parking spaces replacing them with 29 new off street spaces for a net gain of 14 spaces. An additional 9 on street parking spaces will also be provided.](#)
- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe

(indicate whether public or private). The project shall provide new sidewalks including pedestrian bulb outs as well as bicycle facilities for short and long term bike parking.

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe. No
- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates? A parking study is not required because of a reduction in parking spaces and no new parking entrances are being proposed.
- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe. No
- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: Short and long term bicycle parking will be provided as well as relocation of existing bus stop enclosure.

15. **Public Services** [\[help\]](#)

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe. Yes. Minimally for fire, police, health care and general life safety for housing tenants.
- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any. Compliance with all jurisdictional codes and regulations.

16. **Utilities** [\[help\]](#)

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: [\[help\]](#)  
electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system,  
other \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed. Water, sewer, and fire services will be tapped off of city mains under the alley North of the project Site. Electricity will be provided to the site by PSE and other dry utility vendors will also provide new services to the site.

**C. Signature** [\[help\]](#)

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of signee Josh Gobel

Position and Agency/Organization Thomas Architecture Studios Inc.

Date Submitted: 02/07/2018