

Initiative Measure No. 1631 concerns pollution.

This measure would charge pollution fees on sources of greenhouse gas pollutants and use the revenue to reduce pollution, promote clean energy, and address climate impacts, under oversight of a public board.

| Argument For | Argument Against |
|---|---|
| Building a Cleaner Healthier Future for Our Kids We have a responsibility to future generations to pass on a healthier place to live. Initiative 1631 is a sensible step that puts a fee on large polluters like big oil companies, making them pay when they pollute our air and water and invests in affordable clean energy and healthier communities. | I-1631's deeply flawed, unfair energy tax would force Washington families, small businesses and consumers to pay billions in higher costs for gasoline, electricity, heating and natural gas – while exempting the state's largest polluters, and providing little accountability for spending. |
| Holding Big Polluters Accountable to Protect our Air and Water When big oil companies pollute they leave the rest of us to pay the price with our health and environment. Initiative 1631 will make clean energy like wind and solar more affordable for more people, reduce over 25 million tons of pollution annually, and build new clean energy projects creating 41,000 good paying jobs across the state. | \$2.3 Billion Energy Tax, Increases Every Year The state's analysis shows 1631 would cost consumers over \$2.3 billion in the first five years alone. Higher electricity and natural gas bills would add hundreds of millions more in consumer costs, and 1631's escalating taxes would automatically increase every year – with no cap. |
| Public Accountability and Transparency All investments are overseen by a public board of experts in science, business, health, and trusted community leaders so that big oil companies and their lobbyists aren't making decisions about our future. Regular audits will ensure we're reducing pollution and expanding clean energy. | Largest Polluters Exempt 1631 would exempt many of the state's largest polluters, including a coal-fired power plant, pulp and paper mills, aircraft manufacturers and other large corporate emitters. Six of the state's top 10 carbon emitters would be exempt from 1631, while consumers and small businesses would pay billions. |
| Washington vs. Big Oil Initiative 1631 is backed by the largest initiative coalition in state history, including over 200 organizations and businesses like The Nature Conservancy, American Lung Association, | Gasoline, Energy Prices Increase Annually With No Cap Independent estimates show 1631 would increase gasoline prices by up to fourteen cents more per gallon at first, increasing annually, and quadrupling within 15 years, with no cap. Families, small businesses and farmers would also pay higher costs for natural gas, heating fuel, electricity and transportation, costing |

Union of Concerned Scientists, REI, Children's Alliance, Sierra Club, MomsRising, Physicians for Social Responsibility, Tulalip Tribes, Washington Conservation Voters, OneAmerica, UFCW 21, and Latino Community Fund.

By voting Yes we will build clean energy, create thousands of jobs, and pass on a healthier future for our kids.

households hundreds more per year, especially hurting those who could least afford it.

Lack of Accountability, No Guarantee

1631's unelected board would have broad authority to disperse billions with little accountability and no specific plan, no requirements to spend funds specifically to reduce greenhouse gases, and no guarantee of effectiveness. 1631 deserves a no vote.

| Rebuttal of Argument Against | Rebuttal of Argument For |
|---|---|
| <p>Five out-of-state oil companies are funding 99.9% of the opposition campaign. They will say anything to protect their billion-dollar profits. 1631 is a sensible step to reduce pollution today and leave a better future for our kids, by making big oil companies pay for the pollution they create. It makes clean energy more affordable, creating over 41,000 good paying jobs here in Washington. Let's build our future on our terms.</p> | <p>I-1631's deeply flawed approach to climate policy exempts Washington's largest polluters, imposes a permanently escalating tax on Washington families, and disproportionately burdens those who can least afford it. I-1631 has no clear guidelines for how its unelected board of political appointees would spend billions in taxpayer dollars, and no real accountability or likelihood of significantly reducing greenhouse gases. Cliff Mass, Ph.D., atmospheric sciences expert, represents his own opinions – not those of the University of Washington.</p> |
| Argument Prepared By | Argument Prepared By |
| <p>Carrie Nyssen, American Lung Association, Vancouver; Leonard Forsman, President, Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians, Suquamish; Ann Murphy, President, League of Women Voters of Washington, Spokane; Tony Lee, Co- Chair, Asian Pacific Islander Coalition, Seattle; Bonnie Frye Hemphill, Solar Installers of Washington, Seattle; Cenetra Pickens, Registered Nurse, union member SEIU Healthcare 1199NW, Tacoma</p> <p>Contact: (206) 535-6617; info@yeson1631.org; yeson1631.org</p> | <p>Dean Maxwell, Mayor of Anacortes 1993 – 2013; Anne Lawrence, Board Member, Washington Farm Bureau, Family Farmer, Vancouver; Brian Sonntag, Washington State Auditor 1993 – 2013; Sabrina Jones, Small Business Owner, Spokane; Mark Riker, Executive Secretary, Washington State Building Trades; Cliff Mass, Professor of Atmospheric Sciences, Seattle, Washington</p> <p>Contact: (877) 539-4443; info@VoteNOon1631.com; VoteNOon1631.com</p> |