Time: 6:30 PM
Adjournment: 7:52 PM

MEETING DATE: January 12.2017
FROM: Catherine McCoy, Associate Planner
PROJECT NAME: Cost Plus World Market, File 16-9080
PROJECT ADDRESS: $24011^{\text {st }}$ Avenue West
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Construction of a single-story 18.317 sq.ft. retail building.
APPLICANT: Mark Steepy, 612 Woodland Loope Square STE 100, Lacey WA 98503

ATTENDEES: $\mathbf{P}=$ Present; $\quad \mathbf{A}=$ Absent; $\quad \mathbf{X}=$ Excused

| $\mathbf{P}$ | THOMAS CARVER <br> (Architect) | $\mathbf{P}$ | JAMI HEINRICHER <br> (Citizen at Large) | $\square$ CARI HORNBEIN (Senior <br> Planner) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{X}$ | JANE LACLERGUE, Vice Chair <br> (Citizen at Large) | $\mathbf{P}$ | JOSEPH LAVALLE, Chair <br> (Citizen at Large) | $\square$ NICOLE FLOYD (Senior <br> Planner) |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | DUANE EDWARDS <br> (Citizen at Large) | $\mathbf{P}$ | MARNIE McGRATH <br> (Citizen At-Large) | $\square$ CATHERINE MCCOY <br> (Associate Planner) |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | ROBERT FINDLAY <br> (Architect) | $\mathbf{A}$ | DAVID GOULARTE <br> (Citizen at Large) |  |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | ANGELA RUSH <br> (Citizen at Large) |  |  |  |

${ }^{* *}$ All conditions listed below shall be met prior to building permit issuance.
A. Context Plan: Approve as proposed.

VOTE MOVED BY: Tom Carver SECONDED BY: Bob Findlay APPROVED/DISAPPROVED: Ayes: 7

## B. Site and Landscape Design: Approve with the following conditions:

1. Provide clear pedestrian circulation routes on site. OMC 18.110.030.
2. Provide walkways through parking bays and adjacent to landscape islands. OMC 18.110.030.
3. In addition to the pedestrian amenities provided, add at least two more at the building's main entrance, such as flower boxes or container landscaping. OMC 18.110.050.
4. Screen at-grade site services, including solid waste facilities, with vertical plants such as trees, shrubs or ornamental grasses. OMC 18.110.190.
5. Screen or paint wall mounted mechanical equipment to match the building. OMC 18.110.190.

## VOTE MOVED BY: Duane Edwards

SECONDED BY: Bob Findlay
APPROVED/DISAPPROVED: Ayes: 7 Nays: 0 Abstain: 0

## C. Building Design: Approve with the following conditions:

6. Replace the EIFS exterior building material with another exterior material. OMC 18.110.150.
7. Provide extended-protection awnings, canopies, marquees, building overhangs, or similar forms of pedestrian weather protection, at least four and one half (4-1/2) feet wide that cover at least eighty percent ( $80 \%$ ) of the length of the building, including entries, which abut a pedestrian walkway. Overhead building elements shall provide functional weather protection in addition to aesthetic value. OMC 18.130.030.
8. Continue the brick/masonry exterior wall material along the base of the south and east facades, to align with the existing band of the same material.
9. Develop an expansion joint between the two buildings of Cost Plus World Market and the Bed Bath \& Beyond store that is wider appearing, complimentary, and which allows some prominence to the entrance at the Bed Bath \& Beyond store.

VOTE MOVED BY: Tom Carver SECONDED BY: Bob Findlay
APPROVED/DISAPPROVED: Ayes: 7
Nays: $0 \quad$ Abstain: 0

## CONCEPT DESIGN REVIEW

DATE: January 19,2017
TIME: 8:00 p.m.

MEETING DATE: January 12, 2017
PROJECT NAME: Washington Realtors Building
PROJECT NO.: 16 -9076
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Demolish existing office building and replace with a new office building.
APPLICANT: Washington Association of Realtors
AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: Bill Riley, 1002 39 ${ }^{\text {Th }}$ Avenue SE, \#302, Puyallup, WA 98373
ATTENDEES: $\mathbf{P}=$ Present; $\mathbf{A}=$ Absent; $\mathbf{X}=$ Excused STAFF:

| P | THOMAS CARVER <br> (Architect) | P | JAMI HEINRICHER (Citizen at Large) | CARI HORNBEIN (Senior Planner) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | JANE LACLERGUE, Vice Chair (Citizen at Large) | P | JOSEPH LAVALLE, Chair (Citizen at Large) | 区 TIM SMITH (Principal Planner) |
| P | DUANE EDWARDS (Landscape Architect) | P | MARNIE MCGRATH <br> (Citizen at Large) | CATHERINE MCCOY (Associate Planner) |
| P | ROBERT FINDLAY (Architect) | A | DAVID GOULARTE <br> (Business Representative) |  |
| P | ANGELA RUSH <br> (Citizen at Large) |  |  |  |

The Board's review was based on the design review packet date-stamped December 21, 2016 and material samples presented at the Design Review Board meeting.

Note: The applicant had originally applied for Combined Concept/Detail Design Review, but the Board voted to separate the review in order to focus on the conceptual elements of the project. A motion to separate the review was made by Robert Findlay, seconded by Angela Rush. All voted in favor of the motion.

CONTEXT PLAN: Approve as proposed.
VOTE MOVED BY: Tom Carver
SECONDED BY: Duane Edwards
APPROVED/DISAPPROVED: Ayes: 5 Abstain: 0
PRELIMINARY SITE \& LANDSCAPE: Approve with conditions to be addressed during Detail Design Review (see conditions on following page).

VOTE MOVED BY: Tom Carver
SECONDED BY: Duane Edwards
APPROVED/DISAPPROVED: Ayes: $7 \quad$ Nays: $0 \quad$ Abstain: 0

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Note: Conditions 1-14 were included in the staff recommendation; conditions 15-19 are Board recommendations.

## Site and Landscape Design:

1. Increase the width of the walkway between the drive aisle and bioretention facility to 6 feet. OMC 18.110.030
2. Provide additional landscaping in the pedestrian plaza. This can be achieved through the use of pots and/or landscape beds. OMC 18.110.050
3. Plans submitted for engineering and building permit review shall show the location and method of screening of mechanical equipment, utility vaults, and meters. OMC 18.110.190
4. Provide a greater variety of hard surfaces or streetscape treatments (e.g., street trees, bollards, pots) to increase visual diversity of walkways/pedestrian areas. OMC 18.120.100
5. Construct a pedestrian connection between the east parking area and building. One option is a pathway through the landscape island near the northeast building corner. OMC 18.120.110
6. Revise the landscape plan to meet the requirements of OMC 18.36. More detailed comments will be provided in the substantive review letter to the applicant.
7. Provide additional detail showing how the plaza and associated elements tie into existing frontages, the bioretention facility, and the building.

## Building Design:

8. Incorporate elements that provide human scale and reduce building mass. Specific measures are listed in the guidelines under OMC 18.110.080
9. Provide deeper window recesses and visually significant window elements such as those listed in the guidelines under OMC 18.110.100 for increased detail and relief.
10. Provide greater relief, detail, and variation to rooflines, in particular on the south elevation where continuous rooflines are proposed. OMC 18.110.120
11. Use similar building details and materials on the east $110^{\prime}$ of the north elevation to provide consistent visual identity. OMC 18.110.140 and 200
12. Eliminate or reduce the use of EIFS on the building exterior. Use materials that provide greater texture and pattern, and that complement other buildings in the area. OMC 18.110.150 and OMC 18.120.060
13. Provide greater variation of architectural elements, materials, and building planes along the south building façade. OMC 18.120.070
14. Modify the design and dimensions of the canopies to provide weather protection along walkways and pedestrian plaza. Provide a canopy over the main building entrance. OMC 18.120.090
15. Extend the stone veneer around the entire base of the building to address blank walls and provide consistent visual identity.
16. Move the entrance away from the south east building corner to 1) create more room at the building entrance and 2) make a statement at a key entrance to the City.
17. Extend the parapet on the north elevation to screen rooftop mechanical equipment.
18. Reduce the profile of the cornice to better cohere with the building design and create a lighter element along the roof line.
19. Reconfigure on reduce paving in the plaza to serve the board room and building entrance.
