

## OLYMPIA DESIGN REVIEW BOARD RECOMMENDATION CONCEPTUAL DESIGN REVIEW

DAT	<b>TE:</b> JUNE 28, 2018				
TO:	OLYMPIA HEARII	NG EXA	AMINER		
Mee	ting Date: <u>JUNE 28, 2018</u>				
TIM	<b>E:</b> <u>6:30 PM, OLYMPI</u>	A CITY	HALL, CONFERENCE ROOM	207	
FRO	M: PAULA SMITH, AS	SSISTA	NT PLANNER psmith@ci.oly	mpia.v	<u>va.us</u>
PRO	JECT NAME: OLY MOXIE W	CF	PROJECT No	<b>).:</b> <u>18-1</u>	.827
PRO	JECT ADDRESS: 700 11TH	AVE SE	E BLK		
	JECT DESCRIPTION: NEW I	FREES'	TANDING CONCEALED WIRE	ELESS (	COMMUNICATIONS TOWER-
APP	LICANT: LENDLEASE				
AUT	HORIZED REPRESENTATIV	<b>E</b> : <u>CE</u>	NTERLINE SOLUTIONS, ANG	ELA RA	AYMOND
ATT	<b>ENDEES: P</b> = Present; <b>A</b> =	Abser	nt; <b>X</b> = Excused		STAFF:
P	THOMAS CARVER (Architect)	P	JAMI HEINRICHER (Citizen at Large)		☐ CARI HORNBEIN (Senior Planner)
Е	JANE LACLERGUE, Vice chair (Citizen at Large)	P	JOSEPH LAVALLE, Chair (Citizen at Large)		☐ TIM SMITH (Principal Planner)
P	DUANE EDWARDS (Citizen at Large)	Е	MARNIE MCGRATH (Citizen at Large)		CATHERINE MCCOY (Associate Planner)
P	ROBERT FINDLAY (Architect)				□ NICOLE FLOYD (Senior Planner)
P	ANGELA RUSH (Citizen at Large)				PAULA SMITH (Assistant Planner)
Con Lan	S REVIEW IS BASED ON SITE text Plan (Consisting of Phodoscaping Plan L.1 and Elevacklists	oto Sin	nulations and Example Pho		
	ITEXT PLAN:				
	rove as proposed		C d - d l	I : 11	fathani ala an
VOTE Moved by: <u>Tom Carver</u> Seconded by: <u>Jami Heinricher</u> Approved/Disapproved: Ayes: <u>6</u> Nays: <u>0</u> Abstain: <u>0</u>					
			Nays. <u>U</u>	A	DStaill. <u>U</u>
App	<del>-</del>	erials		_	g Plan for detail design review.
	E Moved by: <u>Tom Carver</u>				
Ann	roved/Disapproved: Aves: 6	)	Navs: 0	Α	bstain: 0

## **PRELIMINARY BUILDING DESIGN:**

Approve as proposed with the following conditions:

1. Provide color samples of the tree tower at time of detail design review.

VOTE Moved by: <u>Tom Carver</u>	Seconde	d by: <u>Jami Heinricher</u>	
Approved/Disapproved: Ayes: 6	Nays: <u>0</u>	Abstain: <u>0</u>	

[Note: Numbered items are recommended conditions.]

Additional Notes: <u>It was discussed and suggested by the board, that the applicant may want to consider color choices based on the existing tree vegetation of the project site.</u>

cc:

- o SPRC Members
- Applicant
- o Authorized Representative
- o DRB Record
- o DRB Members