



City Council

Approval of a Resolution Authorizing Payment of the Necessary Expenses for Defense of Mayor Pro-Tem Nathaniel Jones in a Judicial Proceeding Convened to Determine the Sufficiency of Recall Charges

Agenda Date: 11/13/2018
Agenda Item Number: 6.C
File Number: 18-1085

Type: resolution **Version:** 1 **Status:** Passed

Title

Approval of a Resolution Authorizing Payment of the Necessary Expenses for Defense of Mayor Pro-Tem Nathaniel Jones in a Judicial Proceeding Convened to Determine the Sufficiency of Recall Charges

Recommended Action

Committee Recommendation:

Not referred to a committee.

City Manager Recommendation:

Move to approve a Resolution Authorizing Payment of the Necessary Expenses for Defense of Mayor Pro-Tem Nathaniel Jones in a Judicial Proceeding Convened to Determine the Sufficiency of Recall Charges

Report

Issue:

Whether to authorize payment of the necessary expenses for defense of Mayor Pro-Tem Nathaniel Jones in a judicial proceeding convened to determine the sufficiency of recall charges.

Staff Contact:

Annaliese Harksen, Acting City Attorney, 360.753.8338

Presenter(s):

Annaliese Harksen, Acting City Attorney, 360.753.8338

Background and Analysis:

A "Demand for Recall and Discharge" of Mayor Pro-Tem Nathaniel Jones was filed with the Thurston County Auditor's office on November 6, 2018 (the "Demand for Recall and Discharge").

Olympia Municipal Code (OMC) Chapter 2.72 authorizes the City Council to consider any request by

an elected official of the City of Olympia to pay the necessary expenses of defending such officer in a judicial proceeding convened to determine the sufficiency of recall charges.

Pursuant to OMC Chapter 2.72, Mayor Pro-Tem Jones has requested that the City pay the necessary expenses in defending him in his official capacity as Mayor Pro-Tem of the City of Olympia in a judicial proceeding convened to determine the sufficiency of recall charges.

OMC Chapter 2.72 states that the decision of the Council regarding such request shall be made by motion in open meeting, and that the Council shall approve the request if, upon considering all relevant and available information, it determines that reasonable evidence exists that the acts or omissions did not occur as alleged in the demand for recall and discharge.

OMC Chapter 2.72 also states that the City Attorney shall likewise determine if any such request should be approved, and that the City Attorney shall approve the request if he/she determines from the wording of the petition that a reasonable legal position can be established that the charges are not sufficient for purposes of RCW 4.96.041. With regard to the request from Mayor Pro-Tem Jones, the City Attorney has determined from the wording of the "Demand for Recall and Discharge" that a legal position can be established that the charges are not sufficient for purposes of RCW 4.96.041.

Neighborhood/Community Interests (if known):

None known.

Options:

1. Approve the Resolution as presented.
2. Amend the Resolution and take action to approve the Resolution as amended.
3. Do not take any action, which would result in legal expenses being borne by the elected official to judicially determine the sufficiency of the recall charges.

Financial Impact:

Unknown at this time.

Attachments:

Resolution
Demand for Recall
Request for Payment of Legal Expenses
Letter from City Attorney Mark Barber