

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the [SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS \(part D\)](#). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background [\[HELP\]](#)

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

Intercity Transit North Site Development

2. Name of applicant:

Eric Phillips, Intercity Transit

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

*Eric Phillips, Development Director
P.O. Box 659
Olympia, WA 98507-0659
360. 786.5885*

4. Date checklist prepared:

April 2019

5. Agency requesting checklist:

City of Olympia

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Construction is to begin in October 2020 and completed approximately by May 2022

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

This work represents Phase I of the Master Plan and associated Development Agreement (December 22, 2015) that was agreed to between Intercity Transit and the City of Olympia. The Development Agreement process required a Public Hearing prior to approval by the City of Olympia. Future work is planned on Intercity Transit property in accordance with the approved Development Agreement as a multi-phase facility expansion project.

Agency Response: This project includes some work outlined as Phase II in the Development Agreement.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

*Geotechnical Report, November 2018
Stormwater Report and Analysis., April 2019
Trip Generation Analysis, April 2019
Level II soil and Vegetation Plan, March 2019
SEPA checklist for Frontage Improvements, December 2018*

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

There are no known applications pending for governmental approval directly affecting the work covered in this proposal..

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

Conditional Use Permit, building permits, design review, NPDES Permit and SEPA Determination.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

Intercity Transit (IT) is continuing its effort to update the facility on Pattison Street in accordance with the Master Plan prepared in 2010 and associated approved Development Agreement in 2015. In 2018, improvements were made to the site that included the construction of a fueling area, pavement for future bus parking, new stormwater facility, new traffic signal, pedestrian crossing, street trees and other related work along the frontage of Pattison Street and Martin Way.

This Phase of the proposed project will consist of construction of a new 43,541-sf (3 story) administration and operations building and construction of a 24,801-sf (1 story) fuel, wash and facilities building. Employees and operations in the existing 27,948-sf administration building on the south parcel will move to the new administration and operations building when it is completed. The existing administration building will remain vacant until it is demolished as part of Phase 5 of the Master Plan. Demolition of the existing administration building and construction of the new building will result in an increase of 7,147-sf of administration space.

Additional improvements will include parking facilities and sewer, water, storm and dry utility infrastructure required to service the facility.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The project site is generally located on the SW corner of Martin Way and Pattison Street SE at 526 Pattison St SE. Thurston County Assessor Parcel Number 34202600000 and 34202500100. The location of the project site is Section 18, Township 18, Range 1 W.

B. Environmental Elements [\[HELP\]](#)

1. **Earth** [\[help\]](#)

a. General description of the site:

Flat, developed site

(circle one): ☒ Flat rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other _____

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

Based on GIS data from www.geodata.org the steepest slope on site is approximately 5%

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

*According to the Soilweb website the project site has two soils classifications, first is 85% Indianolia, *0% Lakewood, 5% Everett, and 2% Norma. Second is 85% Yelm and 3% Skipopa.*

<https://casoilresource.lawr.ucdavis.edu/gmap/>

Agency Response: Typo correction - 8% Alderwood, not Lakewood.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

There are no known surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

The project will cut approximately 2,640 CY and fill approximately 3,205 CY. Any fill needing to be brought to the project site will be from an approved source.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

It is possible that erosion could occur because of clearing, and construction. However, the project will comply with the City of Olympia's engineering requirements and best management practices will be applied to prevent erosion from occurring.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

The project site is approximately 4.43 +/- acres and will be approximately 84% impervious after construction.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

The project will meet or exceed the Engineering Design and Development Standards for erosion control and shall apply best management practices throughout the construction of the project such as silt fencing.

2. Air [\[help\]](#)

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Types of emissions to the air would be from construction equipment and dust from construction. Quantities are unknown.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

<https://www.orcaa.org/public-records/registered-business-sources/>

According to the Olympic Region Clean Air Agency, off-site sources of emissions or odor in the area include. ' Intercity Transit — Pattison Base and Fix Auto Lacey. Both of which are not expected to affect this proposal.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

Idling equipment will be turned off. Water trucks will be used to mitigate dust and will be used as necessary.

3. Water [\[help\]](#)

- a. Surface Water: [\[help\]](#)

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Streams - http://data-wadnr.opendata.arcgis.com/datasets/816586b10c6c4954883b236f9fff208f_0?geometry=-123.168%2C47.025%2C-122%2C47.188

Wetlands - <https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/mapper.html>

According to the Thurston County's Geodata site, Woodard Creek is located approximately 1,100 feet away from the project site. Additionally, wetlands are located within the area to the west, northeast, and east. All of which are no less than 800 feet away from the project site.

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

No

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

There will be no fill and dredge material as part of this project.

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

The project will not require surface water withdrawals or diversions.

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

<https://msc.fema.gov/portal/search?AddressQuery=Lot%201%20&%2012%20HWY%20190%20HY%20Lacombe,%20LA#searchresultsanchor>

According to the FEMA website, this project is not located within the 100-year floodplain and is listed as being located in an "Area of Minimal Flood Hazard. "

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

This proposal does not involve discharges of waste materials into surface waters.

b. Ground Water: [\[help\]](#)

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Groundwater will not be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes.

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

Project will be served by existing sewer service. There are no anticipated discharges into the ground from septic tanks or other sources.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

The source of stormwater runoff will be from impervious surfaces from the rooftops, driveways and parking areas,. Stormwater will be collected via closed conveyance. Treatment for the stormwater runoff will be provided by the existing treatment facility installed as part of the previous phase on the property. After treatment, stormwater will infiltrate into the ground per Engineering Design Standards. Any stormwater overflow will be collected and conveyed into the existing City of Olympia stormwater system

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

It is possible that waste materials can enter ground or surface waters but is not proposed or anticipated. However, the project will meet or exceed all engineering and design standards of the City to prevent the possibility of waste materials entering ground or surface waters.

- 3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

The project as proposed does not alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site.

Agency Response: Additional information regarding the stormwater design is available in the Drainage Design Report.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

The project will meet or exceed all Engineering Design and Development Standards and employ best management practices such as silt fencing.

4. **Plants** [\[help\]](#)

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

- ☒ x deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
- ☒ x evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- ☐ shrubs
- ☒ x grass
- ☐ pasture
- ☐ crop or grain
- ☐ Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
- ☐ wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
- ☐ water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- ☐ other types of vegetation

Plants noted per site visit and soil vegetation report

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

This project involves the construction of improvements on an existing, partially developed property and will remove mostly weeds and grass throughout the site. The eastern property boundary contains a few existing trees that may be removed equaling approx. 2.5 tree units.

- c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

<https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/>
<https://www.dnr.wa.gov/natural-heritage-program>

According to US fish and Wildlife Service Environmental Conservation Online System (ECOS) there are no threatened or endangered plant species down to be on or near the site.

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

The project is proposing to install mainly shrubs and trees. Raised planters are proposed near the building and main entrance.. Native and drought tolerant species will be emphasized and chosen.

- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

<https://www.eddmaps.org/tools/query>
<https://www.invasivespecies.wa.gov/>
<https://www.nwcb.wa.gov/>

According to the Early Detection and Distribution Mapping System (EDDMaps) website, there are no invasive species listed or known to be on or near the project site.

5. Animals [\[help\]](#)

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, **songbirds**, other:
mammals: **deer**, bear, elk, beaver, other:
fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other _____

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

<http://apps.wdfw.wa.gov/salmonscape/>
<http://apps.wdfw.wa.gov/phsontheweb/>

According to the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (PHS) website, there are no known threatened and endangered species known to be on the site. However, approximately 800 feet to the east, PHS lists priority habitat in the wetland which include: Big Brown Bat, Little Brown Bat, and Yuma Myotis. Additionally, the US Fish and Wildlife Service Information for Planning and Consultation IIPaC lists endangered species known to be in the area as: Olympia Pocket Gopher, Tenino Pocket Gopher, Yelm Pocket Gopher, the Marbled Murrelet, Streaked Horned Lark, Yellow-Billed Cuckoo, and Bull Trout. Intercity Transit received a letter and an email (March 28, 2018) from the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife stating that based on the physical environment, and biological conditions on or near the Intercity Transit project site that any project work was unlikely to result in a take of federally listed Mazama Pocket Gophers.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

<https://www.perkypet.com/articles/pacific-flyway-migration>

Migration routes exist near the site, Washington is within the Pacific Flyway route.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

Stormwater will be treated and detained in accordance with all development standards.

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

<https://www.eddmaps.org/tools/query>

According to the eddmaps. org website, there are no known invasive animal species known to be on or near the project site.

6. Energy and Natural Resources [\[help\]](#)

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Electric – Site and building power, cooling systems, facilities and bike shop equipment

Natural Gas – Building heating, domestic hot water and wash bays hot water

Oil's – Back-Up generator, vehicles and fueling stations

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties?
If so, generally describe.

No.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal?
List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

Conservation features will include energy efficient HVAC equipment and LED bulbs. The design will accommodate all code provisions relating to energy conservation.

7. Environmental Health [\[help\]](#)

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal?
If so, describe.

There are no known environmental health hazards that could occur as a result of this project.

- 1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

<https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/neighborhood/>

According to the Washington State Department of Ecology Intercity Transit completed a voluntary cleanup of known contamination and received a No Further Action (NFA) from the Department of Ecology (letters dated March 30, 2015 and June 16, 2016).

- 2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

<https://www.utc.wa.gov/regulateIndustries/transportation/pipeline/Pages/pipelineMaps.aspx>

There are no known existing hazardous conditions that will affect this project. According to the Utilities and Transportation Commission ArcGIS website there are no underground pipelines located within the project area.

- 3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

The project will contain underground fuel storage (including gasoline and diesel) and chemicals utilized for cleaning vehicles.

- 4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

Fire and medical emergency services may be required.

- 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

Environmental health hazards are not anticipated as a part of this project. Any hazards encountered will be addressed in accordance with applicable standards.

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

Noise is currently produced from traffic throughout along Martin Way and Pattison Street and noise from commercial businesses in the area.

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Short-term construction noise (heavy equipment, framing forms, etc.) would occur during hours permitted by the City of Olympia (per OMC 18.40. 080 Protection Standards). Long-term noise associated with the project are noises associated with traffic along Martin Way and Pattison Street.

- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

Construction will be limited to normal working hours as prescribed by the City of Olympia Ordinance so nearby residences should not experience long-lasting adverse noise impacts.

8. Land and Shoreline Use [\[help\]](#)

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

The site is currently used for InterCity Transit's vehicle fueling and storage and will have no effect on adjacent properties.

- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

No.

- 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

No.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

There is an existing 1,153 SF fuel building and concrete vehicle storage currently on the property.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

No.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

HDC-4

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

HDC-4

Agency Response: Urban Corridor and High Density Neighborhood

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

N/A

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

No .

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

370+/- employees and staff

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

None

L. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The project is being designed in consideration of existing and proposed adjacent uses.

Landscape buffers and screening are being provided in accordance with requirements.

- m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

N/A - This project is largely within an existing, developed site within Olympia city limits.

9. Housing [\[help\]](#)

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

None required

10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

The tallest building is the administration and operations building and is 58'-0". The principle exterior building materials are concrete, sheeting, glass and wood.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

The new structure will impact views onto the site due to placement. Existing altered views will be of the existing IT facility. Trees and the architectural elements of the building along the street will effect friew from the adjacent streets and properties.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

The development will be in compliance with the City's design criterial

11. Light and Glare [\[help\]](#)

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

Light and glare would mainly occur at night from the project lighting. Measures will be taken to ensure light spill over beyond the property lines is minimized.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

Light or glare is not expected to be a safety hazard or interfere with views.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

Light for offsite roadway improvements may be present

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

Cutoff fixtures and lighting design to avoid spill over beyond the property will be completed.

12. Recreation [\[help\]](#)

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

There are no designated and/or informal recreational opportunities in the immediate vicinity.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

The project will not displace any existing recreational uses.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

None needed.

13. Historic and cultural preservation [\[help\]](#)

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.

<https://fortress.wa.gov/dahp/wisaardp3/>

According to the Washington Information System for Architectural and Archaeological Records Data (WISSARD) there are no structures or sites listed on the national or state registers nor determined to be eligible for listing on the national, state, or local preservation registers.

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

<https://fortress.wa.gov/dahp/wisaardp3/>

According to the WISSARD Predictive Model, the project site shows a moderate risk and moderately low Risk for archaeological resources.

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

<https://fortress.wa.gov/dahp/wisaardp3/>

Washington Information System for Architectural and Archaeological Records Data (WISSARD) was accessed in April 2019 to identify cultural and historic resources on or near the site. The Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP) cites in a previous letter dated July 10, 2018 to Intercity Transit and FTA that the project site has been previously surveyed and find no historic properties affected by the project.

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

<https://fortress.wa.gov/dahp/wisaardp3/>

An Inadvertent Discovery Plan, as required by DAHP, and the Federal Transit Administration, will be in place and accessible to construction personnel. During construction all work will comply with the City of Olympia code regarding inadvertent discoveries of cultural resources. In the event that cultural resources are unearthed, construction will stop until an assessment and determination can be made.

14. Transportation [\[help\]](#)

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

The site is currently served by Martin Way and Pattison Street

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Pattison Street is not currently served by public transit. However, bus stop locations near the site include the intersection of Pattison Street and Martin Way as well as Pacific Avenue and Pattison Street.

- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

The project proposes 38 parking stalls and also includes additional vehicle storage.

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

Earlier phases of the project installed half street improvements along both Martin Way and Pattison Street, including roadway, sidewalk, and curb and gutter (Pattison Street only).

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

Yes, the project is an expansion to the Intercity Transit facilities.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

Based on data contained in the 10th Edition of the ITE Trip Generation Manual the project is forecasted to generate approximately 275 new trips during a typical weekday. The peak traffic times will typically occur during the morning and afternoon commute periods. The project is not expected generate tractor-trailer combination vehicle trips, but may generate some new single-unit/delivery vehicle trips. The project will service city buses that already park overnight on-site.

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

No.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

A controlled signal was installed at the intersection of Martin Way and Pattison Street as part of last year's frontage project.

15. Public Services [\[help\]](#)

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

The project is not anticipated to increase a need for public services.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

The project will be built per all safety codes and includes fire sprinkler systems within the building. The proposed project also enhances onsite circulation and provide dedicated pedestrian facilities through IT's storage areas.

16. Utilities [\[help\]](#)

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:

electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other _____

d. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

Sewer, water, storm, electrical, gas, communications and refuse are proposed for this project. Sewer, water and refuse is provided by the city. Natural gas and electric is provided by PSE. Telephone/communications is provided by Century Link and Wave. General construction activities consist of trenching for service lines and structure installations.

C. Signature [\[HELP\]](#)

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: _____



Name of signee Jared VerHey

Position and Agency/Organization Project Manager – SCJ Alliance

Date Submitted: April 2019

D. Supplemental sheet for nonproject actions [\[HELP\]](#)

(IT IS NOT NECESSARY to use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.